EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Alexandria Division

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
)
Plaintiff,
)
v.

CRIMINAL ACTION

HENRY OBILO,)
Defendant.)

1:09-cr-47

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

JURY TRIAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT

VOLUME 1

Tuesday, April 7, 2009

BEFORE: THE HONORABLE T.S. ELLIS, III

Presiding

APPEARANCES: UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

BY: JOHN EISINGER, AUSA TYLER NEWBY, AUSA

For the Government

JOHN IWEANOGE, ESQ.

For the Defendant

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR
Official Court Reporter
USDC, Eastern District of Virginia
Alexandria Division

1		INDE	·γ		
2		TINDE	117		
3	PRELIMINARY MATTERS				3
4	JURY VOIR DIRE/JURY SE	LECTION			15
- 5	PRELIMINARY JURY INSTR				91
6	OPENING STATEMENT BY T		IENT		100
7	OPENING STATEMENT BY T				104
8	WITNESS (Government)		CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
9	Paula Gipson	111	130	161	
10	George Frazier	162	170		
11	Louis A. Fraser	173			
12	Ezenwa Onyedebelu	179	193		
13					
14	(Court recessed)				
15			-		
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

 $\verb|MICHAEL| A. RODRIQUEZ|, RPR/CM/RMR|$

1	<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>		
2			
3	(Court called to order at 10:17 a.m. in USA v.		
4	Obilo.)		
5	THE COURT: All right. Good morning.		
6	You may call the trial.		
7	THE CLERK: Case Number 09 Criminal 47, United		
8	States of America versus Henry Obilo.		
9	Will counsel please state your appearance for		
10	the record.		
11	ATTORNEY EISINGER: Good morning, your Honor.		
12	John Eisinger and Tyler Newby representing the		
13	United States.		
14	THE COURT: All right. Good morning to both of		
15	you.		
16	Mr. Iweanoge, you're here for Mr. Obilo.		
17	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes. Good morning.		
18	Mr. Obilo is present and standing up to my		
19	left, Judge.		
20	THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Obilo.		
21	THE DEFENDANT: Good morning.		
22	THE COURT: You may be seated.		
23	PRELIMINARY MATTERS		
24	THE COURT: All right. This matter is before		
25	the Court for trial. I take it both parties are prepared to		

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
1
       proceed to trial.
                    The Court will conduct all of the voir dire. I
2
       think Mr. Iweanoge is familiar with the Court's practice in
3
       this regard because we just went through it in a trial not
 4
 5
       long ago.
6
                    But you had the opportunity to participate in
7
       three ways: First, by submitting any suggested voir dire
 8
       that you wish; and secondly, by suggesting additional
9
       questions for the Court to ask once a prospective juror who
10
       has been questioned at the bench has returned to his or her
11
       seat in the courtroom; then the final way in which you may
12
       participate is at the conclusion of all the voir dire, the
13
       Court will ask counsel whether they have any additional voir
14
       dire.
15
                    Then you will -- we're going to select -- how
16
       long -- we're going to select, I think, 13 jurors and one
17
       alternate.
18
                    Let me ask, once again, Mr. Eisinger, how many
19
       witnesses do you have?
20
                    ATTORNEY EISINGER: We have ten witnesses, your
21
       Honor.
22
                    THE COURT: And how long do you think your
       case-in-chief will take?
23
24
                    ATTORNEY EISINGER: Approximately a day, maybe
25
       a little bit more than one day.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	THE COURT: These are short witnesses?		
2	ATTORNEY EISINGER: Most of them are very		
3	short, your Honor.		
4	THE COURT: Do you have a different view about		
5	how long this matter might last, Mr. Iweanoge?		
6	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: No, Judge. At the most, I		
7	believe we should be done in two days.		
8	THE COURT: All right. That's what I will tell		
9	the panel when I convene them.		
10	So we'll pick 13 jurors and one alternate.		
11	Maybe out of an abundance of caution I'll pick		
12	two alternates, and you'll each have an additional		
13	strike.		
14	Mr. Iweanoge, that means you'll have 11		
15	strikes.		
16	Mr. Eisinger, you'll have seven.		
17	When I reconvene now, I take it the parties		
18	wish the rule to be invoked; is that right?		
19	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge.		
20	THE COURT: So if there are any witnesses		
21	present in the courtroom, when we reconvene, they should be		
22	outside the courtroom.		
23	Anything else to be addressed before we have		
24	the jury brought in?		
25	ATTORNEY EISINGER: We have two preliminary		

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	matters, your Honor.
2	THE COURT: All right.
3	ATTORNEY EISINGER: The first is that we intend
4	to it play a number of calls through SpoofCard.com. We
5	would ask that we be able to play those prior to them being
6	formally admitted by our case agent at the end.
7	THE COURT: Have you heard these, Mr. Iweanoge?
8	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge. I've heard it.
9	And Mr. Eisinger discussed it with me yesterday, and I told
10	him that I don't have any objections to it, Judge.
11	THE COURT: All right. Then you may do so
12	without objection.
13	Anything else?
14	ATTORNEY EISINGER: The second is that we have
15	a summary chart, and we would like to be able to have that
16	on an easel for the jury when it comes time for the case
17	agent to testify. It's just a summary of the phone calls
18	that we'll hear through SpoofCard.
19	THE COURT: This is a summary under 1001? Is
20	that with you're suggesting?
21	ATTORNEY EISINGER: A second, your Honor.
22	Yes, that's correct, on 1001.
23	THE COURT: And do you intend to offer it, or
24	do you just intend to have it for demonstrative purposes?
25	ATTORNEY EISINGER: We intend to offer it.

 $\verb|MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR|$

```
1
       However, if necessary, we'll just use it for demonstrative
 2
       purposes.
 3
                    THE COURT: And you've shown this to
 4
       Mr. Iweanoge.
 5
                    When you want to display it, Mr. Eisinger?
                    ATTORNEY EISINGER: This would be during the
 6
 7
       testimony of the case agent, which will probably be the last
 8
       witness.
                    THE COURT: Well, you may certainly use
 9
10
       something like that for a summary to summarize his
       testimony. Whether or not it comes in under 1011 (sic),
11
12
       I'll await Mr. Iweanoge's review of it.
13
                    But, of course, he can use a chart or anything.
14
       He could write in those things, if he wishes to.
15
                    But if you have a chart prepared and it meets
16
       the standards of 1011 (sic), I'll consider it. Mr. Iweanoge
       should have access to it so that he can address any problems
17
18
       he might have with it or he'd indicated that he has no
19
       objection. But I'll give him an opportunity to do that.
20
                    Anything else to be done in this matter before
21
       I have the jury brought in?
22
                    ATTORNEY EISINGER: No, your Honor.
23
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: No, Judge.
24
                    THE COURT: All right. The Court stands in
25
       recess.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
1
                    How long will it take you, Mr. Wood?
 2
                    About ten minutes?
3
                    THE MARSHAL: Yes, sir.
                    THE COURT: Ten minutes.
 4
 5
                    (Court recessed at 10:25 a.m.)
                    (Court called to order at 10:38 a.m.)
 6
 7
                    (Jury panel present.)
 8
                    THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.
 9
                    My name is Tim Ellis. I will be the judge
10
       presiding over the trial today, which is styled United
11
       States against Henry Obilo.
12
                    I want to take this opportunity at the outset
13
       to thank each of you for your service as jurors. Nothing
14
       you do as an American citizen is any more important than
15
       jury service. Together with voting, it's one of the
       two cardinal duties each of us has as an American, and it is
16
17
       vitally important that you fulfill that duty, that
18
       obligation.
                    I've had the occasion to live and observe the
19
20
       criminal justice and civil justice systems in other
21
       countries, in Europe and in Latin America. And there they
22
       do not use juries as we do here. That experience has
23
       convinced me, confirmed with me the strong conviction that
24
       juries play a vital role in the preservation of our rights
25
       and liberties as Americans.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
Now, this matter, as I told you, is United
1
       States against Obilo. It is in the nature of a criminal
2
       matter. And at the outset, I want to hasten to instruct you
3
 4
       that the charge against this defendant -- what that is, but
 5
       to tell you that he has pled not guilty to that charge and,
6
       therefore, must be presumed by you to be innocent of that
7
       charge unless and until the jury find otherwise.
 8
                    Before I begin to do that, though, we're going
9
       to call the roll and have an oath administered because I'm
10
       going to ask you a series of questions after we take the
11
       roll.
12
                    So the deputy clerk may call the roll.
13
                    THE CLERK: Ladies and gentlemen, as I call
14
       your name, please stand, answer "Present," and remain
15
       standing until the next name is called.
16
                    Juror No. 1, Utay Ang?
17
                    (No response.)
18
                    THE CLERK: Juror No. 1, Utay Ang?
19
                    THE COURT. All right. Show cause.
20
                    THE CLERK: Juror No. 2, James Berman?
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Present.
21
                    THE CLERK: Juror No. 3, Michael Bitzer?
22
23
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3: Present.
24
                    THE COURT: You may be seated after the next
25
       name is called. Thank you.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
1
                    The reason I'm doing that is twofold.
2
                    First, to ensure that of you are here who are
       supposed to be here. And you'll hear me say "Show cause"
3
 4
       occasionally, which means that those who are not here, if
 5
       they have not received a prior excuse, will have to appear
6
       before this Court and show cause why they shouldn't be held
7
       in contempt of court for not appearing.
 8
                    The second reason that I would like for you to
9
       stand when we your name is called is, to give counsel an
10
       opportunity to match a name with a face on their list.
11
                    All right. Mr. Bitzer, thank you, sir. You
12
       may be seated.
13
                    Next?
14
                    THE CLERK: Juror No. 4, Michael Black?
15
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: Present.
16
                    THE CLERK: Juror No. 5, William Brakefield?
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: Present.
17
                    THE CLERK: Juror No. 6, Michael Brawner?
18
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: Present.
19
20
                    THE CLERK: Juror No. 7, Theresa Breitenhaller?
21
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 7: Present.
22
                    THE CLERK: Juror No. 8, James Brooks?
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: Present.
23
24
                    THE CLERK: Juror No. 9, Catherine Brunson?
25
                    (No response.)
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	THE CLERK: Juror No. 9, Catherine Brunson?
2	THE COURT: Show cause.
3	THE CLERK: Juror No. 10, Charles Burton?
4	(No response.)
5	THE CLERK: Juror No. 10, Charles Burton?
6	THE COURT: Show cause.
7	THE CLERK: Juror No. 11, Gonzalo Cebas?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 11: Yes.
9	THE CLERK: Juror No. 12, John Childs?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12: Present.
11	THE CLERK: Juror No. 13, Kathy Davis (sic)?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 13: Kati Davie?
13	THE COURT: Davie, yes. Thank you, Ms. Davie.
14	THE CLERK: Juror No. 14, Susan Dove?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: Present.
16	THE CLERK: Juror No. 15, Michael Foushay?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 15: Here.
18	THE CLERK: Juror No. 16, Patricia Fox?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 16: Present.
20	THE CLERK: Juror No. 17, Victor Gordeuk?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 17: Present.
22	THE CLERK: Juror No. 18, Michael Goretsas?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Present.
24	THE CLERK: Juror No. 19, Mark Gunderman?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 19: Present.

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	THE CLERK: Juror No. 20, George Guthridge?
2	(No response.)
3	THE CLERK: Juror No. 20, George Guthridge?
4	THE COURT: Show cause.
5	THE CLERK: Juror No. 21, Martin Hester?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 21: Present.
7	THE CLERK: Juror No. 22, Peter Joudry?
8	(No response.)
9	THE CLERK: Juror No. 22, Peter Joudry?
10	THE COURT: Joudry, J-o-u-d-r-y.
11	Show cause.
12	THE CLERK: Juror No. 23, Donnerell Dudson?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 23: Present.
14	THE CLERK: Juror No. 24, Michael Kalich?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Present.
16	THE COURT: Did we pronounce that correctly?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Kalich
18	(pronouncing).
19	THE COURT: Kalich. Thank you, sir.
20	THE CLERK: Juror No. 25, James Kelly?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 25: Present.
22	THE CLERK: Juror No. 26, Olga Lorincz-Reck?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 26: Present.
24	THE COURT: Did we pronounce that correctly?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 26: Lorincz-Reck

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	(pronouncing).
2	THE COURT: Thank you.
3	THE CLERK: Juror No. 27, George Mashood.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 27: Present.
5	THE CLERK: Juror No. 28, Tram McCarthy?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 28: Present.
7	THE CLERK: Juror No. 29, Steve McGough?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 29: Present.
9	THE CLERK: Juror No. 30, Richard Milland?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: Present.
11	THE CLERK: Juror No. 31, Sung-Hee Miller?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 31: Present.
13	THE CLERK: Juror No. 32, Meshback Muffee?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 32: Present.
15	THE CLERK: Juror No. 33, Robin Mumpower?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: Present.
17	THE CLERK: Juror No. 34, Celina Monroe?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 34: Present.
19	THE CLERK: Juror No. 35, Brenton Nickens?
20	(No response.)
21	THE CLERK: Juror No. 35, Brendon Nickens?
22	THE COURT: Show cause.
23	THE CLERK: Juror No. 36, Thomas Sadowski?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 36: Present.
25	THE CLERK: Juror No. 37, Kelly Schully?

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 37: Present.
2	THE CLERK: Juror No. 38, Evelyn Sobarra?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 38: Present.
4	THE CLERK: Juror No. 39, Salma Sufey?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: Present.
6	THE CLERK: Juror No. 40, Michelle Telleria?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 40: Present.
8	THE CLERK: Juror No. 41, Blaise Terry?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 41: Present.
10	Blaise (pronouncing) Terry.
11	THE COURT: Blaise Terry? Thank you, sir.
12	THE CLERK: Juror No. 42, Maria Tisson?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 42: Present.
14	THE CLERK: Juror No. 43, Rachel Toth?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 43: Present.
16	THE COURT: Is it Toth or Toth (pronouncing)?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 43: Toth.
18	THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Toth.
19	THE CLERK: Juror No. 44, Ranjet Varma?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 44: Present.
21	THE CLERK: Juror No. 45, Frederick Willard?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 45: Present.
23	THE CLERK: Juror No. 46, Mary Zurawski?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 46: Present.
25	THE CLERK: Are there any jurors whose name I

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
have not called?
1
2
                    (No response.)
                    THE COURT: All right. You may administer the
3
 4
       oath to the panel.
 5
                    THE CLERK: If the jurors could please stand
6
       and raise your right hand. And after the oath is
7
       administered, respond with "I shall."
 8
                    (Prospective jury panel sworn.)
                      JURY VOIR DIRE / JURY SELECTION
 9
10
                    THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen,
11
       I'm now going to give you a thumbnail sketch of what the
12
       case is about by reading to you from the indictment.
13
                    But before I do so, I want to hasten to
14
       instruct you once again that the defendant has pled not
15
       guilty to the charge and, therefore, must be presume by you
16
       to ne innocent of that charge unless and until the jury find
       otherwise.
17
                    And the indictment from which I will read to
18
19
       you a portion of it, that's not proof or evidence of guilt
20
       of any kind whatsoever. It's merely a formal means of
21
       accusing a defendant of a crime. That's all. It is not
22
       proof or evidence of guilt of any kind whatsoever.
23
                    The charge against the defendant is the
24
       following: Beginning no later than May 2007 and continuing
25
       through at least in or about August 1, 2008 in the Eastern
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

District of Virginia and elsewhere, Defendant Henry Obilo and others unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly combined, conspired, confederated, and agreed with each other and with others to execute and attempt to execute a scheme and artifice to defraud a financial institution and to obtain monies, funds, and credit owned by and under the custody and control of a financial institution by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses and representations, in violation of Title 18, Section 1349.

In essence, then, the defendant is charged with engaging in a conspiracy to commit bank fraud.

You'll learn more about the case once -- if you're selected, but that should give you enough. And as I told you, the indictment from which I read the charge is merely a formal means of accusing a person of a crime. It's not proof or evidence of any guilt at all.

And also, the defendant has pled not guilty to the charge and, therefore, must be presumed by you to be innocent of that charge unless and until the government -- or the jury find otherwise.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to ask you a series of questions designed to enable the Court to ascertain whether any of you may be disabled by any Rule of Law from serving as a juror in this case. These are easy-to-answer questions.

For the most part, when I ask a question, if you have an affirmative answer, you'll raise your hand. And when you're recognized, please give your name and the answer to the question.

Now, for a number of questions that I will ask, I'll have you come forward one at a time to give your answers in the relative privacy of bench and counsel. And I do that for the purpose of preserving your privacy as to what information, any information, that you may have to provide and also to avoid having any knowledge that may disable you from serving as a juror from also disabling others.

All right. Now, to begin with, Mr. Eisinger, would you stand, introduce yourself, your co-counsel, and your case agent to the panel, please.

ATTORNEY EISINGER: Good morning.

My name is John Eisinger. I'm an assistant
United States attorney for the Eastern District of Virginia.
With me is Tyler Newby, who is also an assistant with the
United States attorney in our office; as well as Charles
Pak, who is a detective with the Alexandria Police
Department; and we have Lisa Porter, one of our paralegals.

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, do you or any member of your family, so far as you know, know any of these individuals or have you had any business

```
1
       or social dealing of any kind whatsoever with any of them?
2
                    (No response.)
                    THE COURT: Mr. Eisinger and Mr. Newby are
3
       members of the United States Attorney's Office for the
 4
 5
       Eastern District of Virginia.
                    Do you or any member of your family, so far as
6
7
       you know, know any of the attorneys or employees of that
 8
       office or have you had any business or social dealings of
9
       any kind whatsoever with any of them?
10
                    (No response.)
                    THE COURT: All right. And let me go now --
11
12
       your case agent was from what department, Mr. Eisinger?
13
                    ATTORNEY EISINGER: The Alexandria Police
14
       Department.
15
                    THE COURT: Do you or any member of your
16
       family, so far as you know, know any of the employees or
17
       officers of the Alexandria Police Department or have you had
18
       any business or social dealings of any kind whatsoever with
19
       them?
                    We have one hand here. Would you stand,
20
21
       please, and give us your name.
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 13: Katie Davie.
22
23
                    THE COURT: Ms. Davie, whom do you know in the
24
       Alexandria Police Department?
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 13: I know my husband is
25
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
a deputy sheriff with the Alexandria City deputy department.
 1
 2
       He may know this gentleman.
                    THE COURT: You don't know whether he does or
 3
 4
       doesn't?
 5
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 13: I do not know. He's
 6
       been there for 13 years, sir.
 7
                    THE COURT: Do you feel that having a husband
 8
       so employed would prevent or hinder you in any way in
 9
       rendering a fair and an impartial verdict in this case?
10
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12: No, sir.
11
                    THE COURT: You may be seated. Thank you,
12
       Ms. Davie.
13
                    Next? There was one hand in the back.
14
                    Yes, ma'am. Your name, please.
15
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 46: Mary Zurawski.
16
                    THE COURT: Yes, Ms. Zurawski.
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 46: I'm not sure if he's
17
18
       in Arlington or Alexandria.
                    THE COURT: Alexandria.
19
20
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 46: Okay. I'm not sure
21
       which department he's with, but his son and my son play
22
       baseball together.
23
                    THE COURT: Your son and the son of somebody
24
       with his last name? Is that what you're saying?
25
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 46: The police officer
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
1
       that I know, I'm not sure if he's with Arlington or
2
       Alexandria.
                    THE COURT: Oh, I see.
3
 4
                    My question to you was do you or any member of
 5
       your family, so far as you know, know this individual? And
6
       I take it you don't know --
7
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 46: I don't know.
 8
                    THE COURT: Thank you. You may be seated.
9
                    Anyone else?
10
                    I'll come to a question which will call for
       that answer in a few minutes.
11
12
                    Let me move on now.
13
                    Mr. Iweanoge, would you stand and introduce
14
       yourself and your client to the panel, please.
15
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Thank you, Judge.
16
                    Good morning, ladies and gentlemen of the jury.
17
                    My name is John O. Iweanoge, II. And I
18
       practice out of Washington, D.C. The name of my law firm is
19
       the Iweanoge Firm, where I practice with two of my brothers:
20
       Charles Iweanoge, who's also a lawyer; and Joe Iweanoge,
21
       who's also a lawyer.
22
                    We represent Mr. Henry Obilo, who is the
       defendant in this case, standing to my left.
23
24
                    THE COURT: All right. You may be seated.
25
                    Ladies and gentlemen, do you or any member of
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
1
       your family, so far as you know, know any of these
2
       individuals or have you had any business or social dealings
       with either of them?
3
 4
                    All right. Let me also ask whether you or any
 5
       member of your family, so far as you know, have had any --
6
       know or have had any business or social dealings with
7
       Mr. Iweanoge's brothers or any other attorneys or employees
       of his law firm.
8
9
                    Next, ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to read
10
       to you a list of names. I want you to listen carefully
11
       because at the end of that list, I will ask you once again
12
       whether you know or -- you or any member of your family know
13
       or have had any business or social dealings with any of
14
       these persons.
15
                    Paula Gipson, G-i-p-s-o-n.
16
                    George Frazier, F-r-a-z-i-e-r.
17
                    Louis Fraser, F-r-a-s-e-r.
18
                    Eznewa (sic) Onyedebelu.
19
                    Abel Nnabue.
20
                    John Hayes.
21
                    James Witt.
22
                    Larry Plassmeyer.
23
                    And Robert Short.
24
                    Do you or any member of your family, so far as
25
       you know, know any of those individuals or have you had any
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
1
       business or social dealings of any kind whatsoever with any
       of them?
2
3
                    (No response.)
 4
                    THE COURT: All right. Next, ladies and
 5
       gentlemen, I want to know whether any of you have served in
6
       the past on any juries, on any trial juries or grand juries
7
       in either Federal, State, or local courts. Would you raise
8
       your hands, please.
9
                    We have a few veterans.
10
                    We're going to begin with the front row moving
11
       left to right. Would the first person who raised his or her
12
       hand please stand, this gentleman on the end.
13
                    Your name, sir?
14
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: Michael Black.
15
                    THE COURT: I'm sorry. Your name again?
16
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: Excuse me. Michael
       Black.
17
18
                    THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Black.
19
                    What juries have you served on in the past?
20
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: It was Fairfax County
21
       jury. It was an assault case.
22
                    THE COURT: How long ago?
23
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: Probably nine years
24
       ago.
25
                    THE COURT: And was -- without telling me the
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

_			
1	result, was the jury on which you served able to reach a		
2	unanimous verdict?		
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: Yes.		
4	THE COURT: Any other jury service, Mr. Black?		
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 4: No.		
6	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You may be seated.		
7	Let's go to the second row, left to right.		
8	Yes, sir, your name?		
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 29: No. 28 (sic), Steve		
10	McGough.		
11	THE COURT: Yes, Mr. McGough.		
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 29: It was also in		
13	Fairfax County. It was a civil case. And we were not able		
14	to reach a verdict. There was a mistrial.		
15	THE COURT: All right. What was the nature of		
16	the case, Mr. McGough?		
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 29: It was a traffic		
18	case in which there was an injury claim.		
19	THE COURT: And the jury was not able to reach		
20	a unanimous decision?		
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 29: Yeah. Apparently,		
22	someone said something in the courtroom they weren't		
23	supposed to.		
24	THE COURT: So you never had an opportunity to		
25	0 deliberate?		

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 29: No, sir.
2	THE COURT: I fall prey to my own criticism of
3	lawyers.
4	Do you ever have an opportunity to deliberate?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 29: No.
6	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. McGough.
7	The reason I criticize lawyers often for asking
8	questions in that leading way is because it's ambiguous what
9	the answer means. It's much easier to ask it directly.
10	All right. Let's go to the third row, left to
11	right.
12	Yes, sir, your name?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Michael Goretsas.
14	THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Goretsas.
15	What juries have you served on in the past?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: It was a Richmond
17	County jury.
18	THE COURT: And Richmond where?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Richmond County in
20	Warsaw, Virginia.
21	THE COURT: All right. What was the nature of
22	the case you heard there?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: It was a criminal
24	case.
25	THE COURT: What kind of crime involved?

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Alleged rape.
2	THE COURT: And was the jury on which you
3	served, Mr. Goretsas, able to reach a unanimous decision?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Have you had any other jury
6	service?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: No.
8	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You may be seated.
9	Next in that row?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: 24, Michael Kalich.
11	THE COURT: Mr. Kalich, what juries have you
12	served on?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Dade County in
14	Pennsylvania.
15	THE COURT: What was the nature of the case?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Assault and rape.
17	THE COURT: Was the jury on which you served
18	able to reach a unanimous verdict?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Any other jury service, Mr. Kalich?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: No.
22	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You may be seated.
23	Next? Yes, sir.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Juror 2, James
25	Berman.

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
1
                    THE COURT: All right. Mr. Berman, what juries
 2
       have you served on?
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I served on a jury in
 3
 4
       this courthouse. It was a real estate -- it was a criminal
 5
       case. It was a real estate bidding conspiracy to rig
 6
       auction bidding for homes.
 7
                    THE COURT: And how long ago was that trial?
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I honestly can't
 8
 9
       remember, but I think it was in the past five to
10
       seven years.
11
                    THE COURT: And was the jury on which you
       served able to reach a unanimous decision?
12
13
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Yes, they were.
14
                    THE COURT: And the courthouse has been here
15
       now about 14 or 15 years, and I've been here more than 20.
16
       Let's be clear.
17
                    Was I the presiding judge?
18
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I honestly can't
19
       remember.
20
                    THE COURT: Thank you.
21
                    Next?
22
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: No. 14, Susan Dove.
23
                    THE COURT: Yes.
24
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: I was on a jury at
25
       the Leesburg courthouse. I think that might be
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	Loudoun County.		
2	THE COURT: And what was the nature of the		
3	case?		
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: It was a family that		
5	was suing a doctor for malpractice.		
6	THE COURT: And how long ago was this case?		
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: I don't remember		
8	exactly, your Honor, but I would say close to three to		
9	five years. Probably closer to three years.		
10	THE COURT: Was the jury on which you served in		
11	that case able to reach a unanimous verdict?		
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: Yes.		
13	THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Dove. You may be		
14	seated.		
15	Next? Anyone else have jury service?		
16	Yes, in the next row.		
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 36: Juror 36, Thomas		
18	Sadowski.		
19	THE COURT: Mr. Sadowski, what juries have you		
20	served on in the past?		
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 36: In Fairfax		
22	approximately 12 years ago.		
23	THE COURT: What was the nature of the case?		
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 36: A robbery.		
25	THE COURT: Was the jury on which you served		

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	able to reach a unanimous verdict?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 36: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Any other jury service,
4	Mr. Sadowski.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 36: No.
6	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You may be seated.
7	Anyone else? Yes.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 26: Olga Lorincz-Reck.
9	THE COURT: Ms. Lorincz-Reck, what juries have
10	you served on in the past?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 26: Wayne County,
12	Michigan.
13	THE COURT: And how long ago?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 26: Approximately
15	14 years ago.
16	THE COURT: And what was the nature of the
17	case?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 26: Assault.
19	THE COURT: Was the jury on which you served
20	able to reach a unanimous verdict?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 26: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Any other jury service,
23	Ms. Lorincz-Reck?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 26: No. That's all.
25	THE COURT: Thank you. You may be seated.

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1 Anyone else? Do any of those reminiscences 2 trigger any memories of jury service? 3 All right. Now, next -- I'm now going to ask you a series of three questions and have you come forward 4 5 here to the bench, over here to my left and to your right, 6 to give your answers in the relative privacy of bench and 7 counsel. 8 As I told you before, this is to preserve your 9 privacy as to information you may have to provide and also 10 to avoid having information that you have that disables you 11 from also disabling others. 12 And I ask them in a series of three, the 13 questions in a series of three, so that it will not be 14 evident to you which question you have an affirmative answer 15 to. If your answer is "No" to all three questions, 16 17 you do not need to come forward. Only if your answer to one 18 or more of the three questions is "Yes" do you need to come 19 forward. 20 And Mr. Wood, the court security officer, will 21 have you line up here at the gate and have you come forward 22 one at a time.

The questions are the following: First, you've told me about your jury service. I now want to know whether any of you have had any experience in court other than jury

23

24

25

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

service; that is, have you ever appeared in court as a plaintiff? As a defendant? As a witness? As an expert?

As an attorney? Indeed, in any capacity at all, I want you to come forward.

The only exceptions to that are traffic court and divorce court. You need not come forward if your only appearance in court was traffic or divorce court.

So, again, the question to you is I want to know whether any of you have had any experience in court of any kind other than jury service.

The second question is would any of you tend to believe of the testimony of a law enforcement officer merely because that person is a law enforcement officer? Or would any of you tend to disbelieve? In other words, would any of you tend to either believe or to disbelieve the testimony of a law enforcement officer merely because that person is a law enforcement officer.

And finally, I want to know whether any of you have heard or read or seen anything at all about this case in any context at all. And I ask that not because it's probable or likely that you have, but merely out of an abundance of caution to ensure that if any of you know anything about this case, you bring that promptly to the Court's attention.

All right. I'll have counsel at the bench.

1	Now, I have a device
2	Actually, I have one other thing.
3	How many of you let me ask one further
4	question before I do this. I want to know see the hands
5	of those of you or people in your immediate family who are
6	employed by any law enforcement agency. If you would raise
7	your hands.
8	All right. We have a few.
9	Mr. Wood, let's do these before we have people
10	come to the bench. And I'll repeat the three questions.
11	Who is the first yes, sir. Your name? You
12	don't need to come forward. Your name?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 44: Ranjet Varma.
14	THE COURT: Yes.
15	What number is that?
16	THE MARSHAL: 44.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 44: 44.
18	THE MARSHAL: 44.
19	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Varma, who in your
20	family is a law enforcement officer?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 44: Can I approach the
22	bench?
23	THE COURT: I can't hear you.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 44: Can I approach the
25	bench?

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
THE COURT: All right. I'll let you do that
1
2
       later. You may be seated.
3
                    Next? Yes, ma'am. Ms. Terry?
 4
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 13: Davie.
                    THE COURT: Davie. I'm sorry.
 5
6
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 13: 13. My husband's a
7
       deputy sheriff with Alexandria City.
8
                    THE COURT: Yes, and I already asked you. Let
9
      me ask you once again.
10
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 13: Sure.
11
                    THE COURT: Is the fact that your husband's a
12
       deputy sheriff -- would that prevent or hinder you in any
13
       way from rendering a fair and an impartial verdict in this
14
       case based only on the evidence and the Court's
15
       instructions?
16
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 13: No, sir.
17
                    THE COURT: Thank you. You may be seated.
18
                    Next? Yes, sir.
19
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: No. 18, Michael
20
       Goretsas.
21
                    THE COURT: Yes, sir.
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: I work for ATF.
22
23
                    THE COURT: What do you do for the Alcohol,
24
       Tobacco and Firearms agency?
25
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: I'm the telecom
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	manager.
2	THE COURT: So do you have any law enforcement
3	responsibilities?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: No, not direct law
5	enforcement. Indirect support of law enforcement.
6	THE COURT: Do you feel that your employment
7	with ATF would prevent or hinder you in any way from
8	rendering a fair and an impartial verdict in this case based
9	only on the evidence and the Court's instructions?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: No.
11	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You may be seated.
12	Next?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: No. 30, Richard
14	Milland. I have an uncle that works for the United States
15	postal inspector for the United States Postal Service in
16	New Jersey.
17	THE COURT: All right, Mr. Milland. Do you
18	feel that having an uncle so employed would prevent or
19	hinder you in any way from rendering a fair and an impartial
20	verdict in this case?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: No, sir.
22	THE COURT: Thank you. You may be seated.
23	Next?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: 39, Salma Sufey. My
25	husband is with financial crime investigation with the

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	Department of Treasury.
2	THE COURT: Would you repeat that, Ms. Sufey.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: Financial crime
4	investigation department with the United States Department
5	of Treasury.
6	THE COURT: And how long has he been so
7	employed?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: He's been employed
9	over two years.
10	THE COURT: Do you feel that having a husband
11	so employed would prevent or hinder you in any way from
12	rendering a fair and an impartial verdict in this case based
13	only on the evidence and the Court's instructions?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: No.
15	THE COURT: Thank you. You may be seated.
16	Next?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 41: My daughter, she
18	THE MARSHAL: Your number?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 41: Juror No. 41, Blaise
20	Terry.
21	THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Terry.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 41: My daughter, she is
23	employed by the ATF.
24	THE COURT: What does your daughter with ATF?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 41: She can't she's

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
in a high security position, sir. She can't tell me.
1
                                                               But
       she deals with a lot of the general counsel there, and she's
2
       affiliated.
3
                    THE COURT: All right. Is your daughter an ATF
 4
 5
       agent or an attorney?
6
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 41: She is an agent,
7
       sir. She can't explain to me what she does.
 8
                    THE COURT: All right. Do you feel that having
9
       a daughter so employed with ATF would prevent or hinder you
10
       in any way from rendering a fair and an impartial verdict in
11
       this case, Mr. Terry?
12
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 41: No.
13
                    THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You may be seated.
14
                    That is not an uncommon situation, Mr. Terry,
15
       for people whose family members are employed in one or
16
       another law enforcement endeavor.
17
                    Anyone else?
18
                    All right. Now, let me repeat the
19
       three questions and have Mr. Wood have you line up at the
20
       gate here one at a time.
21
                    The first is you've told me about your jury
       service; that is, service on trial juries or grand juries.
22
23
       I now want to know whether any of you have ever participated
24
       in any legal proceeding in any capacity at all either as an
25
       attorney, as a witness, as a party, indeed in any capacity.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

I want you to come forward. The only exceptions to that are traffic court and divorce court.

Second, I want to know whether any of you would tend to believe or disbelieve the testimony of a law enforcement officer merely because that person is a law enforcement officer.

And finally, I want to know whether any of you have seen or read or heard or know anything about this case from any source whatever. I ask that not because it's likely or probable that you have, but merely out of an abundance of caution to ensure that if any of you know anything about this case, that you bring that promptly to the Court's attention.

Now, I have a device here at the bench that masks the conversations at the bench. Some 23 years ago when it was first installed when I came to try cases in the old courthouse, the young engineer who installed it told me that it would be very pleasant-sounding, that it would sound like waves breaking gently on some distant tropical romantic beach and that it would mask the conversation here at the bench. In a few you moments, I'll ask you whether it succeeds in either respect.

All right. Counsel may come to the bench.

(Sidebar conference held as follows:)

THE COURT: Mr. Iweanoge, your client may come

1	if he wishes.
2	Have you talked to him?
3	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: I can do it, Judge.
4	THE COURT: All right. He waives his right to
5	be here?
6	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge.
7	THE COURT: Thank you.
8	If you'll come right here. I will have the
9	person come right here.
10	THE MARSHAL: Juror No. 40.
11	THE COURT: Come forward here. Right here.
12	Is it Ms. Telleria?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 40: Uh-huh.
14	THE COURT: Which question do you have an
15	answer to?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 40: I was a defendant in
17	a case.
18	THE COURT: What was the nature of the case?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 40: Criminal.
20	THE COURT: And what was the outcome?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 40: I had to go to
22	rehab.
23	THE COURT: With was the nature of the case?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 40: Controlled dangerous
25	substance.

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	THE COURT: What was the nature of the
2	substance?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 40: PCP.
4	THE COURT: How long ago was this?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 40: 20 years.
6	THE COURT: And have you had any difficulty
7	with any substances since then?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 40: No.
9	THE COURT: Now, that was not a felony, I take
10	it?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 40: No.
12	THE COURT: Now, you understand this case has
13	nothing whatever to do with that case?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 40: Uhm-hmm.
15	THE COURT: Do you feel that you can put that
16	experience to one side and judge this case fairly and
17	impartially based only on the evidence presented in court
18	and the Court's instructions?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 40: Yes.
20	THE COURT: So you think you can be fair to
21	both the government and the defendant in this case?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 40: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Do you have any answers to any of
24	the other questions?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 40: No.

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
1
                    THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Telleria.
 2
                    THE MARSHAL: Juror No. 5.
                    THE COURT: Good morning Mr. Brakefield.
3
 4
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: Good morning.
 5
                    THE COURT: Which question do you have an
6
       answer to, sir?
7
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: Experience with the
8
       courts.
9
                    THE COURT: Yes, sir.
10
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: Arrested for
11
       possession of marijuana. Let's see. Arrested three times
       for driving under the influence and driving in traffic.
12
13
       Associate member of the Virginia Bar.
14
                    THE COURT: I take it you have taken the bar
15
       and passed it?
16
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: Yes.
                    THE COURT: Where did you attend law school?
17
18
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: George Mason.
19
                    THE COURT: When did you graduate?
20
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: 1990.
                    THE COURT: Did you ever practice law?
21
22
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: No.
23
                    THE COURT: And how long ago was the arrest for
24
       marijuana?
25
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: High school.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
1
                    THE COURT: All right. That would be how long
 2
       ago?
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: Early '80s.
 3
 4
                    THE COURT: I take it you've had no contact
       with controlled substances since then?
 5
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: Correct.
 6
 7
                    THE COURT: Now, you understand that that
       incident where you were arrested for marijuana has nothing
 8
 9
       whatever to do with this case?
10
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: Sure.
11
                    THE COURT: Do you feel the legal system
       treated you fairly in that context?
12
13
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: Yes.
14
                    THE COURT: You understand this has nothing to
15
       do with that case.
16
                    Do you feel you can put that experience to one
17
       side and judge this case fairly and impartially based on the
       evidence and the Court's instructions?
18
19
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: Yes.
20
                    THE COURT: Have you had any training in the
       law apart from what you received in law school?
21
22
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: Only through
23
       business.
                 So...
24
                    THE COURT: Have you had any training in
25
       criminal law?
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: No.
2	THE COURT: Have you ever practiced criminal
3	law in any way?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: No.
5	THE COURT: Do you feel there's anything in
6	your background that would hinder you in any way from
7	rendering a fair and impartial verdict in our case?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: No.
9	THE COURT: It indicates that you're an
10	investor.
11	Is that would that be the same as a venture
12	capitalist?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: Not quite. Similar.
14	I assist my family in managing at this point.
15	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Brakefield. You may
16	return to your seat.
17	THE MARSHAL: Juror No. 15.
18	THE COURT: Mr. Foushay.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 15: Yes, sir. Good
20	morning.
21	THE COURT: Which question do you have an
22	answer to?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 15: I was a defendant in
24	a civil suit.
25	THE COURT: What was the nature of the suit?

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 15: The allegations were
2	failure to fulfill contract, misappropriation of funds,
3	fraud, and a couple of other various and sundry.
4	THE COURT: Would this be in the construction
5	business?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 15: I'm an independent
7	contractor.
8	THE COURT: What was the result of that
9	lawsuit?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 15: The result was the
11	only charge I was found guilty to, I attempted to plead
12	guilty to.
13	THE COURT: Breach of contract?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 15: Failure to have a
15	meeting of the minds, fairly. The customer asked me to
16	leave, then asked me to come back. And I would not come
17	back and, thereby, was in breach of contract because I
18	failed to fulfill the contract.
19	THE COURT: You feel the legal system operated
20	fairly in that case.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 15: I gave my lawyer the
22	check with a smile.
23	THE COURT: Well put.
24	You understand that case has nothing whatever
25	to do with this case?

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 15: Absolutely.
1
2
                    THE COURT: You feel you can put your
       experience to one side, sir, in that case --
3
 4
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 15: It would have no
 5
       bearing.
                    THE COURT: -- fairly and impartially --
6
7
                    Let met finish.
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 15: I'm sorry.
 8
9
                    THE COURT: Do you feel you can put your
10
       feelings to one side and judge this case fairly and
11
       impartially based on the Court's instructions?
12
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 15: Certainly.
13
                    THE COURT: Do you have an answer to the other
14
       questions?
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 15: I'm sorry. What was
15
16
       Question No. 2?
                    THE COURT: Do you intend to believe or
17
       disbelieve the testimony of a law enforcement officer merely
18
      because that person is a law enforcement officer?
19
20
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 15: No.
21
                    THE COURT: The third one?
22
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 15: No.
23
                    THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You may return to
24
       your seat.
25
                    THE MARSHAL: Juror No. 8.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
1
                    THE COURT: Mr. Brooks, good morning, sir.
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: Good morning.
 2
                    THE COURT: Which question do you have an
 3
 4
       answer to?
 5
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: I have appeared in
 6
       court as a witness.
 7
                    THE COURT: In what type of case?
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: It was grand jury
 8
 9
       testimony.
10
                    THE COURT: How long ago was this?
11
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: It was four or
12
       five years ago.
13
                    THE COURT: Did you testify for the prosecution
14
       or the defense?
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: I did. I testified.
15
16
                    THE COURT: You identified.
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: He did a plea even
17
       before it was finished. So...
18
19
                    THE COURT: You understand that case has
20
       nothing whatever to do with this case?
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: Correct.
21
22
                    THE COURT: Do you feel you can put your
23
       experience in that case to one side and judge this case
24
       fairly and impartially based only on the evidence and the
25
       Court's instructions on the law?
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: Absolutely.
2	THE COURT: Any other answers to the questions
3	I put, Mr. Brooks?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 8: No.
5	THE COURT: Thank you. You may return to your
6	seat.
7	THE MARSHAL: No. 27.
8	THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Mashood.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 27: Good morning.
10	THE COURT: Come forward, if you would.
11	Which question do you have an answer to?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 27: The middle one, a
13	reason to believe or disbelieve an officer at this point.
14	THE COURT: Yes.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 27: That one, I have
16	highly confidence in officer due to a few incidents I have
17	witnessed on TV led me to disbelieve.
18	THE COURT: You would tend to disbelieve? Is
19	that what you're saying?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 27: Not everything. To
21	some extent.
22	THE COURT: To some extent?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 27: To some extent.
24	THE COURT: Do you feel you can put that
25	feeling to one side and judge the testimony of a law

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
1
       enforcement officer as you would judge the testimony of any
 2
       witness?
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 27: Yes.
 3
 4
                    THE COURT: Do you have answers to any of the
 5
       other questions put to you.
 6
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 27: No, sir.
 7
                    THE COURT: You said you had seen things on TV
 8
       which led you to mistrust police officers?
 9
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 27: Not entirely.
10
                    THE COURT: What have you seen on TV?
11
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 27: Like police
12
       misconduct, like beating culprits that were powerless.
13
                    THE COURT: You understand those matters have
14
       nothing to do with this case?
15
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 27: Yes, I do.
16
                    THE COURT: I'll ask you again, can you put
17
       those feelings to one side and judge this case fairly and
18
       impartially based on the evidence and the Court's
       instructions?
19
20
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 27: Absolutely.
21
       Absolutely.
22
                    THE COURT: And judge the testimony and the
       credibility of the law enforcement officers just as you
23
24
       would judge the credibility of any other witness?
25
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 27: Absolutely, yes.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
1
                    THE COURT: You may return to your seat.
 2
                    THE MARSHAL: No. 37.
 3
                    THE COURT: Ms. Schully.
 4
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 37: Yes.
 5
                    THE COURT: Which question do you have an
 6
       answer to?
 7
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 37: The first and the
 8
       third.
 9
                    THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
10
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 37: The first. I was in
11
       a court deposition. I was working for Northern Virginia
12
       Electric.
                  There was an explosion in a house. My name was
13
       on one of the utilities that caused the explosion. My name,
14
       it was on the ticket that -- of a misutility. That was it.
15
       Just the beginning part.
16
                    And then his face looks familiar.
                    THE COURT: The face of the defendant?
17
18
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 37: Yes. I may have
       seen him in the area, I think in Centerville. I'm not sure.
19
20
                    THE COURT: Do you know for what?
21
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 37: I mean, there was --
22
       the front page of Centerville, there is usually something,
23
       crime-related or whatever. I have a very good face -- good
       familiarity for faces. So it could have been something
24
25
       else. I am thinking that's it.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
1
                    THE COURT: Let's take these one at a time.
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 37: Sure.
 2
 3
                    THE COURT: First, the proceeding you said you
 4
       were involved in, do you understand that matter has nothing
 5
       whatever to do with this case?
 6
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 37: Okay.
 7
                    THE COURT: Do you feel the legal proceeding in
 8
       that case operates fairly, the legal process?
 9
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 37: I think so.
10
                    THE COURT: Can you put your feelings in that
11
       experience to one side and judge this case fairly and
12
       impartially based only on the evidence and the Court's
13
       instructions?
14
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 37: Yes.
15
                    THE COURT: Now, let's go to the second matter.
16
                    The second matter you said you saw -- excuse
17
       me -- may have seen this defendant's picture in the
18
       newspaper, you said, in Centerville?
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 37: Yes, Centerville.
19
20
       We have a local paper that's called Centerville that goes
21
       out to everyone. They come every Thursday. I just think,
22
       but I don't know.
23
                    THE COURT: You think you saw it on a page?
24
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 37: If I see someone on
25
       the subway, I think I remember this face.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
THE COURT: You do remember this defendant's
 1
 2
       face?
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 37: I do remember his
 3
 4
       face.
 5
                    THE COURT: Do you remember what was said in
 6
       the newspaper?
 7
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 37: I have a very good
 8
       memory of that otherwise. The most I can remember was they
 9
       said something about complicated name. I think I remember
10
       seeing a complicated name.
                    THE COURT: All right. Do you feel you can put
11
12
       that to one side and judge this case fairly and impartially
13
       based only on the evidence and the Court's instructions?
14
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 37: Yes, I can.
15
                    Is that it?
16
                    THE COURT: Do you have answers to any of the
17
       other questions I put to you?
18
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 37: No.
                    THE COURT: Thank you. You may return to your
19
20
       seat.
21
                    Mr. Iweanoge?
22
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge.
23
                    THE COURT: Is there any reason why your client
24
       would be in that newspaper?
25
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: No, Judge. But I didn't
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	research. I will do an Internet search later on to see.
2	THE COURT: What was this juror's number?
3	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: No. 37.
4	ATTORNEY EISINGER: 37.
5	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: I move to strike.
6	THE COURT: I think under the circumstances, I
7	will grant that.
8	ATTORNEY EISINGER: I'm certainly not aware of
9	any press that would be related to him, but there is no
10	reason to risk anything.
11	THE COURT: I will grant the motion because she
12	said she remembers the face. She is very firm about that.
13	She also remembers she saw it in the context of a criminal
14	report. So that being the case, I will grant that motion.
15	No. 37 is stricken.
16	THE MARSHAL: 44.
17	THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Berman.
18	Now you can tell me what your daughter does.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 44: My daughter works
20	for a law firm in Georgetown, and she joined law school
21	in
22	THE COURT: She's a law professor?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 44: Law student. We do
24	discuss a lot of things, because I come from a family of
25	lawyers.

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	THE COURT: All right.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 44: My wife works for
3	the she's a court interpreter. She comes here and comes
4	to all of the courts.
5	THE COURT: To serve as an interpreter?
6	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: She might have served with
7	your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Do you feel having a wife employed
9	or a daughter who is a law student would prevent or hinder
10	you from rendering an impartial verdict in this case?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 44: My wife does not
12	tell me much. I don't discuss cases with her much.
13	THE COURT: Do you feel it would prevent you
14	from being fair and impartial?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 44: I don't think so.
16	Discussing, you know, it might come back in my mind. I
17	don't know.
18	THE COURT: Do you have answers to any of the
19	other questions that I put to you?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 44: No, not the other
21	two. Only that my family is involved in this, I want to
22	say.
23	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Berman. You may
24	return to your seat.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 44: Anything regarding

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	health that you need?
2	THE COURT: I will ask later, but you can tell
3	me now if you wish.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 44: I have a problem
5	with, you know, angina. I had sent a letter to this one.
6	And I have a very serious backbone problem and things. I
7	might not be able to sit for more than an hour or so. I
8	have to fidget a lot or stretch my muscles and have to eat
9	or drink a lot.
10	THE COURT: You may not be selected. But if
11	you are, we will accommodate that need.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 44: Thank you.
13	THE COURT: The medical record he showed me
14	indicated, as you saw, that he has to eat and drink once an
15	hour and he can't sit for more than an hour. He has to get
16	up and walk around. I didn't see the exact diagnosis.
17	There wasn't any, that I could see.
18	Do you have any objection to striking him?
19	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: No objection, Judge.
20	ATTORNEY EISINGER: No objection.
21	THE COURT: All right. He's stricken.
22	THE MARSHAL: No. 11.
23	THE COURT: Mr. Cebas, yes.
24	Which question do you have an answer for?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 11: I appeared in

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

7	Deplement on Court in Alexandria 2000
1	Bankruptcy Court in Alexandria, 2000.
2	THE COURT: With what court?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 11: Bankruptcy Court.
4	THE COURT: What was the nature?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 11: We filed bankruptcy.
6	THE COURT: Your business or you personally?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 11: Personal.
8	THE COURT: Is that matter over now?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 11: Oh, yes. I was
10	separated.
11	THE COURT: Do you feel the Court treated you
12	fairly in that case?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 11: Yes.
14	THE COURT: You understand that case has
15	nothing to do with this case?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 11: Yes. I know that it
17	doesn't have. I just had to say it just in case I had
18	anything.
19	THE COURT: All right. Do you feel you can put
20	your experience in the bankruptcy case to one side and judge
21	this case fairly and impartially based only on the evidence
22	and the Court's instructions?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 11: Yes.
24	THE COURT: All right, Mr. Cebas.
25	Do you have any other answers to the questions

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	I asked you?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 11: No.
3	THE COURT: All right. You may return to your
4	seat.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 11: Thank you.
6	THE MARSHAL: No. 29.
7	THE COURT: Mr. McGough.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 29: Yes, sir.
9	THE COURT: Good morning, sir.
10	Which question do you have an answer to?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 29: No. 1. I have been
12	involved in two court dealings. Both were about 10,
13	15 years ago.
14	One was I had stopped a check on some work that
15	had been done for me, and the guy who did it took me to
16	small claims court. He didn't show up. That was the end of
17	that one.
18	The second one, I was summoned as a witness in
19	a domestic dispute well, I guess it was assault, because
20	two of my neighbors got in a fight. I never got called. I
21	was there. I never got called.
22	THE COURT: Do you feel the legal system in
23	both of those instances operated fairly?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 29: As far as I know.
25	THE COURT: Do you know those matters have

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
1
       nothing to do with this case?
 2
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 29: Yes, sir.
 3
                    THE COURT: Do you feel you can put your
 4
       feelings to the side and judge this case based only on the
 5
       evidence and the Court's instructions?
 6
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 29: Yes, sir.
 7
                    THE COURT: Do you have any answers to the
 8
       other questions?
 9
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 29: No, sir.
10
                    THE COURT: You may return to your seat.
                    THE MARSHAL: No. 17.
11
12
                    THE COURT: Mr. Gordeuk, which question do you
13
       have an answer to, sir?
14
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 17: I think the first
15
             I have previous experience in court. About 27 years
16
       ago in South Africa, I was testifying as a physician on an
17
       autopsy I performed.
18
                    THE COURT: Are you a pathologist?
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 17: I'm a hematologist
19
20
       there. I did everything.
21
                    Also, I given deposition for trial between drug
22
       companies and litigation requiring different presentations.
23
       I have given opinions to lawyers on malpractice cases.
24
                    THE COURT: Let's go back to the first matter
25
       in South Africa.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	Were you a party in this litigation?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 17: No. I was just
3	called to be a witness with regard to my findings.
4	THE COURT: You have always been as an expert
5	witness, as a physician?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 17: As an expert
7	witness.
8	THE COURT: Do you feel that the legal system
9	operated fairly in those contexts?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 17: Yes.
11	THE COURT: You understand, of course, those
12	matters have nothing to do with this case?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 17: Sure.
14	THE COURT: Do you feel you can put your
15	experience in those matters to one side and judge this case
16	fairly and impartially based only on the evidence and the
17	Court's instructions on the law?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 17: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Do you have other answers to any of
20	the questions I asked?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 17: No.
22	THE MARSHAL: 18.
23	THE COURT: Mr. Gorestsas, good morning.
24	Which question do you have an answer to?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Question 1.

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	THE COURT: Yes.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: I was involved as a
3	plaintiff in a limited partnership in Alexandria District
4	Court.
5	THE COURT: How did that come about?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: It was a financial
7	matter which the primary partner was involved in taking
8	funds for other purposes in a limited partnership at the
9	Washington Airport.
10	THE COURT: Was the outcome in that case
11	favorable to you?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: It dragged on for
13	several years. As far as the limited partnership, all our
14	monies involved in it we lost. And the county ended up
15	stepping in.
16	THE COURT: Do you feel the legal system
17	operated fairly?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: From our
19	perspective, we all were left out in the cold.
20	THE COURT: You understand, of course, that
21	case has nothing to do with this case?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Do you feel you can put your
24	experience to one side and judge this case fairly and
25	impartially based only on the evidence and the Court's

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	instructions on the law?
2	You have to answer so he can hear you.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 18: Yes.
4	THE COURT: I'll ask again.
5	Do you feel you can render a fair and impartial
6	verdict in this case?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 11: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Do you have answers to any of the
9	other questions put to you?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 11: Yes.
11	THE COURT: You may return to your seat.
12	He nodded.
13	THE MARSHAL: No. 24.
14	THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Kalich.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: I work for the
16	American Safety and Health Administration. I serve as a
17	government witness, expert witness, in cases before
18	administrative law judges.
19	THE COURT: Do you feel the legal system
20	operated fairly in that context?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Yes.
22	THE COURT: Do you understand that none of
23	those cases have anything to do with this case?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Correct.
25	THE COURT: Do you feel you can put your

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
experience in those cases to one side and judge this case
1
       fairly and impartially based only on the evidence and the
2
       Court's instructions on the law?
3
 4
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: Yes.
 5
                    THE COURT: Do you have answers to any of the
6
       other questions I put to you?
7
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 24: None.
 8
                    THE MARSHAL: 14.
 9
                    THE COURT: Good morning, Ms. Dove.
10
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: Hello.
                    THE COURT: What does William Bergman do?
11
12
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: We are a
13
       multiple-service management company. We manage nonprofit
14
       associations and foundations that are small enough or do not
15
       want to hire their own staff. We provide full management
16
       services to them.
17
                    THE COURT: Thank you.
18
                    Which question do you have an answer to?
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: The one that serving
19
20
       or as a witness or --
21
                    THE COURT: Yes.
22
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: I have.
23
                    THE COURT: In what cases?
24
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: It was a long time
25
       ago. It was about 25 years ago. It was a theft case.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
1
       was a witness. It was in Washington, D.C. I worked for an
2
       association in a building that rented space, leased space,
3
       in Washington, D.C. The case was brought against the
       management of the building for filtering money from parking
 4
 5
       fees and other things, and it was managing that company. So
6
       I was called to witness what payments were made, in what
7
       fashion, and so part.
 8
                    THE COURT: All right. Do you feel that the
9
       legal system in that case operated fairly?
10
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: Oh, yes.
                    THE COURT: What was the outcome?
11
12
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: I think he was found
13
       guilty.
14
                    THE COURT: Do you understand this case has
15
       nothing to do with that case?
16
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: Oh, yes.
17
                    THE COURT: Do you feel you can put your
18
       experience to one side and judge this case fairly and
19
       impartially based solely on the evidence presented here and
20
       the Court's instructions?
21
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: I do, sir.
22
                    I have a question.
23
                    Would you be asking -- I have a special set of
24
       circumstances with regard to my profession, job --
25
                    THE COURT: All right.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: -- in the next few
1
2
       days and so forth, because my boss is in and out of the
3
       hospital. I had sent this letter.
 4
                    THE COURT: Let me see that.
 5
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: Okay. I didn't know
6
       whether this was the appropriate time to --
7
                    THE COURT: I expect this case will last two,
 8
       perhaps at most three days starting today.
 9
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: I'm under some
10
       pretty big deadlines. I'll tell you why. Because the
       program product for this meeting, it prints on Friday. The
11
12
       two books for the two board meetings are due on Monday.
                                                                I'm
13
       under just a real terrible, terrible time crunch, your
14
       Honor. I don't mean to shirk --
15
                    THE COURT: I know you don't, because you have
16
       you served in the past.
17
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 14: I will be happy to.
                    THE COURT: I will take that into account.
18
19
                    That is No. 14.
20
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge.
21
                    THE COURT: Any objection to striking No. 14?
22
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: No, Judge.
23
                    ATTORNEY EISINGER:
                                       No.
24
                    THE COURT: No. 14 is stricken.
25
                    THE MARSHAL: No. 2.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	THE COURT: Mr. Berman, good morning, sir.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Good morning, sir.
3	THE COURT: Which question do you have?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: The bailiff told me I
5	should tell you this. I was convicted of a Class 2
6	misdemeanor in Fairfax County court.
7	THE COURT: How long ago?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Must have been five
9	or six years ago.
10	THE COURT: What was the misdemeanor?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I called a meter maid
12	a bitch. I was telling it like it was.
13	THE COURT: And do you feel the legal system in
14	that case against you operated fairly?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: No, I do not.
16	THE COURT: Why is that?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I'm not this is
18	not representing the law enforcement office because many of
19	them, they lied on the stand in that particular case.
20	THE COURT: And do you feel you can put that
21	experience to one side and judge this case fairly and
22	impartially based only on the evidence and the Court's
23	instructions?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Absolutely, yes.
25	THE COURT: Anything other than that incident

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
with the meter maid?
1
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Small claims actions
2
       that I took against Blue Cross/Blue Shield in a general
3
       District Court action that I took in Fairfax County.
 4
 5
                    THE COURT: What about the first one, small
6
       claims matter?
7
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I won.
 8
                    THE COURT: Do you feel the legal system
9
       operated fairly in that case?
10
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Absolutely. At least
11
       in my case.
12
                    THE COURT: Is there anything about that
13
       experience that would prevent or hinder you in any way from
14
       rendering a fair and impartial verdict in this case?
15
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2:
16
                    THE COURT: You said in the first incident, the
       meter maid, I take it, had testified falsely.
17
18
                    Is that who testified?
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Yes. She -- it was a
19
20
       minor white lie. I admitted I called her a bitch. I was
21
       very upset. But she basically said I called her a bitch
22
       five to ten times, and then she called the Fairfax County
23
       Police Department to make sure I couldn't get my car out,
       and they corroborated her story. And I had never said a
24
25
       word to either of them. Yes, that is how it worked out.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	THE COURT: Your feelings are understandable.
2	I want to be sure you can put that to one side and not have
3	that influence your consideration in this case.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I understand.
5	THE COURT: Can you do that?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Absolutely.
7	THE COURT: Can you be fair to the defendant
8	and to the government in this case?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Yes.
10	THE COURT: You may return to your seat.
11	THE MARSHAL: No. 30.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Milland.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: Yes.
14	THE COURT: What question do you have an answer
15	to?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: I believe it's the
17	one about appearing in court.
18	THE COURT: Yes, sir.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: About 15 years ago
20	in Fairfax County, I was involved in sort of like a domestic
21	dispute. I followed my ex-girlfriend to the job. She
22	showed up with this guy. Basically, I tried to speed up and
23	had a collision with the car, then left the scene. Then
24	there was an alleged she also filed an alleged assault
25	charge on me, which never occurred. I went to court. The

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
1
       assault charge was dropped. I ended up paying for the
2
       damage to his car.
3
                    THE COURT: Do you feel the legal system
 4
       operated fairly?
 5
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: Yes.
6
                    THE COURT: Do you feel you can put that
7
       experience to one side and judge this case fairly and
8
       impartially based only on the evidence and the Court's
9
       instructions on the law?
10
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: Yes, I do.
11
                    THE COURT: Anything else?
12
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: No.
13
                    THE COURT: Thank you.
14
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: Thank you.
15
                    THE MARSHAL: No. 38.
16
                    THE COURT: Yes, Ms. Sobarra.
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 38: Yes.
17
18
                    THE COURT: Which question do you have an
19
       answer to?
20
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: I have been --
21
       30 years ago, I was in a trial for a car accident. It
22
       was -- it actually -- I'm trying to think. It was a
       mistrial. I was in a car accident, and the woman sued me --
23
24
       was trying to sue me for injuries, and it ended up being a
25
       mistrial.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	THE COURT: And was it ultimately settled?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: No.
3	THE COURT: That was the end of it?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: That was basically
5	the end of it.
6	THE COURT: Do you feel the legal system was
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: I was very young.
8	It was 19 years ago. I think it was, yes, I assume so.
9	Because it was basically her word against my word and no
10	witnesses, I don't think.
11	THE COURT: Do you feel you can put that
12	experience to one side and judge this case fairly and
13	impartially based on the evidence and the Court's
14	instructions?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 30: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Thank you. You may return to your
17	seat.
18	THE MARSHAL: No. 21.
19	THE COURT: Mr. Hester.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 21: Yes, sir.
21	THE COURT: Good morning.
22	I can't resist the temptation to ask.
23	What is that, geospatial intelligence?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 21: We make maps for the
25	military and civilian organizations.

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
1
                    THE COURT: Is it a part of the Department of
       Defense?
 2
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 21: It's within the
 3
 4
       Department of Defense and intelligence community, yes.
 5
                    THE COURT: Which question do you have an
 6
       answer to?
 7
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 21: The part about
       tendency to believe a police officer because he is a police
 8
 9
       officer.
10
                    THE COURT: Or disbelieve.
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 21: I tend to believe a
11
12
       police officer. I tend to believe a police officer's
13
       testimony.
14
                    THE COURT: You understand, of course, police
15
       officers, like all of us, are human beings?
16
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 21: Yes, I do.
17
                    THE COURT: Sometimes they're truthful; and
18
       sometimes they're not.
19
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 21: Yes, sir.
20
                    THE COURT: Can you put that aside and judge
21
       the testimony of a police officers just as you would judge
22
       the testimony of any other witness?
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 21: Yes. I was raised
23
24
       by my father that police officers were to be respected and
25
       believed and everything.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	THE COURT: But you understand in this context
2	you're going to be asked when to believe the law enforcement
3	officers' testimony, and you'll have to take into
4	consideration a number of factors to decide whether his or
5	her testimony is credible or not. You should do that I
6	would instruct you to do that just as you would the
7	testimony of any other person.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 21: Yes.
9	I was told downstairs about travel.
10	THE COURT: Yes.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 21: My wife and I were
12	planning a cruise, leaving on Saturday. I did not put in
13	for deferral.
14	THE COURT: This case is not going to last that
15	long.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 21: We were leaving on
17	Saturday.
18	THE COURT: This will not interfere.
19	MR. IWEANOGE: I move to strike him for cause.
20	THE COURT: I think you would probably join,
21	wouldn't you?
22	ATTORNEY EISINGER: Yes.
23	THE COURT: He is stricken.
24	What was his name?
25	ATTORNEY EISINGER: 21, Hester.

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	THE COURT: 21, he's stricken.
2	THE MARSHAL: No. 6.
3	THE COURT: Mr. Brawner.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: How are you doing?
5	THE COURT: The first question, whether you've
6	participated in any legal capacity at all.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: No.
8	THE COURT: The second question was whether you
9	would tend to believe or disbelieve the testimony of a law
10	enforcement officer merely because that person is a law
11	enforcement officer.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: I would believe his
13	testimony.
14	THE COURT: Well, you understand law
15	enforcement officers are human beings and
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: They are sworn to
17	tell the truth and all that, take the oath and all that.
18	THE COURT: So is every witness who takes the
19	stand.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: Yes. I tend to
21	believe law enforcement.
22	THE COURT: Thank you. You may return to your
23	seat.
24	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: I move to strike.
25	THE COURT: Granted.

 $\verb|MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ|, RPR/CM/RMR|$

1	No objection?
2	ATTORNEY EISINGER: No.
3	THE CLERK: We're down to three.
4	THE COURT: All right. You may remain here. I
5	have three more questions I'm going to ask. I'm going to
6	ask them whether anything about the case suggests any reason
7	why they would not be able to sit as fair and impartial
8	jurors.
9	And I will also tell them the case will last
10	two, no more than three days. And I'll ask them again does
11	that present any reason why they couldn't sit as fair and
12	impartial jurors. And that's really all I'm going to ask.
13	Does the government have any additional voir
14	dire?
15	ATTORNEY EISINGER: No, your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Does the defense?
17	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Just in case, to be on the
18	safe side, have any of them ever been a victim of identity
19	fraud.
20	THE COURT: I will ask.
21	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: You said you would not be
22	sitting on Thursday and Friday?
23	THE COURT: I will sit on Thursday if we have
24	to get it done by then.
25	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge.

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1 Other than that, if your Honor would let me in 2 the morning -- I'm taking my son for a procedure in the 3 morning. It won't take more than an hour. THE COURT: I will allow that. Remain here. 4 5 (In open court:) 6 THE COURT: All right. I have three final 7 questions for you. 8 First, I want to know whether any of you or any 9 member of your immediate family have ever been a victim of 10 identity theft or fraud. 11 Second, I've told you what the nature of the 12 case is about, and I expect that the case will last no more 13 than two days perhaps spilling over into a third. And I 14 want to know whether there is any reason, either from the 15 nature of the case or from any of the questions that I've 16 asked, that suggests to you that you would not be able to be 17 a fair and impartial juror. If you think that's the case, I 18 want you to come forward. 19 Also, I want to know whether any of you have 20 any reason -- and if you've already expressed this, you 21 don't need to come forward again -- but if you have any 22 disability that needs to be accommodated during the 23 two days -- two- to three-day trial that we will have, you 24 may come forward. 25 Mr. Wood will have you come forward one at a

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
time.
1
2
                    Let me preface this. I know that jury service
       is always an inconvenience and an imposition. All of you
3
 4
       lead busy lives, both business and personal. And if I were
 5
       to excuse all jurors with business and personal
6
       inconveniences, we would not be able to proceed with jury
7
       trials.
8
                    So I'll ask you to be understanding and come to
       me with only exigent reasons. Jury service always involves
9
10
       sacrifices for all jurors. This would be for only two or
       three days.
11
12
                    (Sidebar conference continues as follows:)
13
                    THE MARSHAL: 15.
14
                    THE COURT: All right. Mr. Foushay.
15
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 15: I recognize jury
16
       service probably is always not convenient, but at this time
17
       it would be incredibly inconvenient. I'm an independent
18
       contractor, and I do have contract negotiations that need to
19
       be met in a time limit.
20
                    THE COURT: How large an organization do you
21
       have?
22
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 15: I'm it.
23
                    THE COURT: Do you feel you can make
24
       arrangements if it were to last two days, and possibly a
25
       third day?
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
1
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 15: Your Honor, my
2
       finances are such that any day would be a bad day.
3
                    THE COURT: You may not be selected. I will
 4
       certainly take that into account. You may be seated,
 5
      Mr. Foushay.
6
                    Let's wait and see with Mr. Foushay.
7
                    THE MARSHAL: No. 5.
 8
                    THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Brakefield.
9
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: My parents were
10
       victims of credit card fraud.
11
                    THE COURT: How long ago was that?
12
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: Seven years.
13
                    THE COURT: Did they suffer any loss?
14
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: No, they did not.
15
                    THE COURT: Do you feel you can put -- that
16
       would prevent or hinder you in any way from rendering a fair
       and impartial verdict in this case?
17
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: No.
18
19
                    THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
20
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: Can we ask about
21
       vacation? I'm scheduled to drive with my family Thursday
22
       afternoon to Ohio for Easter.
23
                    THE COURT: Well, I expect this case will be
24
       over by then, but it may not be. You may have to postpone
25
       it one day.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	Do you feel you can do that?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 5: If I have to.
3	THE COURT: Thank you. I appreciate it.
4	THE MARSHAL: No. 39.
5	THE COURT: Yes, Ms. Sufey.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: I have vacation
7	taken for Thursday and Friday. I have a family member
8	coming from New York. I have already my cousin here from
9	England. That is the two days that would be conflicting for
10	me.
11	THE COURT: Which two days?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: Thursday and Friday.
13	THE COURT: Well, I expect the case will be
14	over by then, but it may not be. It may last through
15	Thursday.
16	Can you make arrangements if it's necessary? I
17	don't think we definitely won't go to Friday. It may go
18	to Thursday. Do you feel you can make some arrangements?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 39: We planned to go out
20	of town, all of us together. That's where I'm
21	THE COURT: All right. I will take that into
22	account, Ms. Sufey. Thank you for calling that to my
23	attention.
24	THE MARSHAL: 43.
25	THE COURT: I will do that together with the

```
1
       other one.
2
                    Ms. Toth.
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 43: They told me to tell
3
       you I have a staph infection in my finger. They just wanted
 4
 5
       me to let you know.
6
                    THE COURT: Not a problem. If you need a
7
       recess, if you have to apply some medication or something to
8
       it, just raise your hand and I'll accommodate it.
 9
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 43: Another thing. I
10
       don't know if this is important, but I'm a nanny. If I miss
11
       work, my employers have to miss work. I don't know if
12
       that's something to tell you about or not.
13
                    THE COURT: It's an inconvenience, but jury
14
       service is a duty. And interfering with jury service can be
15
       a legal violation, a violation of the law. So I'm sure they
16
       will accommodate you in some way just as if they were to
       have jury service.
17
18
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 43: Well, I just thought
19
       I would say that.
20
                    THE COURT: Thank you for calling that to my
21
       attention.
22
                    THE MARSHAL: 42.
23
                    THE COURT: Yes, Ms. Tisson.
24
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 42: Yes. I couldn't
25
       understand what was going on with this case. I come to find
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	out
2	THE COURT: Your native language is what?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 42: Spanish.
4	THE COURT: So is mine.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR No. 42: I'm Argentinian.
6	THE COURT: All right. You think you would
7	have difficulty?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 42: I was in the
9	emergency room yesterday for pain. I'm taking some
10	medicine. I don't feel good, either. I come because I have
11	to come today.
12	THE COURT: So you're saying you can understand
13	the testimony, but you don't feel well?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 42: I couldn't
15	understand the testimony, either.
16	THE COURT: Thank you for calling that to my
17	attention.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 42: Thank you very much.
19	THE COURT: I will strike Ms. Tisson on the
20	Court's own motion. I think she clearly has difficulty
21	understanding. In addition, she is obviously not well.
22	THE MARSHAL: 41.
23	THE COURT: Mr. Terry?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 41: Yes, sir. It
25	catches me sometimes.

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
I have a deceased relative who was defrauded
1
       with a diamond mine fraud out of Africa. I don't know if
2
       this gentleman is from that part of the world. She was
3
 4
       defrauded and was -- it was one of those get-rich-quick
 5
       schemes. She invested a couple thousand dollars, and she
6
       never got a dime or anything from it.
7
                    THE COURT: Well, I can assure you that matter
 8
       has nothing whatever to do with this case.
 9
                    Do you feel you can put your experience to one
10
       side and judge this case only on the basis of the evidence
11
       you see and hear presented in the courtroom and the Court's
12
       instructions on the law?
13
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 41: Well, as you
14
       explained to me, Judge, just now about identity theft or
15
       fraud, that's when this situation came to my mind, that I
16
       remembered it. She was a very elderly woman. It just was
17
       painful she lost this money. I would want to say, yes, I
18
       could put that aside and that wouldn't deter the outcome.
                    THE COURT: This isn't a case about whether
19
20
       identity theft is a good or bad thing.
21
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 41: Yes.
22
                    THE COURT: Congress has proscribed laws that
       prohibit it.
23
24
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 41: Yes, sir.
25
                    THE COURT: The question is whether the
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
1
       government could prove beyond a reasonable doubt each of the
2
       elements of the offense charged.
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 41: Yes.
3
                    THE COURT: The burden is entirely on the
 4
 5
       government, not on the defendant.
6
                    And the question is can you be a fair and
7
       impartial juror in deciding whether the government has
 8
       proved the elements of the offense beyond a reasonable
9
       doubt?
10
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 41: I have to say no.
11
       have to say I can't. Until you mentioned this about -- I
12
       mean, I was ready to say yes to everything. But just now,
13
       it's kind of -- that experience robbed us both.
14
                    THE COURT: You may return to your seat.
15
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: I move to strike, Judge.
16
                    THE COURT: All right. Any objection to the
       motion to strike?
17
18
                    ATTORNEY EISINGER: No.
19
                    THE COURT: Mr. Terry is stricken.
20
                    Now, we're getting down fairly low. There were
21
       two jurors that we held that we held in abeyance. One was
       Mr. Foushay, and I'm inclined to strike him. He is a
22
23
       single, one-person -- the contractor.
24
                    ATTORNEY EISINGER: Contractor.
25
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: No objection.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	THE COURT: No. 15 is stricken.
2	Then the other person that we have held in
3	abeyance was Ms. Sufey. She was leaving with her family
4	or was having family arrive here on Thursday or Friday. We
5	have four more people.
6	ATTORNEY EISINGER: Five.
7	THE CLERK: Five.
8	THE COURT: Five more people. We may have to
9	reduce the number of restrictions and the number of people
10	we select.
11	THE CLERK: Judge, did you strike Ms. Sufey?
12	THE COURT: Not yet.
13	THE MARSHAL: No. 36.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 36: Juror 36. I have a
15	daughter with special needs, Down's Syndrome. I work from
16	my home. I don't have arrangements to care for her after
17	today.
18	THE COURT: Can you make those arrangements?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 36: Potentially. I
20	don't know until I get home and get to a phone.
21	THE COURT: Because you need to be able to
22	serve on a jury and make some arrangements unless it's
23	clearly impossible, in which case I will excuse you. We
24	would like you to be a potential juror in our case.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 36: And I would like to

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
1
      be a juror. I'm fine doing that. I just don't know. It's
2
       a question for me until I can get to a phone and get home
3
       and try and make those arrangements.
 4
                    THE COURT: All right. I will take that into
 5
       account, Mr. Sadowski.
6
                    THE MARSHAL: No. 34.
7
                    THE COURT: Yes, Ms. Monroe.
 8
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 34: Yes. I'm attending
9
       a party. I'm part of a wedding. I'm traveling up to
10
       Philadelphia on Friday.
11
                    THE COURT: You will be free for that.
12
                    Thank you for calling that to my attention.
13
                    THE MARSHAL: No. 33.
14
                    THE COURT: Yes, Ms. Mumpower.
15
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: I'm a teacher.
                                                               This
16
       is my spring break, which means my own children are out of
17
       school. I have daycare issues because of that.
18
                    THE COURT: I have sympathy for that. My wife
       is a teacher. I will --
19
20
                    How old are your children?
21
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: 12 and 14.
22
                    THE COURT: You may not be selected as a juror.
23
       If you are, I'll ask that you make arrangements because it's
24
       very important that we -- it's an inconvenience, as you can
25
       tell by the number of people that stood up. If I excused
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
everybody, we simply could not proceed. I will ask you to
 1
       bear with us.
 2
 3
                    Where do you teach?
 4
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: Lovitt Elementary.
 5
                    THE COURT: You teach what grade?
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 33: Fourth.
 6
 7
                    THE COURT: We don't appreciate our teachers
 8
       enough.
 9
                    Thank you.
10
                    THE MARSHAL: 38.
11
                    THE COURT: Ms. Sobarra.
12
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 38: I'm scheduled for a
13
       family vacation Wednesday through Friday. We are home as a
14
       family. I wanted to bring that to your attention.
15
                    THE COURT: You may not be selected. I think
16
       the case will be over before Thursday, but no longer than
       Thursday.
17
18
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 38: I just wanted to
19
       bring that --
20
                    THE MARSHAL: No. 12.
21
                    THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Childs.
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12: Yes, sir.
22
23
       Approximately two and a half years ago, my sister had to go
24
       to court in Bucks County. Her former boyfriend and his
25
       girlfriend at the time had stolen her identity, accessed her
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
cell phone information, changed her password, went to her
1
 2
       bank account.
3
                    The court ultimately -- my sister decided not
 4
       go to court. The case was dropped. I should say because
 5
       she had -- as a result of that activity had written some
6
       aggressive letters and had been counter-charged with assault
7
       in the case. And they -- the two parties decided not to do
 8
       anything. There had not been any economic damage to my
9
       sister, just an exchanging of privileges. But there was an
10
       identity theft issue in that process.
                    THE COURT: You understand that that event --
11
12
       those matters have nothing whatever to do with this case?
13
       You understand that?
14
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12: Based on what I know
15
       so far.
16
                    THE COURT: Well, it has nothing whatever to do
       with this case.
17
18
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12: Okay.
19
                    THE COURT: Do you feel you can put your
20
       feelings about that matter to one side and judge this case
21
       fairly and impartially based only on the evidence you see
22
       and hear presented here and the Court's instructions?
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12: Yes.
23
24
                    THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
25
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 12: One other issue.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
For the holiday, I will travel with the family
1
2
       starting at 8:00 on Friday morning. I don't know if that
3
      presents any other challenges.
 4
                    THE COURT: No. We expect to be done by then.
 5
                    Thank you.
                    THE MARSHAL: No. 2.
6
7
                    THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Berman.
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Hi again.
 8
9
                    First of all, ten years ago I was a victim of
10
       identity theft. I went to Vietnamese restaurant, used my
11
       credit card. I paid my bill -- he gave me my bill, and I
12
       signed the receipt. The credit card wasn't there. By the
13
       next morning, there was, like, $3,000 worth of Asian spices
14
       laundered.
15
                    THE COURT: Was he ever apprehended?
16
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I haven't a clue.
       turned it over to the credit card company. They covered all
17
18
       costs. There was no money out of my pocket.
                    THE COURT: You understand that matter has
19
20
      nothing whatever to do with this case?
21
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: Absolutely.
22
                    THE COURT: Do you feel you can put your
23
       feelings to one side?
24
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: I got paid. I have
25
      no feelings whatsoever.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
1
                    THE COURT: Do you feel you can be fair and
 2
       impartial?
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 2: That leads me to
 3
 4
       part two.
 5
                    I would like to be excused because tomorrow is
 6
       the start of Passover, majoor Jewish holiday. My wife
 7
       passed away. I do all the cooking, and I spend it with
 8
       all -- with my daughter. If I can't, I can't. That is my
 9
       preference.
10
                    THE COURT: You may not be selected. I'm glad
11
       you brought that to my attention.
12
                    Any objection to striking Mr. Berman?
13
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: No, Judge.
14
                    THE COURT: All right. Any objection?
15
                    ATTORNEY EISINGER: No.
16
                    ATTORNEY NEWBY: No.
                    THE COURT: Mr. Berman. Let's also strike --
17
18
                    How many does that leave us now?
19
                    All right. You're back to six strikes, and
20
       you're at 11. But we're only going to pick 11. So we'll
21
       pick the first 10. You will exercise your strikes against
       the first 10. You will have 11. You will have 6. And then
22
       we'll pick an additional juror at the end who will be the
23
24
       alternate.
25
                    THE CLERK: Did you say 10?
```

```
1
                    THE COURT: We will pick 12.
2
                    Does any counsel want me to ask her a question
3
       about that, Mr. Iweanoge?
 4
                    ATTORNEY EISINGER: No, your Honor.
 5
                    THE MARSHAL: No. 6.
                    THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Brawner.
6
7
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: Yes.
8
                    THE COURT: Do you have something further that
9
       you want to say?
10
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: I thought we had to
11
       get in line to answer the question.
12
                    THE COURT: The question was was there anything
13
       in the nature of the case or anything -- or any question
14
       that I asked that would suggest that you would not be a fair
15
       and impartial juror? And secondly, have you or any member
16
       of your family been a victim of identity fraud?
                    PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 6: No.
17
18
                    THE COURT: Thank you.
19
                    The only persons that have been stricken,
20
      No. 2, No. 6, No. 14, No. 15, No. 20 --
21
                    Or is that 21?
22
                    ATTORNEY EISINGER: 21.
23
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: 21.
24
                    THE COURT: -- No. 37. And I'm going to strike
25
       39 and 41.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	Any objection to striking
2	ATTORNEY EISINGER: 39?
3	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: No, Judge.
4	ATTORNEY EISINGER: No, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: And then finally, No. 42 and
6	No. 44.
7	ATTORNEY EISINGER: That's correct.
8	THE COURT: All right. We don't have enough.
9	So I'm not going to pick any alternate. We'll pick 12. You
10	will have 6. You will have 10. That will take us to 28.
11	That's all we have left.
12	ATTORNEY EISINGER: Okay.
13	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Call 12 jurors. No alternate. 6
15	and 10.
16	THE CLERK: Yes, sir.
17	THE COURT: Let's do it as quickly as you can.
18	(End of sidebar conference, open court as
19	follows:)
20	THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen,
21	we will proceed with the jury selection process now. The
22	deputy clerk will call a number or name by lot. You will
23	come and sit in the jury box. Counsel will have an
24	opportunity to exercise their peremptory challenges. I
25	expect this will take no longer than 15 to 20 minutes.

 $\verb|MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ|, RPR/CM/RMR|$

1	All right. You may proceed.
2	THE CLERK: If the following jurors can come
3	forward and take a seat:
4	Juror No. 34, Selena Monroe.
5	Juror No. 7, Teresa Breitenthaller.
6	Juror No. 4, Michael Black.
7	Juror No. 17, Victor Gordeuk.
8	Juror No. 27, George Mashood.
9	Juror No. 8, James Brooks.
10	Juror No. 46, Mary Zurawski.
11	Juror No. 25, James Kelly.
12	Juror No. 26, Olga Lorincz-Reck.
13	Juror No. 33, Robin Mumpower.
14	Juror No. 3, Michael Bitzer.
15	And Juror No. 5, William Brakefield.
16	THE COURT: Let's expedite, Counsel.
17	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes.
18	THE CLERK: The following jurors can return to
19	their seats in the courtroom:
20	Juror No. 7, Teresa Breitenhaller.
21	Juror No. 27, George Mashood.
22	Juror No. 33, Robin Mumpower.
23	Juror No. 8, James Brooks.
24	Juror No. 3, Michael Bitzer.
25	Juror No. 25, James Kelly.

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
Juror No. 46, Mary Zurawski.
1
                    Juror No. 4, Michael Black.
2
                    And if the following jurors can please come
3
 4
       forward and take a seat in the jury box as instructed by the
 5
       security officer:
                    Juror No. 11, Gonzalo Cebas.
6
7
                    Juror No. 13, Kati Davie.
                    Juror No. 24, Michael Kalich.
 8
                    Juror No. 16, Patricia Fox.
 9
10
                    Juror No. 23, Donerell Dudson.
11
                    Juror No. 36, Thomas Sadowski.
12
                    Juror No. 18, Michael Goretsas.
13
                    Juror No. 28, Tram McCarthy.
14
                    The following jurors can return to their seats
15
       in the courtroom:
16
                    Juror No. 18, Michael Goretsas.
                    Juror No. 11, Gonzalo Cebas.
17
                    Juror No. 36, Thomas Sadowski.
18
                    Juror No. 24, Michael Kalich.
19
20
                    And if the following jurors can please come
       forward and take a seat in the jury box, as instructed by
21
22
       the security officer:
                    Juror No. 31, Sung-Hee Miller.
23
                    Juror No. 40, Michelle Telleria.
24
25
                    Juror No. 43, Rachel Toth.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
1
                    Juror No. 19, Mark Gunderman.
 2
                    The following jurors can return to their seats
 3
       in the courtroom:
 4
                    Juror No. 43, Rachel Toth.
 5
                    Juror No. 19, Mark Gunderman.
                    And if the following jurors can please come
 6
 7
       forward and take a seat in the jury box:
                    Juror No. 12, John Childs.
 8
                    Juror No. 30, Richard Milland.
 9
10
                    The following juror can return to his seat in
11
       the courtroom:
12
                    Juror No. 30, Richard Milland.
13
                    And if the following juror can please come
14
       forward and take a seat in the jury box:
15
                    Juror No. 38, Evelyn Sobarra.
16
                    THE COURT: All right. You may swear the jury.
17
                    THE CLERK: If the jury will stand, please, and
18
       raise your right hand. And after the oath is administered,
19
       you will say, "I shall."
20
                    THE COURT: The defendant needs to stand and
21
       face the jury.
22
                    Proceed.
23
                    (Jury sworn.)
24
                    THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen,
25
       I'm now able to excuse the remainder of you. Again, I want
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

to thank you. We could not have proceeded without your participation today. Your participation was vital, and we appreciate that.

As I told you, I've had the occasion to serve the civil and criminal justice systems in other parts of the world. And I think we have something quite unique and precious here. And we could not do that without the willing service of many persons, including yourselves. We thank you for that.

I've had the occasion, also, to participate with a group of my fellows many years ago now, seems more than 30 years ago. I joined -- nearly 40 years ago, I joined with a group of my fellow attorneys at that time to eliminate exemptions that had grown up in jury service over the years. Not surprisingly, a number of exemptions had cropped up; exemptions for legislators, physicians, dentists, and many others, including some exotic ones, Tangier Island residents, Chesapeake Bay pilots, and many others.

We thought that was wrong. We thought everyone should have the obligation, indeed the privilege, of serving as a juror. So we set about to persuade the General Assembly of Virginia to eliminate those exemptions.

And I'm pleased to say that we succeeded in large measure so that in the time that I have presided over

cases here and elsewhere, Richmond and the Tidewater, I've 1 had jurors from all walks of life participate; certainly 2 judges and lawyers, physicians, dentists, Chesapeake Bay 3 pilots, and Tangier Island residents. So it's as it should 4 5 be. 6 We tried to eliminate the exemption for the 7 Governor of Virginia to serve in civil cases. Of course, 8 the Governor of Virginia could not serve in criminal matters, but in civil matters. That effort wasn't 9 10 successful. But I understand that it continues and may one 11 day succeed. I think it should because everyone, including 12 the governor, should have the privilege of serving as a 13 juror. 14 Thank you. You may now depart. Return when 15 the Clerk's Office next directs. 16 (Remainder of prospective jurors excused at 17 12:37 p.m.) PRELIMINARY JURY INSTRUCTIONS 18 19 THE COURT: All right. Members of the jury, 20 now that you've been sworn, I'm going to give you some 21 instructions to guide you in your participation in this trial. These will be brief, about five minutes to 22 23 ten minutes. 24 And then you will take the luncheon recess. 25 And when you return, you will have your own little books to

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

take notes in. But these preliminary instructions you do not need to take notes on these because you'll hear them again.

It will be your duty in this case to find from the evidence presented what the facts are. You and you alone will be the judges of facts of this case. You and you alone will be the judges of the credibility of the testimony of all of the witnesses and the weight and effect of their testimony.

You will then have to apply to the facts, as you find them from the evidence in the case, the law that the Court will give to you in the form of instructions. And you must follow that law whether you agree with it or not.

Nothing the Court may say or do in the course of the trial is intended to indicate to you nor should it be taken by you as indicating what your verdict should be.

What your verdict is is your sole and exclusive duty and responsibility.

Now, the evidence from which you will find the facts of the case will consist of the testimony of the witnesses who will testify from the witness stand directly across from you and any documents or other materials that the Court admits into the record as exhibits and any facts that the lawyers may agree or stipulate to or that the Court may instruct you that you may find.

There are, however, certain matters that are not evidence. And let me list those for you now.

First, the lawyers' arguments, the lawyers' statements, the lawyers' questions by themselves are not evidence. Objections to questions are not evidence.

Lawyers have an obligation to make objections when they believe evidence is being offered that's contrary to the Rules of Evidence.

The essence of a fair trial is that it be conducted pursuant to the Rules of Evidence and that your decision be based solely on legally admissible evidence. So you should not be influenced by the objection or by the Court's ruling on it.

If the objection is sustained, ignore the question. If the objection is overruled, then you may treat the answer to that question just as you would treat the answer to any other question. And if I instruct you that some item of evidence is admitted for a limited purpose, you must follow that instruction.

Also, any testimony that the Court excludes or tells you to disregard is not evidence and must not be considered by you. And, of course, anything you may have seen or read or heard outside the courtroom is not evidence. It must be disregarded. You to decide the case solely on the evidence presented here.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, there are two kinds of evidence from which you may find the facts: There is direct evidence and circumstantial evidence. Now, direct evidence is direct proof of a fact, such as the testimony of an eyewitness. Circumstantial evidence is proof of facts from which you may infer or conclude that other facts exist. I'll give you further instructions on these as well as other matters at end of the case. But for now you should have in mind that you may consider both kinds of evidence, both direct and circumstantial. And as I told you, it will be up to you to decide which witnesses to believe, which witnesses not to believe, and how much of each witness' testimony to accept or reject because you're the sole judges of the witness' credibility. I'll give you some guidelines for determining the credibility of witnesses at the end of the case.

As you know, this is a criminal case. There are three rules that you must keep in mind.

First, the defendant is presumed innocent until proven guilty. The indictment against the defendant brought by the government is only an accusation, nothing more.

It's not proof of guilt or anything else, as I previously instructed you. So the defendant starts out with a clean slate.

And second, the burden of proof is on the government until the very end of the case. The defendant has no burden to prove his innocence or to present any testimony or to testify.

Since the defendant has the right to remain silent, the law prohibits you from considering that the defendant may not have testified. So in considering your verdict, you may not discuss or take into account the fact that the defendant may not have testified.

And third, the government must prove the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. And I'll give you further instructions on this point later. But bear in mind that in this respect, a criminal case is different from a civil case.

Now, let me give you a few brief words about your conduct as jurors.

First, I instruct you that during the trial, you are not to discuss the case among yourselves or with anyone. Not until the end of the case when you retire to deliberate on your verdict are you to discuss the case among yourselves.

The moment of deliberation is a precise one, and I'll tell you when that arrives. Until then, don't discuss the matter with anyone or among yourselves. And if anyone should try to speak to you about the case, stop them

and call that to the Court's attention promptly. And, of 1 2 course, don't read or listen to anything on the case. 3 Also, do not undertake to do any research about the case on your own. You're decide the case solely on the 4 5 basis of the evidence presented here and the Court's 6 instructions. 7 And finally, do not form any opinion until all 8 the evidence is in. Keep an open mind until you start your 9 deliberations at the end of the case. I'm going to permit 10 you to take notes. When you return from lunch, there will 11 be booklets with your name on them. They're for your 12 convenience. You may take as many or as few notes as you 13 wish. It's entirely for your convenience. 14 No one will look at the books. Mr. Wood, the 15 court security officer, will collect them and maintain them 16 secure from anyone's view during any recess. At the end of 17 the case, you'll have them to take home with you, and then you may do with them as you wish. 18 19 Now, the trial will begin after lunch. First, 20 the government will have an opportunity to make an opening 21 statement. Mr. Eisinger, how long do you think you need 22 23 for an opening statement? 24 ATTORNEY EISINGER: Approximately 10 to 25 15 minutes, your Honor.

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1 THE COURT: Mr. Iweanoge, do you wish to make 2 an opening statement? 3 ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge. About 4 10 minutes. 5 THE COURT: All right. So first the government 6 will make its opening statement, which is simply an outline 7 of the evidence the government expects to present. And it's 8 offered to help you follow that evidence as it is presented. 9 Then the defendant may, if he wishes, make an opening 10 statement. Remember that opening statements are neither 11 argument nor evidence. 12 Then the government will present its witnesses. 13 The defendant may cross-examine those. And then the defense 14 may, if it wishes, present witnesses. The government may 15 then cross-examine. 16 Then when all the evidence is in, the attorneys 17 will present their closing arguments to you in which they 18 will summarize and interpret the evidence as they recall it 19 for you. Your memory, however, will govern. 20 In other words, the attorneys will tell you 21 what they recall the evidence as being. But it is your memory of that evidence that will control. And they will 22 23 summarize and interpret the evidence for you. 24 Then the Court will give you final instructions 25 on the law and permit you to retire and deliberate on your

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

verdict.

We'll take two recesses, one mid-morning and one in mid-afternoon. However, I give to all of you the privilege of asking for a recess simply by holding your hand up or giving me the sports time signal, and I will call a recess without inquiring of you the reason for the recess.

So I ask that you not avail yourselves of this privilege unless it's truly an exigent reason. Otherwise, you can count on a morning recess and an afternoon recess.

We will reconvene at 1:45. Mr. Wood will tell you what's available for lunch in this area. When we first came to the courthouse -- the courthouse was built in '95 -- there was nothing but fields around here, no buildings at all. This was the first building here.

We were assured that restaurants would spring up like dandelions in spring. I've seen a lot of springs and a lot of dandelions, but not that many restaurants. Perhaps Mr. Wood can give you some direction, but he makes no warranties.

Remember as many of you as wish to do so can eat together, but don't discuss this case. Thank you.

Remember to refrain from discussing the matter among yourselves or with anyone or undertaking any investigation.

You may follow Mr. Wood out now. When you return, don't linger in the halls. Come into the jury room.

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
Mr. Wood will be there and he'll show you in.
1
                    All rise.
2
3
                     (Jury excused for lunch at 12:48 p.m.)
 4
                    THE COURT: You may be seated.
 5
                    Anything further?
6
                    (No response.)
7
                    THE COURT: We'll reconvene, then, at 1:45.
       Court stands in recess.
 8
9
                    (Court recessed at 12:48 p.m.)
10
                    (Court called to order at 1:51 p.m.)
11
                    (Jury not present.)
12
                    THE COURT: We'll proceed at this time.
13
                    Let me confirm that there were no motions to
14
       strike for cause.
15
                    I think you made them in the course of the
16
       voir dire, but there weren't any others, were there,
       Mr. Iweanoge?
17
18
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: No, Judge.
19
                    THE COURT: How about the government as well?
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: No, your Honor.
20
21
                    THE COURT: All right. You may bring the jury
22
       in, Mr. Wood.
23
                    (Jury impaneled at 1:52 p.m.)
24
                    THE COURT: We'll continue with the trial in
25
       this case.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1 Who's going to make the opening statement? 2 Let's have the podium moved. 3 Mr. Eisinger, are you going to make the opening statement on behalf of the government? 4 5 ATTORNEY EISINGER: Yes, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: All right, sir. You may proceed. 7 ATTORNEY EISINGER: Thank you, your Honor. OPENING STATEMENT BY THE GOVERNMENT 8 9 ATTORNEY EISINGER: Over the course of the next 10 two days, the government will be presenting evidence to you 11 showing that defendant, Henry Obilo, along with others 12 conspired to commit bank fraud. 13 Now, although these members were physically 14 located generally in Miami and Dallas, by making calls to 15 credit unions here in Alexandria, they've come under 16 jurisdiction of this court. 17 To date six individuals have pled guilty to 18 their roles in this conspiracy. You'll be hearing from 19 three of them, who will explain how they saw the defendant 20 in the presence of other conspirators going through credit 21 reports, making phone calls to financial institutions, as well as having an agreement with Tobechi Onwuhara, also 22 23 known as Toby, who is the main conspirator in this case, 24 whereby Toby would provide victim identification information 25 on various people to the defendant in return for a portion

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

of the proceeds.

You will hear that the defendant specialized in calls to Bank of America where he often represented himself as a B of A employee and would say to the wire department that, in fact, he had the victim on the phone or in his office and had verified their identity and now wanted to make a wire transfer on their behalf.

The scheme itself varied over time and from attempt to attempt, although in general what would happen is that the conspirators would go to pay for websites that would provide real estate information, and they would get lists of names of people that met a certain profile. That profile might have a certain marital status, a certain amount of money in income per year, a certain amount of money in their house.

Once they had a list of these people, they would go through other websites and gather up personal information like Social Security numbers, date of birth, and other information they would use to run credit reports.

From the credit report, they would find out how much money these people had in home equity line of credit accounts, also known as HELOCs, as well as prior addresses, reoccurring debit accounts, mortgage lenders, auto lenders, and other information they might need to verify themselves and their identity to financial institutions.

Prior to calling the financial institution, they would often go to the phone company of the victim and have the person's home phone forwarded to their own prepaid cell phone. And that way if a bank were to call back a victim with the number they had in their account information, they would, in fact, go back to the conspirators.

And they would use a program called SpoofCard. SpoofCard is a service that allows you to call in to SpoofCard, set a phone number that you want to show up in the person's caller I.D. display, and therefore impersonate someone else's phone number as your phone number. They would use this in order to get the banks to think they were calling from the victim's home number when, in fact, they were calling from a prepaid cell phone.

Once they would call financial institutions, they would provide information like names, Social Security numbers, date of birth, addresses in order to convince the bank or credit unions that they are, in fact, the victim.

If, for whatever reason, they were asked questions they could not answer, they would simply hang up and call back again and get some other customer service rep who hadn't spoken to them and use information they got from the prior call to convince the customer service rep they were, in fact, the victim.

Once they convinced the financial institution that they were, in fact, the victim, they would have money moved from home equity line of credit accounts into a savings or checking account and then also would wire transfer in the hundreds of thousands of dollars to overseas or domestic banks controlled by the conspirators.

You will see that Tobechi Onwuhara and the defendant used on at least one occasion the same prepaid cell phone to call financial institutions.

In addition, the defendant used Tobechi
Onwuhara SpoofCard accounts that he had set up, and you will
hear the defendant's voice on calls made to financial
institutions, notably Bank of America and Visa Card
Services. In addition, you'll hear his voice during an
interview at JFK International Airport in April of 2007.

So not only will you have the co-conspirators who can identify the defendant's voice as well Charlie Pak from the Alexandria Police Department, but you will be able to hear with your own ears that it's the same person.

Likewise, you'll hear recordings of Tobechi
Onwuhara making calls to the financial institutions in this
district. You'll hear an interview with him in April of
2007 -- sorry -- 2008 also at JFK International Airport.
You'll hear from Detective Pak, who will explain how law
enforcement agents were able to trace these calls back to

1	Tobechi Onwuhara.
2	Finally, you'll hear from the victims
3	themselves. They'll explain that they don't know the
4	defendants. They don't know Tobechi Onwuhara. They never
5	authorized any of these transactions. They never authorized
6	anyone to make these transactions on their behalf.
7	Once you've heard all the evidence, the
8	defendant's participation and role in this scheme will
9	become clear as will the only appropriate verdict in this
10	case, which is the defendant is guilty of conspiring to
11	commit bank fraud.
12	Thank you.
13	THE COURT: All right.
14	Mr. Iweanoge, do you wish to make an opening
15	statement?
16	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge.
17	THE COURT: You may proceed.
18	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, sir.
19	May it please the Court, Counsel.
20	OPENING STATEMENT BY THE DEFENDANT
21	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Ladies and gentlemen of the
22	jury, good afternoon to you.
23	On behalf of Mr. Henry Obilo, who is the
24	defendant in this case, the government, as well the Court
25	would like to thank you for taking out time from your other

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

obligations of the day, be that at work, caring for older parents, or caring for your children, to take out time to answer the jury summons to be here today to listen to this case.

As the judge told you during the jury selection process, it's a privilege to sit on a jury because as Thomas Jefferson said so many years ago, that he considers trial by jury the greatest anchor ever yet imagined by which a government, in this case this table right here, is held through to the principles of its Constitution.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, you have now been chosen as jurors to decide this case solely on the evidence alone, not about what you may presuppose, not about what the government or myself says in the opening, but from the evidence adduced in this courtroom. In other words, you're going to see some of it on the screen, you're going to hear witnesses when they take the stand to testify.

At the end of that, we're going to have another opportunity to talk to you again, which the judge will tell you is called closing arguments. The judge will give you instructions. Then and only will the judge instruct you that you into the jury room to deliberate on the case.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, the government has charged one count in this case called conspiracy. And the government's charge is conspiracy to

1 commit bank fraud. This is not the time for argument. 2 have an opportunity to come back to talk to you during the 3 closing argument phase after you've listened to the witnesses and seen the evidence in this case. 4 5 But ladies and gentlemen of the jury, I'm going 6 to ask you, as the judge has instructed you, to withhold 7 opinions. Do not be judgmental. Listen to the evidence before you go in to deliberate. And then you're able to 8 9 reach and make an informed decision based on the evidence. 10 Why do I say that, ladies and gentlemen of the 11 jury? 12 This is not a case about conspiracy. 13 Why? 14 The evidence will show that the individuals in 15 this case went to school together, high school together, in 16 Nigeria before they all migrated to the United States. They 17 eventually became United States citizens, and they continue 18 to associate. Some of them have even been on Facebook. 19 They have even connected on Myspace. 20 At the end of the day, ladies and gentlemen of 21 the jury, the evidence will not show that Mr. Henry Obilo conspired, confederated, assisted in any way, shape, or form 22 23 in the conspiracy as alleged in this case. 24 Let me tell you, ladies and gentlemen of the 25 jury, this case, you're going to see bank records.

going to see and hear numbers. You're going to see individuals who are going to come into the courtroom and say, "I don't know this person. I didn't know that person. Somebody took my money."

But bear in mind, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, that that is not the case. I'm telling you right now

But bear in mind, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, that that is not the case. I'm telling you right now. You're going to hear from witnesses who will tell you that money was taken from their account. Money was moved from their account.

But what the evidence will not show you is that Mr. Henry Obilo took that money from anyone's account that will take the stand to testify in this case.

Let me also remind you the evidence will show, as I have told you previously, these individuals knew each other. What the evidence will show, that knowing each other and being friends -- you're not going to hear any evidence put on Mr. Obilo to any of the criminal activities charged in this particular case.

The evidence will further show that there were contacts made. You're going to hear names. At some point, they will be lost in translation. But let me try to break it down so you may follow.

You're going to hear the name Tobechi Onwuhara,

AKA Toby. I'm going to continue to refer to him as Toby

because there's another name of an individual called

1 Chikezie Onwumere, whose name, if you don't pay attention, 2 will be lost in translation with Onwuhara. 3 So Chikezie Onwumere, I'll refer to him as Toby Onwuhara, full name Tobechi Onwuhara, I will 4 Chikezie. 5 refer to as Toby. 6 You're going to hear about another individual 7 Abel Nnabue. He goes by the name of Q. I'll refer to him 8 as Q so that you will follow and track what happens with 9 these individuals at every part and stage of this case. 10 You may, as the government told you, hear from 11 another individual who in this case has pled guilty by the 12 name of Ezenwa Onyedebelu. I will call him Eze, E-z-e, so 13 that we don't get lost in translation because a lot of the 14 names seem to sound alike, so that you know and follow who was doing what on what occasion. 15 16 You may hear, as the government told you, from 17 another person who pled guilty, Paula Gipson, G-i-p-s-o-n. 18 And there is another individual by the name of 19 Precious Matthews. 20 There's another individual -- those ones are 21 easy to follow. At the end of the day, ladies and gentlemen of 22 23 the jury, one thing you're going to see in this case and the 24 evidence will show from all these names I've given you, from 25 T to Q to Eze to Precious to Matthews to Gipson to Chikezie,

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1 one thing that you're going to see that is common with all 2 those individuals, that at some point they were receiving 3 monies from Toby through Ms. Matthews. When the monies are wired overseas, it's then 4 5 sent back to the United States through Ms. Matthews's 6 account, who would then distribute the monies to individuals 7 at Toby's direction. 8 One thing the evidence will not show in this 9 case is that a dime, a cent has ever made its way to the 10 alleged co-conspirator, Mr. Obilo. 11 At the conclusion of this case, I'm going to 12 come back to talk to you again and then try to summarize 13 what I will submit to you the evidence has shown. And at 14 that time, I'm going to ask you to find Mr. Obilo not guilty 15 because the government will not have proven each and every element of the offense charged in this particular case. 16 17 The law, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, as a 18 great Supreme Court justice once said, is meant to protect 19 the trusting as well as the suspicious. And at the 20 conclusion of this case, you're going to be left with one 21 impression: He may have been suspicious, he may have been trusting, but definitely not guilty. 22 23 Thank you, Judge. 24 THE COURT: Mr. Eisinger, you may call your

first witness.

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

25

```
1
                    ATTORNEY NEWBY: The United States calls Paula
 2
       Gipson.
                    THE COURT: All right. Mr. Newby.
 3
 4
                    ATTORNEY NEWBY: Your Honor, before we start
 5
       with Ms. Gipson's testimony, the government and defendant
 6
       have reached a stipulation that we would like to enter into
 7
       the record.
                    THE COURT: Give it to the court security
 8
       officer when he returns. I'll review it and then I'll
 9
10
       present it.
11
                    Come forward, ma'am.
12
                    Mr. Wood, retrieve from Mr. Newby the
13
       stipulation.
14
                    THE MARSHAL: Yes, sir.
15
                    THE COURT: You may administer the oath to the
16
       witness.
17
                    (Witness sworn.)
18
                    THE COURT: All right. Before we begin,
19
       Mr. Newby, hand the stipulation to Mr. Wood.
20
                    You may be seated, Ms. Gipson.
21
                    ATTORNEY NEWBY: (Complied).
22
                    THE COURT: All right. We can wait on this for
23
       a bit unless you're going to play it during this testimony.
24
                    ATTORNEY NEWBY: We will be playing recordings
25
       during this testimony.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, 1 2 the parties may stipulate to facts; that is, they may agree that certain facts exist. That's called a stipulation. 3 you may accept that fact as having been proved. You're not 4 5 required to, but you may do so. In this instance, the defendant and the 6 7 government stipulate that the call recordings produced by 8 E&M Limited, doing business as SpoofCard.com, on or about 9 February 4th, 2008, and filed as Government's Exhibits 1 and 10 2, are accurate recordings of phone calls made through E&M 11 Limited Systems; and E&M Limited makes and keeps in the 12 ordinary course of business; and that the recordings marked 13 as Government Exhibits 1 and 2 are authentic and admissible 14 in evidence without any additional authentication. 15 In essence, ladies and gentlemen, Exhibits 1 16 and 2 are admitted into evidence, and the parties have 17 stipulated that these call recordings are accurate 18 recordings of the telephone calls. 19 Proceed, Mr. Newby. 20 PAULA GIPSON, having been duly sworn, was 21 examined and testified as follows: 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY ATTORNEY NEWBY: 24 Good afternoon, Ms. Gipson. Q. 25 Please state your name and spell it for the

- 1 court reporter.
- 2 A. Paula Gipson; P-a-u-l-a, G-i-p-s-o-n.
- **3** Q. Ms. Gipson, where do you currently live?
- 4 A. I live in Glenn Heights, Texas.
- 5 Q. How long have you lived in Glenn Heights, Texas?
- 6 A. I been there for about 14 months.
- 7 Q. Where were you living prior to that?
- 8 A. DeSoto, Texas.
- **9** Q. Approximately where are Glenn Heights, Texas and
- 10 DeSoto, Texas?
- 11 A. They're about five miles down the road from each
- 12 other.
- 13 Q. Is that near a major metropolitan area?
- 14 A. The south part of Dallas.
- 15 Q. Ms. Gipson, have you recently been convicted of a
- 16 federal crime?
- 17 A. Yes, I have.
- 18 Q. And what was that federal crime of which you were
- 19 convicted?
- 20 A. Conspiracy to commit bank fraud.
- 21 Q. When were you convicted of that crime?
- **22 A.** February of 2009.
- 23 Q. Was that pursuant to a plea agreement?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. You pled guilty?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. Prior to that crime, have you been convicted of any
- federal crimes in the past?
- **4 A.** Yes. In the year of 2000.
- **5** Q. What was that crime?
- 6 A. Conspiracy to commit -- to counterfeit a securities
- 7 organization.
- 8 Q. And generally speaking, what was your involvement in
- 9 that particular crime?
- 10 A. Cashing counterfeit travelers checks.
- 11 Q. You were cashing them?
- 12 A. I gave them to people to cash.
- 13 Q. Have you had any other convictions in the past?
- 14 A. I had theft -- a theft in '93-'94, the year of
- 15 '93-'94.
- 16 Q. So approximately 15 years ago?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Now, with respect to the conspiracy to commit bank
- 19 | fraud crime of which you were convicted a couple of months
- 20 ago, please describe what your role in that conspiracy was.
- 21 A. My role was to call banking institutions impersonating
- 22 the customer -- the account holder and ask for balances,
- 23 information about the person's account, and information to
- 24 send a wire transfer, and relay the information to Toby.
- **Q.** Who is Toby?

- A. Toby is Tobechi Onwuhara.
- 2 Q. What was his role based on your observations in this
- 3 scheme to commit bank fraud?
- 4 A. He basically managed the whole scheme.
- **9.** Were there other persons besides yourself and Toby who
- 6 were involved in this scheme?
- 7 A. Yes, there were.

- 8 Q. And who were they?
- 9 A. Some I know by names; some I know by nicknames. One
- is a gentleman named E, Brandy Anderson, Henry.
- THE COURT: Henry who?
- 12 THE WITNESS: Henry Obilo.
- THE COURT: Next question.
- 14 Any others?
- 15 THE WITNESS: Abel Nnabue, Chike, Precious
- 16 Matthews. Those are a few that I know.
- BY ATTORNEY NEWBY:
- 18 Q. You mentioned the name Henry Obilo.
- **19** Do you see him in the courtroom today?
- 20 A. Yes, I do.
- 21 Q. Could you please identify him by an article of
- 22 | clothing he's wearing and his general position in the
- 23 courtroom.
- 24 A. He's to my left with the gray jacket on.
- 25 THE COURT: The record will reflect that the

- witness has identified the defendant.
- 2 Next question.
- **3** BY ATTORNEY NEWBY:
- 4 Q. I believe you mentioned Q.
- 5 Do you know his full name?
- 6 A. Abel Nnabue.
- 7 Q. Did you go by any nicknames among these people?
- 8 A. They called me Mookie before.
- 9 Q. How did you get involved in this scheme?
- 10 A. I met Chike, who is a cousin of Tobechi Onwuhara. And
- 11 he introduced me to Toby, and Toby introduced me to the
- 12 scheme.
- 13 Q. Approximately what was the time frame that you were
- 14 introduced to the scheme?
- **15 A.** In 2007.
- 16 Q. And did you know any of these other individuals who
- 17 you've listed prior to being introduced to Toby?
- 18 A. No, sir.
- 19 Q. Had you met Q before?
- 20 A. Yes. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. How did you know Q?
- 22 A. I met Q from the halfway house.
- 23 | Q. When did you know him from the halfway house?
- **24 A.** In 2003.
- 25 Q. That was following your release from your prior

- 1 conviction?
- 2 A. That is correct.
- 3 Q. Now, you mentioned that Toby would give you names; is
- 4 that correct?
- 5 A. That is correct, yes, sir.
- 6 Q. And who were these names of?
- 7 A. Account holders of banks or either credit unions.
- 8 Q. How would this list of names be obtained?
- 9 A. He would call a leads company and ask them for an
- 10 advertisement listing or a mailing list and basically would
- 11 tell them the criterias he would want the people to have,
- 12 either -- sometimes he wanted a certain state. Sometimes he
- wanted a certain age, married. And also how much money --
- 14 income he would like for them to make over.
- 15 Q. Were there any particular criteria that would be
- searched for?
- 17 A. Yes. He would want people that made over a half a
- 18 million dollars in some cases, people that had homes that
- 19 were a million plus.
- 20 Q. And do you recall the names of some of these leads
- 21 companies that would be searched?
- 22 A. U.S. Data, Maryland Data are a couple of them.
- 23 Q. List Source? Does that name sound familiar?
- 24 A. That does sound familiar.
- 25 Q. Was that another leads company?

A. Yes.

1

- 2 Q. So once these leads were gathered, what would be done
- *3* with that information?
- 4 A. Once he gets the leads, he would then use a site
- 5 called Tracers Info or Search America which are sites
- 6 that -- like skip tracing. They're skip tracing sites. He
- 7 | would then obtain the customer -- from the customer's name
- 8 and address, he would then obtain their date of birth and
- 9 their Social.
- 10 The skip tracing site, you can also run a
- 11 report on it, which will give you relatives, neighbors,
- 12 employment history, previous addresses, general information
- about the account holders.
- 14 Q. Did you ever run these skip tracing reports for Toby?
- 15 A. I have before, yes, sir.
- 16 Q. And how did you have access to these databases?
- 17 A. Toby would give me, like, his log-on or I've been
- 18 right there in the presence of him doing it.
- 19 Q. Were those fee-based databases?
- 20 A. Excuse me?
- 21 Q. Were they fee-based databases, if you know?
- Did you have to pay a fee to use them?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- 24 Q. So once you would get this additional personal
- 25 identifying information of account holders, what would you

do next? 1 Once he obtained that type of information, then he 2 Α. would run the person's credit. He would use 3 4 annualcreditreport.com. He would run the person's credit. 5 He would use the choice of Experian. When you go to 6 annualcreditreport.com and you go to Experian, you would 7 come to a questionnaire. It's series of four to 8 five questions: Normally, who is your mortgage account 9 with? How much is your mortgage payment? And different 10 things like that. It's multiple-choice type answers. 11 He would then -- when he'd get to the 12 questionnaire, he would back space his key, which would take 13 him back to the previous page. Then he would forward space 14 his key again, which would take him back to the 15 questionnaire. 16

The questions would remain the same, but the order of the answers would change. He would use what you call like a process of elimination to guess the answers. Whichever answer appeared the most is the answer he would use as the correct, and that's how he obtained it.

- Q. Did you ever follow this process to obtain credit reports for other people?
- A. Yes, sir.
- 24 Q. And who taught you how to do that?
- 25 A. Toby.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

- 1 Q. Do you know if other people that were involved in this
 2 scheme also did that?
 - A. Yes, sir.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- **Q.** Did you observe them doing that?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Who were some of those people that you observedaccessing credit reports of other individuals?
- A. I've observed Q, which is Abel Nnabue. I've observed
 Chike doing it. I've observed Toby doing it. And that's
 it.
- 11 Q. Once you or members of the scheme would have this
 12 information from the credit reports, what would you then do
 13 as part of the scheme?
 - A. Then he would look for people that had second mortgages, line of credit accounts. Once he verified that the line of credit account was on the credit report, he would see if the account was open. And then he would -- once he knew the account was open, he would then call the back and verify balances, the high credit, and different things like that, and then he'd request a wire transfer.
 - Q. You said that "he" would call the banks.
- 22 Are you referring to Toby?
- 23 A. Toby or whoever he'd chose to do that.
- 24 Q. And you called the banks?
- **25** A. I have, yes, sir.

- Q. And you were impersonating female account holders?
- 2 A. That's correct, sir.
- 3 Q. In the instance when you called the banks, what would
- 4 you do if you were posed with a question like "What's your
- 5 mother's maiden name?"
- 6 A. Toby would always give me the information. He knew
- 7 the process of the banks, so he knew the type of questions
- 8 they would ask. He would already provide me with that type
- 9 of information. And I asked him before how was he obtaining
- 10 the mother's maiden name. He told me he used ancestry.com.
- 11 Q. Ancestry.com?
- 12 A. That is correct.
- 13 Q. That's a website?
- **14** A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. Now, once you would call a bank -- well, let me back
- **16** up.

- 17 How would people involved in this scheme call
- 18 the bank? What type of phone would they use?
- 19 A. They would use a phone that's not associated with a
- 20 person, a phone that you can pick up at your Walgreens,
- 21 | Walmart, a pay-as-you-go type phone that comes with minutes
- 22 or something like that.
- 23 Q. Would the bank be called directly from that phone or
- 24 through some other service?
- 25 A. No. He would use a service called Spoof. He would

1 set up a Spoof account. Spoof was -- it's a -- it was -- it 2 was designed to play pranks on the phone because it allows 3 you to actually call a person and put whatever number of your choice to come up on the caller I.D. 4 5 So once he knew how the SpoofCard worked, he 6 used that to call the bank. And when the banker looks on 7 the caller I.D., he could actually make it look as if he's 8 calling from the customer's home. 9 Have you used SpoofCard? Q. 10 Α. Yes, I have, sir. 11 Q. Could you just walk the jury through the process of 12 how you would initiate a call through SpoofCard? 13 You would call the -- it's, like, a 1-800 number. You 14 would call the 1-800 number. It will ask you for your 15 account number. Then it would relate to you how many 16 minutes you have available. Then it would say, "Enter the number you wish 17 18 to call." You enter your number. 19 Then it will say, "Enter the number you wish to 20 come on the caller I.D." you would enter that.

It would say, "Press 1 to record." You can choose that option.

21

22

23

24

25

Then it would say, "Connect your call." And it would tell you what key to press at that time.

Q. Now, when calling a bank, how would a typical call to

1 a financial institution go in terms of trying to get account information? 2 You would call -- typically, you would call as if you 3 were the person, impersonating the account holder, ask for 4 5 your account balance. And they'll just ask you your date of birth, your Social, probably to verify the home phone 6 7 number, things like that. Then they would give you the 8 information that you're asking for. 9 He would -- Toby would want you to get the wire 10 information. So you would probably ask them, "What is the cutoff time for an international wire?" 11 12 "What is the procedure of getting a wire 13 transfer?" 14 He pretty much knew the procedure of getting 15 the wire transfer. So he knew that they would actually fax 16 the form to you. 17 So he would already supply you with an e-fax 18 number to his e-fax, and he would tell you to have them wire -- send the form to his e-fax. 19 20 What is e-fax? Q. 21 E-fax is a fax number that you can get that goes 22 directly to your e-mail. 23 I want to back up for one moment. Q. 24 When you make a phone call through SpoofCard, 25 you said you would enter an account number?

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. How would you get access to those account numbers?
- 3 A. Toby had an account with that company.
- 4 Q. Toby provided you with the account number?
- 5 A. Yes. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. Going back to making these phone calls to banks, were
- 7 any of the phone calls that you made to banks successful in
- 8 causing wire transfers to be made from other people's
- g accounts?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. Do you know approximately the dollar value of those
- *12* transfers?
- 13 A. I know around the amount. I believe one was, like,
- 14 89,000 something. The other was, like, 97,000 something.
- 15 Q. And what would happen -- how would you learn the wire
- 16 had gone through?
- 17 A. Toby Would tell me.
- 18 Q. Would you receive any kind of compensation for your
- 19 role in performing those calls?
- 20 A. Yes, I was. I did receive money for making the calls.
- 21 Q. And how would you be paid?
- 22 A. I was paid in cash.
- **23 Q.** By whom?
- 24 A. Toby.
- 25 Q. Based on your observations, were other people paid by

- 1 Toby for participating in this scheme?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- 3 Q. Do you know approximately how the funds were split
- 4 depending on who did the work in making the calls?
- 5 A. No, I really don't know how the money was split. He
- 6 | would -- on a few occasions, he's given me, like, \$4,000 for
- 7 making a call. Then he's given me, like, \$3,000 for making
- 8 a call. But as far as how it was split up, no, sir.
- 9 Q. Based on your observations of Tobechi Onwuhara, did it
- 10 appear that he made a fair amount of money through this
- 11 scheme?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. What led you to make that observation?
- 14 A. His lifestyle, the cars he drove, his jewelry, the way
- 15 he lived, and how he lived.
- 16 Q. What type of cars do you recall seeing him drive?
- 17 A. I've seen him drive a Bentley. I've seen him drive a
- 18 Maserati. Those are the two most expensive cars.
- 19 Q. Now, you mentioned that you had seen Henry Obilo
- 20 before; correct?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. Could you please describe the circumstances in which
- 23 you recall seeing the defendant Henry Obilo.
- 24 A. I was meeting -- Toby had me to meet him over to a
- 25 guy's house by the name of E, which was an apartment

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

22

23

community by the name of Verona. And when I came in the door, Henry was sitting at the table, Toby was in the living area, and there were a couple other people that were there.

And Toby -- me and Toby walked in the kitchen. He told me to come in the kitchen. And he was showing me something on his laptop. Henry was sitting at the kitchen table.

- Q. What was Toby showing you on his laptop?
- A. He was showing me some credit reports that he was looking at.
- Q. What did you observe about the defendant Henry Obilo?
- 12 A. Well, while I was there, Donald -- they call him
- D.O. -- came into the house -- came to the apartment, and he
- was talking to Henry about something they had did with a
- Washington Mutual account. And I saw Henry also looking atcredit reports while I was there.
- Q. Did the defendant have any discussions with any of the other people in the apartment regarding the scheme?
- A. Well, also while I was there, Q, which is Abel Nnabue,
 came over to the apartment and confronted Henry about some
 money that he owed him from some money that they supposedly
 - Q. And how did that conversation ensue?

had drew out of someone's account.

24 A. He -- he said, you know, "Hey, what happened to that deal we did?" You know, "What happened to the deal we did?"

```
1
       or whatever. And Henry states, you know, "We hadn't got
 2
       that money yet." And Toby yelled from the kitchen "I told
 3
       you we hadn't got that money."
 4
             During the course of your involvement in the scheme,
       Q.
 5
       did you witness persons involved making phone calls to
       financial institutions?
 6
 7
             Yes, sir.
       Α.
 8
             And did you become familiar with the voices of some of
       Q.
 9
       those people?
10
       Α.
             Yes, sir.
11
                    ATTORNEY NEWBY: At this point, the government
12
       would like to play what's been marked as Government
13
       Exhibit 3, Clip 1.
14
                    THE COURT: Is this part of the stipulation?
15
                    ATTORNEY NEWBY: Yes, your Honor. This will be
16
       tied up through Detective Pak. This was part of the
17
       stipulation we discussed prior to this morning's
18
       proceedings.
19
                    THE COURT: All right. You may proceed.
20
                    (Tape played to the jury.)
21
       BY ATTORNEY NEWBY:
22
       Q.
             Do you recognize the male voice on that phone call?
23
             Yes, sir.
       Α.
24
             Who is that?
       Q.
25
       Α.
             That's Toby's voice.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
Tobechi Onwuhara?
 1
       Q.
 2
       Α.
             Yes.
             I would ask you to listen to what's been marked as
 3
       Q.
 4
       Government Exhibit 6, Clip 1.
 5
                    THE COURT: Well, he gave a name in that phone
 6
       call.
 7
                    Was that his name?
 8
                    THE WITNESS: No, sir.
 9
                    THE COURT: Next question.
10
                     So I take it that was a call in which he was
11
       impersonating a depositor?
12
                    THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
13
                     THE COURT: Next question.
14
                     (Tape played to the jury.)
15
       BY ATTORNEY NEWBY:
16
             Do you recognize the male voice on that telephone
       Q.
17
       call?
18
             Yes, sir.
       Α.
19
             Whose voice is that?
       Q.
20
             Tobechi Onwuhara.
       Α.
21
       Q.
             Toby?
22
       A.
             Yes.
23
             That was not Robert?
       Q.
24
             No, that was not Robert.
       Α.
25
       Q.
             Do you -- would there ever be a situation -- strike
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
1
       that.
                    In advance of calling a financial institution,
2
       would anything be done to anticipate a situation where a
3
 4
      bank might call back to confirm a wire?
 5
             Yes. Toby pretty much knew how the bank worked as far
6
       as once they fax you the form, they will -- once they
7
       receive the form back, they will call you. They will call
       the number that's on the account holder's account.
 8
 9
                    So what he would do is he would find out the
10
       account holder's phone -- telephone company. He would then
11
       call the telephone company and have them to remotely forward
12
       the phone. He would say, "I had a flood in my house. I
13
       can't go back into my house. Could you forward my phone
14
       remotely?" And they would. He would send -- so the call
       would actually come to him.
15
16
                    ATTORNEY NEWBY: I would like to play what's
       been marked as Government Exhibit 12, Clip 1, please.
17
18
                    (Tape played to the jury.)
19
                    THE COURT: Can you all hear that?
20
                    (Jurors respond affirmatively.)
21
       BY ATTORNEY NEWBY:
22
       Q.
             Do you recognize the male voice on that phone call?
23
             Yes, sir.
       Α.
             Whose voice is that?
24
       Q.
25
       Α.
             That's Tobechi Onwuhara.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
1
       Q.
             Toby?
 2
             Yes, sir.
       Α.
 3
             That was not James Witt?
       Q.
 4
             No, sir.
       Α.
 5
             Do you know a James Witt?
       Q.
 6
       A.
             No, sir.
 7
                    ATTORNEY NEWBY: Can we play Government's
 8
       Exhibit 16, Clip 1.
 9
                    (Tape played to the jury.)
10
       BY ATTORNEY NEWBY:
11
       Q.
             Do you recognize the male voice on that phone call?
12
       Α.
             Yes, sir.
13
             Whose voice was that?
       Q.
14
       Α.
            That is Tobechi Onwuhara.
15
       Q.
             Toby?
16
       A.
            Yes, sir.
17
             That was not Joseph White?
       Q.
            No, sir.
18
       Α.
19
                    ATTORNEY NEWBY: Play Government's Exhibit 17,
20
       Clip 1.
21
                    (Tape played to the jury.)
22
       BY ATTORNEY NEWBY:
23
             Do you recognize the male voice on that phone call?
       Q.
24
             Yes, sir.
       Α.
25
       Q.
             Whose voice is that?
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	Α.	Tobechi Onwuhara.
2	Q.	Toby?
3	~ А.	That is correct.
4	Q.	You referenced earlier seeing the defendant Henry
5		and Q and Toby and Donald Okoro at the Verona
6	apartments?	
7	A.	Yes, sir.
8	Q.	What city are the Verona apartments in?
9	A.	They're in the City of Dallas.
10	Q.	Approximately what was the time frame time of year
11		you recall seeing the defendant?
12	Α.	It was in the month of April of '08.
13	Q.	And the name Donald Okoro, who was he?
14	A.	His nickname was D.O. That was the name he was
15	called.	
16	Q.	Did you recognize him as somebody who was involved in
17	the scheme?	
18	A.	Yes, sir.
19		ATTORNEY NEWBY: No further questions.
20		THE COURT: Any cross-examination?
21		ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge.
22		CROSS-EXAMINATION
23	BY AT	TORNEY IWEANOGE:
24	Q.	Good afternoon, Ms. Gipson.
25	A.	Good afternoon.

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
You said back in -- back in 2000, you were convicted
 1
       Q.
 2
       of a crime involving lying, cheating, and stealing; correct?
                    ATTORNEY NEWBY: Objection; misstates the
 3
 4
       testimony.
 5
                    THE COURT: It does. Let's not rehash. It's
 6
       been asked and answered, but you may ask about it.
 7
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Okay. May I proceed?
 8
                    THE COURT: Yes.
 9
       BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE:
10
       Q.
             You were convicted for stealing back in 2000; correct?
             No. No, sir.
11
       Α.
12
             What were you convicted of in 2000?
       Q.
13
       Α.
             Conspiracy to counterfeit a securities organization.
14
             Were the monies cashed?
       Q.
15
       Α.
             Yes, sir.
16
             Did you receive part of the money?
       Q.
17
             Yes, sir.
       Α.
18
             So the money was stolen; correct?
       Q.
19
                    THE COURT: What was your question, the money
20
       was what?
21
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Stolen.
       BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE:
22
23
             It wasn't your money, was it?
       Q.
24
             No, sir.
       A.
25
       Q.
             So the money was stolen; correct?
```

1	A. I guess so, yes.		
2	THE COURT: Well, let's be clear about this.		
3	You were convicted of what crime?		
4	THE WITNESS: Conspiracy to counterfeit a		
5	securities organization.		
6	THE COURT: To counterfeit?		
7	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.		
8	THE COURT: What and you were you		
9	received money for engaging in this conspiracy?		
10	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.		
11	THE COURT: Do you know where that money came		
12	from?		
13	THE WITNESS: No, sir.		
14	THE COURT: So you don't know whether it was		
15	stolen or not, do you?		
16	THE WITNESS: No, sir.		
17	THE COURT: Next question.		
18	BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE:		
19	Q. Do you recall on direct examination explaining to the		
20	jury that you were cashing monies that you knew did not		
21	belong to you?		
22	A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that.		
23	Q. Do you recall when Mr. Newby asked you to explain to		
24	the jury on direct examination about the crime you were		
25	convicted of this 2000? Do you recall that question?		

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	A. Yes, sir.		
2			
3	Specifically, could you tell us what you did in		
4	that case?		
5	What was the crime you committed and you went		
6	for jail for?		
7	THE COURT: Your question is now compound.		
8	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: I'll rephrase it.		
9	THE COURT: Let me ask this of the witness:		
10	Did you pass counterfeit money?		
11	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.		
12	THE COURT: And what did you do with the money		
13	that you got back when you passed it or the merchandise?		
14	THE WITNESS: I gave it to the person who I was		
15	actual getting the counterfeit travelers checks from.		
16	THE COURT: All right. So they were		
17	counterfeit travelers checks?		
18	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.		
19	THE COURT: And you received money for those?		
20	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.		
21	THE COURT: Were you paid?		
22	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.		
23	THE COURT: And were you paid from the funds		
24	that came out of cashing the counterfeit travelers checks?		
25	THE WITNESS: I was paid from the person who		

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
1
       was actually giving me the counterfeit travelers checks.
2
                    THE COURT: Next question.
      BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE:
3
 4
             You knew the checks were counterfeit; correct?
       Q.
 5
       Α.
             Yes, sir.
6
             You were cashing monies that were not yours or -- that
7
       were not yours; correct?
8
             Yes, sir.
       Α.
9
       Q. Okay.
10
                    With respect to Toby Onwuhara, you met him
       through his cousin Chikezie Onwumere?
11
12
             Could you please restate that.
       Α.
13
                    THE COURT: He just wants to know who you met
14
       Toby through.
15
                    THE WITNESS: I met Toby through Chike, which
16
       is Toby's cousin.
      BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE:
17
18
            The full name is Chikezie; right?
       Q.
             That is his first name, yes.
19
       Α.
20
             He lives in New York; correct?
       Q.
21
       Α.
             Yes, sir.
22
             In fact --
       Q.
23
                    THE COURT: Avoid commenting on the evidence.
24
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: I will rephrase, Judge.
25
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

- 1 BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE:
- 2 Q. Where does Chikezie live?
- 3 A. He lives in New York, from my knowledge.
- 4 Q. After Chikezie, who you told us is Toby's cousin,
- 5 introduced you to Toby, you initially did not start doing
- 6 anything illegal with Toby; correct?
- 7 A. That is correct.
- 8 Q. It took a while for him to trust you; correct?
- 9 A. That is correct.
- 10 Q. And then you started doing activities as -- criminal
- activities; correct?
- 12 A. That is correct.
- 13 Q. You started making calls on behalf of Toby; correct?
- **14 A.** Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. And Toby paid you for making those calls; correct?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. You knew the calls you were making were illegal
- 18 because you were trying to obtain money that belonged to
- 19 other people; correct?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. And you and Toby received funds from these accounts;
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- 24 Q. The monies were wired overseas?
- **25 A.** Yes, sir.

- 1 Q. And then subsequently sent back to the United States; 2 correct? 3 Yes, sir. Α. 4 And then you received your own proceeds by Western Q. 5 Union transfer from Precious Matthews; correct? 6 Α. No, sir. 7 You got your money directly from Toby? Q. Directly from Toby. 8 Α. 9 In fact, on one occasion you got \$10,000; isn't that Q. 10 correct? No, sir. Α.
- 11
- 12 Isn't it true -- do you recall talking to Special Q.
- 13 Agent Nail, N-a-i-l, in this particular case?
- 14 Yes, sir. Α.
- 15 ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: The Court's indulgence.
- 16 (Pause.)
- BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: 17
- 18 You spoke to Special Agent Nail back in August --Q.
- August the 15th of 2008; correct? 19
- 20 No, sir. Α.
- 21 When did you talk to him first? Q.
- 22 The first time I met Michael Nail was when me and my Α.
- 23 attorney came here in Virginia. And I believe that was,
- 24 like, in September -- the end of August or September.
- 25 Q. End of September?

1 A. That sounds about right. THE COURT: She said end of August or 2 3 September. 4 Next question. 5 BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: 6 So you did not talk to Special Agent Nail on the 14th 7 of August of 2008? 8 That does not sound right. Α. 9 Q. Did you talk to --10 ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Court's indulgence. 11 (Pause.) 12 BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: 13 Did you talk to Special Agent Lynd and Etienne -- I'll 14 spell it, E-t-i-e-n-n-e -- on the 5th of August of 2008? 15 Α. I believe -- yes, I believe so. 16 Is that Mr. Haley, last name Haley? 17 Q. E-t- -- two agents. First name, A-1-1-y-n; last name 18 is L-y-n-d. 19 I did speak with a couple of agents around that time. 20 So the first time -- you now recall that the first 21 time you spoke to an agent was actually August the 5th of 2008? 22 23 That sounds about right. Α.

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

2008 when you spoke to these agents, you were asked about

Isn't it true that back in August -- August the 5th of

24

25

Q.

```
individuals that were members of this conspiracy? Correct?
1
2
             Yes, sir.
       Α.
3
             Isn't it true that when you spoke to the agents,
       Q.
 4
       Etienne and Lynd, back on the 5th of August 2008, you never
 5
       mentioned the name Henry Obilo; correct?
6
       Α.
             That is correct.
7
             In fact, you never even mentioned the name Henry;
       Q.
8
       correct?
9
             That is correct.
       Α.
10
       Q.
             You mentioned the name of Toby; correct?
             Yes, sir.
11
       Α.
12
             You mentioned O; correct?
       Q.
13
       Α.
             Yes, sir.
14
             You mentioned Eze Onyedebelu; correct?
       Q.
15
       Α.
             No, I didn't mention him.
16
             You mentioned Chikezie; correct?
       Q.
17
             Yes, sir.
       Α.
18
             You mentioned Dennis Dorsey; correct?
       Q.
19
       Α.
             Yes, sir.
20
             And subsequently, you had another meeting which you
       Q.
21
       don't recall back on the 14th of August, which was
22
       approximately about nine or ten days later. This time it
23
       was one of the original special agent, last name -- I'll
24
       spell it -- E-t-i-e-n-n-e, and then Special Agent Nail,
25
       N-a-i-l
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

- 1 Do you recall that meeting at the
- 2 U.S. Attorney's Office with Detective Pak present and
- **3** U.S. attorney Mr. Tyler present as well?
- **A.** Yes, sir, I did have a meeting with them.
- 5 Q. And your lawyer, Mr. Todd, was present at the time;
- 6 correct?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. At that time you were also asked about members of this
- g conspiracy; isn't that correct?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. And when you came to see them on the 5th, you were not
- in jail, were you, the first time you saw them on the 5th of
- 13 August?
- 14 A. I believe I was still in jail, yes.
- 15 Q. On the 15th when you came to see them, you were not in
- jail; correct?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. You had actually gone home, and then you came with
- 19 | your own two feet with your lawyer into the U.S. Attorney's
- 20 Office; correct?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. At the time you were asked about the people who were
- 23 involved in this conspiracy; correct?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. You again mentioned Toby Onwuhara that you knew as T

```
1
       or you call Toby; correct?
2
             Yes, sir.
       Α.
3
             You again tell them about Dennis Dorsey; correct?
       Q.
 4
             Yes, sir.
       Α.
5
             You again tell them about Chikezie Onwumere; correct?
       Q.
6
       Α.
             Yes, sir.
7
             And then, in fact, you specifically went into detail
       Q.
8
       as to what Toby did in this conspiracy; correct?
9
             Yes, sir.
       A.
10
                    MR. IWEANOGE: Court's indulgence.
11
                    (Pause.)
12
       BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE:
13
             And then you also told them about Donald Okoro that
       Q.
14
       you referred to today as D.O.; correct?
15
       Α.
             Yes, sir.
16
       Q.
             And you told them specifically what D.O. did in the
17
       conspiracy; correct?
18
             Yes, sir.
       A.
19
             You talked also about Abel Nnabue; correct?
       Q.
20
             Yes, sir.
       Α.
21
       Q.
             That's Q?
22
             Yes, sir.
       Α.
23
             You told them what he did in the conspiracy; correct?
       Q.
24
             Yes, sir.
       Α.
25
       Q.
             Including the fact that allstateassociates@yahoo.com
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
website belongs to him; correct?
1
2
             That's an e-mail address. Yes, sir.
       Α.
             You also told them about Precious Matthews; correct?
3
       Q.
 4
             Yes, sir.
       Α.
 5
             You were even shown a photograph of Precious Matthews
       Q.
       and you identified Ms. Matthews; correct?
6
7
             That is correct, sir.
       Α.
 8
             And then you also advised them that Ms. Matthews
       Q.
       conducted the Western Union transfers and was a
9
10
       co-conspirator to Toby; correct?
             That she --
11
       Α.
12
       Q.
            I'll repeat.
13
                    That Gipson -- that Matthews --
14
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Court's indulgence.
15
       sorry.
16
                    (Pause.)
       BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE:
17
18
             Matthews conducted Western Union wire transfers to --
       Q.
19
                    THE COURT: Is this a question?
20
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, judge.
21
                    THE COURT: Come to the bench.
22
                    (Sidebar conference held as follows:)
23
                    THE COURT: Mr. Iweanoge, it appears to me
24
       you're reading from a document. You may not get a document
       in evidence simply because you're reading it. You may ask
25
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	her questions.	
2	Is that what you're doing?	
3	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Judge, I didn't want to	
4	misquote what she said to the agents. That's why I just	
5	read the exact line, not to confuse her. I will not read	
6	from the document. I will just ask the questions.	
7	THE COURT: If she can't recall, you may	
8	certainly show her the document to see if that refreshes her	
9	recollection.	
10	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge.	
11	THE COURT: It appears you're just reading from	
12	a document, and you may not put a document into evidence	
13	that doesn't belong in evidence simply by reading it. But	
14	you may continue to examine her about what she said to the	
15	agents at the time.	
16	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge.	
17	THE COURT: All right. Let's proceed.	
18	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge.	
19	(End of sidebar conference, open court as	
20	follows:)	
21	THE COURT: You may proceed.	
22	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, your Honor.	
23	BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE:	
24	Q. And you told them at the time that Ms. Matthews was	
25	the one who did the wire transfers by Western Union;	

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

correct? 1 I think I said that -- I believe I said that she sent 2 Α. me a wire transfer one time. But as far as how he 3 4 distributed the money through Western Union, I wasn't aware 5 of anything like that. You told them also about Chikezie Onwumere, who 6 7 happened to be your boyfriend; correct? 8 He was a friend of mine, yes, sir. Α. 9 You had sexual relations with him, did you not? Q. 10 Α. Yes, sir. 11 Q. With respect to Chikezie, you also told them that 12 Chikezie played a role in this conspiracy? 13 Α. Yes, sir. 14 That Chikezie specifically made calls as well; Q. 15 correct? 16 A. I witnessed him doing that. 17 Q. Okay. 18 Were you disappointed when you found out that 19 Chikezie's case was dropped? 20 No. I wasn't even aware of that. Α. 21 Q. Okay. 22 You told the government as well about Derek 23 Tresvant. I'll spell the last name. T-r-e-s-v-a-n-t. You 24 told them about him?

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

25

Α.

That is correct.

1 Q. You also told them about Eze, also known as Ezenwa 2 Onyedebelu; correct? I really can't remember, but I don't think I mentioned 3 Α. 4 him because I didn't even remember him at the time. 5 So you don't recall telling Special Agent Nail back on 6 the 14th with your attorney present about Ezenwa Onyedebelu? 7 I believe he may have shown me a picture of him and I 8 knew him as E, but I don't remember what all was said or 9 may, you know -- because I met Toby several times over at 10 his apartment, but --11 Q. Is there something that will refresh your recollection? 12 13 Yes, sir. Α. 14 THE COURT: She hasn't said she doesn't recall. 15 You asked her a specific question. 16 ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Okay. 17 THE COURT: Not okay, Mr. Iweanoge. 18 ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge. I apologize, 19 your Honor. 20 BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Specifically, with respect to Mr. Onyedebelu, you were 21 22 shown a photograph of Mr. Onyedebelu; correct? 23 Α. Mr. Who? 24 THE COURT: Onyedebelu. 25

```
BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE:
 1
 2
            Onyedebelu, E.
       Q.
 3
       Α.
            I believe I was shown a photo of E.
 4
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: The Court's indulgence.
 5
                    (Pause.)
       BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE:
 6
 7
            You also told the agents about another individual by
 8
       the name of Obinna Nneji, N-n-e-j-i?
 9
            Could you repeat that name, please.
       A.
10
       Q.
           Okay.
11
                    First name is O-b-i-n-n-a, Obinna. Last name
12
       is Nneji, N-n-e-j-i?
13
             I think I was shown a picture of him and I recognized
       Α.
14
      him.
15
       Q.
           Okay.
16
                    You identified him for the agents; correct?
17
       A. Yes, sir.
18
                    THE COURT: Mr. Iweanoge, you have to cease
19
       commenting on the testimony.
20
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge.
21
                    THE COURT: Do you know what I mean?
22
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge.
      BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE:
23
24
            After you were shown the photograph of Mr. Nneji, you
25
       identified him; is that correct?
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
1
       Α.
             Yes, sir.
             And then did you tell them what he did in the
2
       Q.
       conspiracy as well?
3
 4
             I told them how I knew him.
       Α.
 5
       Q.
             Okay.
6
                    With respect to another individual, T-y, you
7
       also tell them about T-y; correct?
8
             Ty. I told them about my experience with Ty.
       Α.
9
       Q.
            Okay.
10
                    THE COURT: Come to the bench.
11
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge.
12
                    (Sidebar conference held as follows:)
13
                    THE COURT: I'm not sure you do understand,
14
       Mr. Iweanoge, about commenting on the evidence. After a
15
       witness answers a question -- and this is true for the
16
       government as well -- don't say, "Okay."
17
                    That's a comment on the testimony. It
18
       indicates you agree with it or accept it because there are
19
       times when you don't say, "Okay." So when you ask a
20
       question and the witness answers it, don't say anything.
21
       Ask another question.
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes.
22
                    THE COURT: Is that clear? That is what I
23
24
       meant by commenting on the evidence.
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge.
25
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1 THE COURT: It goes for the government as well. 2 Let's proceed. (End of sidebar conference, open court as 3 4 follows:) 5 THE COURT: All right. You may proceed. BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: 6 7 You told them about Ty; is that correct? 8 Yes, sir. Α. 9 And the fact that Ty even dealt drugs as well; 10 correct? 11 I believe that came up. 12 And you also then talked about Brandy Anderson; Q. 13 correct? 14 I believe her name came up, but I've never experienced 15 her doing anything wrong. 16 Isn't it true that you told Special Agent Nail that Q. Precious Matthews and Brandy Anderson were good friends? 17 18 I don't recall that, but -- I'm not sure. But I knew Α. 19 they were -- they would speak and everything, but I don't 20 know anything about then being good friends. 21 Isn't it true that you also told Special Agent Nail 22 that Brandy Anderson was well aware of the scheme? 23 Α. Yes. Yes, sir. 24 And at the end of this conversation with Special Agent 25 Nail, you never mentioned Henry or Henry Obilo or Ujay as a

```
1
       member of this conspiracy; correct?
 2
             That is correct.
       Α.
             As a defendant in this case, before you pled guilty
 3
 4
       before Judge T.S. Ellis, III, you received discovery in this
 5
       case; correct?
 6
       Α.
             Yes, sir.
 7
             You received statements -- or documents that contained
 8
       statements that other people may have said; correct?
 9
             I didn't receive -- I don't remember receiving any
       Α.
10
       statements of what other people made. Possibly just my part
11
       in it, but I don't know who made the statements or anything
12
       like that.
13
             So you never saw any statements written by special
       Q.
14
       agents or the FBI that investigated this case?
15
                    ATTORNEY NEWBY: Objection; relevance.
16
                    THE COURT: I'll overrule it. If she saw,
17
       she can say so.
18
                    THE WITNESS: I don't remember --
19
                    THE COURT: Maybe her attorney is the only one
20
       who saw it.
21
                    Next question.
22
                    THE WITNESS: I don't remember. I don't
23
       remember that.
24
       BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE:
25
       Q.
             Did you review videotapes or audiotapes in this case?
```

1 Α. I've listened to audiotapes before, yes. 2 ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Court's indulgence. 3 (Pause.) 4 BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: 5 You also testified before of the grand jury in this 6 case; correct? 7 Yes, sir. Α. And before you testified at the grand jury, you had an 8 Q. 9 opportunity to speak with Special Assistant U.S. Attorney 10 Tyler Newby; correct? 11 Α. Yes, sir. 12 And then appeared under oath before the grand jury; Q. 13 correct? 14 Yes, sir. Α. 15 Before the grand jury -- you appeared before the grand Q. 16 jury on August the 14th of 2008; is that correct? 17 Yes, sir, that sounds right. Α. 18 Isn't it true that when you appeared before the grand Q. 19 jury under oath again you never mentioned Henry Obilo as a 20 member of this conspiracy? 21 Α. That is correct, yes, sir. 22 THE COURT: Were you asked who the members of the conspiracy were? 23 24 THE WITNESS: There were people that I didn't 25 There were people that I knew by nicknames.

```
were people that I only knew by first names or even by face.
1
                    THE COURT: No.
2
3
                    My question was in the grand jury, were you
 4
       specifically asked to name all the members of the
 5
       conspiracy?
6
                    THE WITNESS: No. I was asked about specific
7
       people.
 8
                    THE COURT: Next question.
9
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Court's indulgence.
10
                    THE COURT: All right.
11
                    (Pause.)
12
       BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE:
13
             Were you ever shown a photograph of Henry Obilo?
       Q.
14
             Yes, sir.
       Α.
15
       Q.
             And that was before the grand jury; correct?
16
       Α.
            No, sir.
            At the U.S. Attorney's Office; correct?
17
       Q.
18
                    ATTORNEY NEWBY: Objection, your Honor.
                                                             The
19
       question is confusing. It's unclear when he's referring to.
20
                    THE COURT: Yes.
                    Were you shown a picture of Henry Obilo?
21
22
                    THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I was.
23
                    THE COURT: Where were you when you were shown
24
       that picture?
25
                    THE WITNESS: I really don't remember exactly
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

- 1 the specific place, but I remember seeing the picture.
- THE COURT: Next question.
- **3** BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE:
- **Q.** Was a special agent of the FBI present?
- 5 A. I'm really not sure. I really don't remember.
- 6 Q. Was an assistant United States attorney present?
- 7 A. I really don't remember who all was present at that
- 8 time.
- 9 Q. Why were you shown -- why were you being shown a
- 10 photograph of Mr. Obilo?
- 11 A. You said why?
- 12 Q. Yes.
- 13 A. I was being shown pictures of a lot of people.
- 14 Q. Who was showing you the photographs?
- 15 A. I've been shown photographs from different people,
- 16 difference agents at different times.
- 17 Q. So which one was showing you Henry Obilo's photograph?
- 18 A. I believe it was Michael Nail.
- 19 Q. And what did you tell him when he showed you the
- 20 photograph?
- 21 A. He asked me did I know him, did I recognize him. And
- 22 I know him yes.
- 23 Q. When did this conversation take place?
- 24 A. It took place -- I believe it was around January or
- **25** February of '09.

1	Q.	Of this year?
2	A.	First time, yes, sir.
3	Q.	Was he taking notes when you were talking to him?
4	A.	I don't believe he was.
5	Q.	Other than Special Agent Nail, who else was present?
6	A.	I believe Tyler Newby was present. Yes, he was taking
7	notes	s. They were all taking notes.
8	Q.	Okay.
9		And you identified Henry as what?
10	A.	As being part of the scheme.
11	Q.	Have you ever made any calls on his behalf?
12	A.	No, sir.
13	Q.	Has he ever given you any numbers to call?
14	A.	No, sir.
15	Q.	Has he ever given you his phone to use to make any
16	calls	3?
17	A.	No, sir.
18	Q.	The monies that you Toby gave you part of, did you
19	ever	see Toby giving him any money?
20	A.	I never seen Toby giving him money.
21		ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Court's indulgence.
22		(Pause.)
23		THE COURT: Anything further?
24		ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Just briefly, Judge.
25		Judge, may we approach? Jencks issue.

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	THE COURT: Have you made the Jencks
2	production, Mr. Newby?
3	ATTORNEY NEWBY: We made Jencks productions of
4	statements.
5	BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE:
6	Q. Ma'am, would it surprise you to know that there's no
7	notes from the FBI of any conversation with them where you
8	identified Mr. Henry Obilo in any photograph?
9	ATTORNEY NEWBY: Objection.
10	Can we approach?
11	THE COURT: Sustained whether her surprise
12	or lack of surprise is irrelevant.
13	Anything further, Mr. Iweanoge? You still need
14	to approach?
15	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge.
16	THE COURT: All right. Do it quickly.
17	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge.
18	Sidebar conference held as follows:)
19	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Judge
20	THE COURT: Speak up.
21	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Based on my questions, I
22	asked Mr. Newby, any of the Jencks he said everything
23	they had they turned over to me. There is no such Jencks
24	that exists.
25	THE COURT: Do you understand what Jencks

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	really applies to?
2	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: If she's going to be called
3	as a witness.
4	THE COURT: Who's going to be called?
5	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: If a witness is going to be
6	called and a witness made a statement
7	THE COURT: Yes.
8	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: it should be turned over
9	to me.
10	THE COURT: Only if it's her statement.
11	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes.
12	THE COURT: That she adopts, not necessarily
13	FBI interview notes or 302.
14	ATTORNEY EISINGER: We never received any of
15	these.
16	ATTORNEY NEWBY: If I can make the government
17	abundantly clearly on the issue. We turned over formal 302
18	in an abundance of caution statements that were made by this
19	witness and all testifying witnesses. We did not turn over
20	raw notes, as is our practice. They were never adopted by
21	the defendant. They were not statements.
22	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Judge, I'm not talking
23	about raw notes. I'm talking about the witness with an
24	interview with the FBI identifies a photograph and saying
25	this is so-and-so, and so I know him. I have no no

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	documentation on that interview. That surprises me.
2	THE COURT: It doesn't surprise me. They don't
3	have to write down everything that happens in a note. It
4	isn't Jencks.
5	It isn't something she read and adopted; is
6	that correct?
7	ATTORNEY EISINGER: That is correct.
8	ATTORNEY NEWBY: That is absolutely correct.
9	THE COURT: It isn't Jencks if your request is
10	failure to turn over Jencks.
11	Have you turned over all statements she has
12	made or adopted?
13	ATTORNEY NEWBY: Yes. We turned over the
14	written confession and went beyond that and turned over the
15	302 that she has not adopted.
16	THE COURT: What is your objection or problem,
17	Mr. Iweanoge?
18	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Judge, a problem I have,
19	if a witness is shown a photograph and the witness
20	supposedly allegedly identifies my client in the
21	photograph, first of all, the photograph that was used for
22	identification is supposed to be turned over to me.
23	THE COURT: Why? Under what case?
24	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Judge, I believe, it's,
25	like, under identification cases whereby the witness when

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
it's suggestive in terms of the identification process.
1
       Suggestivity, reliability of identification.
2
3
                    THE COURT: She isn't identifying the defendant
       today on the basis of a prior identification. She is doing
 4
 5
       it on the basis of what she says today.
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Judge, I'm talking about
6
7
       the one that happened at the meeting.
 8
                    THE COURT: Well, you elicited that testimony.
9
       They didn't.
10
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: I did, Judge.
11
                    THE COURT: So, they don't have to, the
12
       identification that she made at some time in the past is not
13
       the basis of her identification here in court. And,
14
       therefore, and you never moved to suppress.
15
                    In any event, I don't see any need -- any
16
       requirement by the government to produce that photograph to
17
            If you can show me some authority to the contrary --
18
       I'm fully aware of the cases to the contrary.
19
                    If there is a photo array shown or any
20
       photograph, if unduly suggestive, it can be suppressed.
21
       That identification is not being offered in court today.
       The identification that is being offered in court today is
22
23
      her identification of him today.
24
                    Now, you can inquire if you think there is some
25
       doubt that she really knows who Henry Obilo is. You can
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
certainly inquire. But the fact that there isn't some 302
1
       that specifically refers to an identification doesn't
2
3
       surprise me.
 4
                    Is there such a 302?
 5
                    ATTORNEY NEWBY: No, your Honor.
6
                    THE COURT: There you have it.
7
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge.
 8
                    THE COURT: All right.
 9
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge.
10
                    THE COURT: Do you have more examination?
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge.
11
12
                    THE COURT: All right. Let's -- how much more?
13
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: My client is writing some
14
       notes for me. I think about another 15 minutes.
15
                    THE COURT: All right. Let's do it quickly
16
       now.
17
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge.
18
                    (End of sidebar conference, open court as
       follows:)
19
20
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Court's indulgence.
21
                    (Pause.)
22
                    THE COURT: Let's proceed.
23
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge.
24
      BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE:
25
             With respect to your conversations with Special Agents
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

Lynd and Etienne, if I'm pronouncing it right, that happened 1 on the 5th of August of 2008, isn't it true you told them 2 that you were paid \$10,000 -- T paid you \$10,000 on one 3 4 occasion and \$8,000 on another occasion? 5 I don't remember if I told them that. 6 With respect to that conversation of 8/5/2008, you 7 also advised them that you've been to New York on many 8 occasions with Toby; correct? No, sir. 9 Α. 10 You've never been to New York with Toby? 11 Α. No. I've been to New York one time, but it was with 12 my family. 13 So you have not been to New York on trips with a group Q. 14 to meet other people involved in New York? 15 Α. No, sir. 16 Isn't it true, during your meeting with Agents Lynd Q. 17 and Etienne on 8/5/2008, that you told them that you had 18 been to New York on trips with a group and met other people involved --19 20 ATTORNEY NEWBY: Objection. He appears to be 21 reading from a document. It's been asked and answered. 22 ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: I'm not reading from a 23 document. 24 THE COURT: It's been asked and answered. But 25 I'll permit it one more time, and that's it.

1		Proceed.
2		ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, your Honor.
3	BY ATT	ORNEY IWEANOGE:
4	Q.	And that you met people that were involved in the
5	conspi	racy while in New York?
6	Α.	I never told anyone that.
7	Q.	You never met Mr. Obilo in New York, did you?
8	Α.	No, sir.
9	Q.	Where does Mr. Obilo live?
10	Α.	I believe he lived in Florida.
11	Q.	Okay.
12		But you saw him in
13	A.	Dallas.
14	Q.	Toby's sister and mom also participated in this
15	conspi	racy; correct?
16	A.	I've never seen them. I've never been in the company
17	of the	m doing that, no. No, sir.
18	Q.	How much total did you receive from Toby for what you
19	did in	this conspiracy?
20	A.	It was somewhere in between about \$15- to \$18,000.
21	Q.	And at no time did you receive a one-time payment of
22	\$8,000	?
23	A.	I may have. But a total, you know, over a period of
24	time w	as about \$15- to \$18,000.
25		ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: The Court's indulgence.

1	(Pause.)
2	THE COURT: All right. How much more do you
3	have?
4	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: I'm almost done, Judge.
- 5	
	I'm just going through my notes to make sure.
6	BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE:
7	Q. With respect to this SpoofCard or this Spoof, do you
8	buy it in a store or do you buy it online?
9	A. I don't know because I got the information from Toby.
10	He gave me his account and his pin to use his SpoofCard. So
11	I don't know how he purchased it.
12	Q. So you don't know how the SpoofCard is purchased?
13	A. No, sir.
14	THE COURT: Asked and answered.
15	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Judge, I believe I'm done.
16	Let me just talk to my client for just one minute.
17	THE COURT: All right. How much do you have by
18	way of redirect, Mr. Newby?
19	ATTORNEY NEWBY: Very brief, your Honor.
20	THE COURT: All right.
21	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: The Court's indulgence.
22	(Pause.)
23	BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE:
24	Q. Ms. Gipson, how did you know that Toby had an account
25	with Spoof?

- 1 A. Because he gave me his account number and his pin.
- 2 Q. Okay.
- 3 He gave you an account number and the pin, and
- 4 you assumed that's his account?
- 5 A. No. I don't know whose account it was. He gave me
- 6 the information --
- **7 Q.** Okay.
- 8 A. -- to use the SpoofCard.
- 9 THE COURT: Redirect.
- 10 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 11 BY ATTORNEY NEWBY:
- 12 Q. Mr. Iweanoge asked you if you knew where the defendant
- 13 Henry Obilo lived.
- 14 Do you recall that question?
- **15 A.** Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. And you believed that he lived in the Florida area?
- 17 A. Yeah. I've just heard them say that, but I don't know
- 18 exactly where he lived.
- 19 Q. During 2007 and 2008, you lived in Dallas?
- 20 A. That is correct.
- 21 Q. Where you saw Henry Obilo was in Dallas?
- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. Tobechi Onwuhara, where did he live during this time
- 24 period?
- 25 A. He lived -- his home was in Florida, but he had an

1	apartment also in Dallas, too.
2	ATTORNEY NEWBY: No further questions.
3	THE COURT: Thank you. You may step down.
4	(Witness excused.)
5	THE COURT: Call your next witness.
6	ATTORNEY EISINGER: Government calls George
7	Frazier, your Honor.
8	And can we release the witness, your Honor?
9	THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Iweanoge, to the
10	witness be released?
11	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: No, Judge.
12	THE COURT: She may be.
13	Come forward and take the oath, please.
14	(Witness sworn.)
15	THE COURT: All right. You may proceed.
16	GEORGE FRAZIER, having been duly sworn, was
17	examined and testified as follows:
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY ATTORNEY EISINGER:
20	Q. Could you please state your name and spell your last
21	name for the record.
22	A. George Frazier. That's F-r-a-z-i-e-r.
23	Q. How are you employed, Mr. Frazier?
24	A. I'm currently out of a disability for chronic
25	disseminated lyme disease. My background is in finance.

1	Q. How long have you been out on disability?
2	A. Since 1998.
3	Q. In what city and state do you currently reside?
4	A. Scottsdale, Arizona.
5	Q. How long have you lived there?
6	A. Since 1996.
7	Q. In September and October of 2007, did you have a
8	checking account at Bank of America?
9	A. Yes, I did.
10	Q. Did you also have a home equity line of credit or
11	HELOC there?
12	A. Yes, I did.
13	Q. On September 26th, 2007, did you call up a Brian Kelly
14	at Bank of America to request a wire transfer in the amount
15	of \$385,000 from your Bank of America account?
16	A. No, I did not.
17	Q. Did you authorize anyone to move \$385,000 from your
18	home equity line of credit to your checking account?
19	THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse me, your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Yes.
21	Mr. Eisinger, a little slower.
22	THE COURT REPORTER: No, no, no, no.
23	THE COURT: Oh, the papers?
24	THE COURT REPORTER: No. The defense is
25	speaking too loudly in his mike, and I'm not hearing the

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	questions.
2	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Rodriquez.
3	Mr. Iweanoge, you'll have to be quiet.
4	Proceed, Mr. Eisinger.
5	BY ATTORNEY EISINGER:
6	Q. Did you authorize anyone to move \$385,000 from your
7	HELOC to your checking account?
8	A. No, I did not.
9	Q. Did you authorize anyone to wire transfer \$385,000
10	from your checking account to Johnny Construction Company?
11	A. No, I did not.
12	ATTORNEY EISINGER: I'm going to play what's
13	been admitted or marked as Government's Exhibit No. 20,
14	Clip 1.
15	THE COURT: Is this another telephone?
16	ATTORNEY EISINGER: Yes, it is.
17	THE COURT: All right. And you're going to tie
18	it up later?
19	ATTORNEY EISINGER: Yes, your Honor.
20	THE COURT: And there's no objection in doing
21	that, is there?
22	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: No objection, Judge.
23	THE COURT: All right. Proceed.
24	(Tape played to the jury.)
25	

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

BY ATTORNEY EISINGER:

- 2 Q. Did you recognize the caller who claims he's Brian
- 3 Kelly, a premiere account manager at Bank of America?
- 4 A. No, I did not.
- **5** Q. Do you recognize the defendant?
- 6 A. No, I do not.
- 7 Q. Prior to this year, did you ever hear the name Henry
- 8 Obilo or Ejay?
- 9 A. No, never.
- 10 Q. Did you authorize anyone else to make those transfers
- 11 for you?

1

- **12 A.** No, I did not.
- 2. Can you tell the jury what happened to your Bank of
- 14 America account in and about September of 2007?
- 15 A. Yes. Basically, I was actually -- I live in
- 16 | Scottsdale, Arizona, as I said. I was visiting my brother
- 17 during that time frame in Fort Myers, Florida. I was coming
- 18 back into Phoenix on September 28th. The date I remember
- 19 because it was my mother's birthday. And actually, I was in
- 20 Florida to be with my brother on the anniversary of my
- 21 mother's death.
- 22 And I got a call from my wife, who was coming
- 23 to pick me up at the airport on the 28th, about Bank of
- 24 America calling several times to try to determine the
- 25 validity of a \$385,000 draw-down of our home equity credit

line and whether I had anything to do with it or any authorization to a wire transfer to a Johnny Construction Company. Of course, I knew nothing of it.

And she immediately put me through on my cell phone to a representative from Bank of America, and they asked several questions. And, of course, I basically told them what I just reiterated; that I did not authorize a draw-down, that I was not trying to wire money to Johnny Construction.

Basically, this home equity credit line was for emergency purposes. I would had one in place on my primary residence in Scottsdale for approximately 11 years at that point, had never used it, had never had the reason to use it or a cause to use it. It was strictly for emergency purposes. And that I was not authorizing anybody to do that whatsoever. They froze the account.

I immediately went through customer service with Bank of America on the phone to change all of my online -- or my passcodes and all of my privacy information. We -- on the way home from the airport before even getting home, we stopped at our local Bank of America branch to close out that home equity credit line, which already had fraud alerts placed on it by Bank of America; to close out the corresponding checking account, which was a bit of a hardship because that account was placed -- the reason I had

that checking account was to deal with some medical issues that my mother was having while she was ill in Florida. It was the only account that I still had my mother's name on if we had any medical issues or anything resolved to have -- make deposits or do anything with anything related to her name.

But we immediately closed that account out as well, changed all of our online access codes and everything else, put fraud alerts on all the accounts, and did whatever we could to try to stop whoever was coming after us in trying to get this money from us and took whatever steps we deemed appropriate at the time.

- Q. Did the \$385,000 actually -- did you actually lose that money?
- A. Well, what happened was it was successfully drawn down out of the home equity credit line and placed into the checking account that I had set up with my brother and my mother in Florida, but they were not able to wire it out of that account.

Bank of America was insisting on calling me.

And when they couldn't get through to me, they didn't transfer those funds to this Johnny Construction.

So the money never technically left Bank of America, never left my checking account. It did show up on my credit report. Both the phone number that was being used

1	to perpetrate this fraud, which I determined was out of the
2	State of Georgia, as well as an address in Georgia tied to
3	that phone number as well as the \$385,000, you know, on my
4	credit report basically
5	THE COURT: The answer has now become a
6	narrative and not responsive to the question.
7	Next question.
8	BY ATTORNEY EISINGER:
9	Q. With the help of Mr. Wood, I would like to show you
10	what's been marked as Government Exhibit No. 24.
11	ATTORNEY EISINGER: And, your Honor, we have a
12	stipulation as to the authenticity of these records.
13	THE COURT: All right. You may hand that to
14	the court security officer.
15	All right. The witness has Exhibit 24.
16	Ladies and gentlemen, the parties stipulate
17	that records produced by the Bank of America on or about
18	March 30, 2009 and in Government's Exhibit 24 are business
19	records that the Bank of America makes and keeps in the
20	ordinary course of business. And the parties agree that
21	Exhibit 24 is admissible without any further authentication.
22	And I take it the parties agree it's admissible
23	as a business record?
24	ATTORNEY EISINGER: Correct, your Honor.
25	THE COURT: All right. So I will admit

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
Exhibit 24 by virtue of the parties' stipulation.
 1
 2
                    All right. What's your question on Exhibit 24,
       Mr. Eisinger?
 3
 4
       BY ATTORNEY EISINGER:
 5
             Do you recognize this exhibit?
 6
       Α.
             Yes, I do.
 7
             Can you tell the jury what's on -- what's shown on
 8
       Page 3 of this exhibit.
 9
             What I have in front of me is a signature page for
10
       both --
11
                    THE COURT: Look to your left, ladies and
12
       gentlemen, and you'll see --
13
                    You'll have to make that a lot larger, the
14
       print, if you want the jurors to see what you're referring
15
       to.
16
                    Do you see that, ladies and gentlemen.
17
                    (Jurors respond affirmatively.)
18
                    THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Eisinger.
       BY ATTORNEY EISINGER:
19
20
             Can you explain what's shown on that page?
       Q.
21
       Α.
             Yes, I can. I see it now.
22
                    It's basically the checking account that I had
       set up with my brother and mother in Florida. It shows the
23
24
       beginning balance that we had in the account at the time for
25
       her medical expenses, and then it shows the $385,000
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

- draw-down of my home equity credit line being added to the checking account balance on September 26th. It shows it as part of the balance in the account.

 Q. And did you suffer any losses or incur any costs as a result of this?

 A. Because Bank of America caught this and didn't allow the transfer out of the checking account without talking to me, the only out-of-pocket expenses I incurred were trying to figure out what the -- I did an Internet search on the phone number to try to figure out an address tied to it, and I think that was less than \$10.

 I spent, I think, a nominal amount, about \$5, with an organization called Chex Systems to provide an added level of security on any checks that would be issued out of any of my accounts.
- 16 ATTORNEY EISINGER: No further questions, your 17 Honor.
- THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination.
- 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 20 BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE:

- 21 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Frazier.
- 22 A. Good afternoon.
- 23 | Q. Your account -- you didn't have an account here in
- 24 Virginia; correct?
- 25 A. Not in Virginia.

1	Q. Okay.
2	The account was in Florida?
3	A. This account was in Florida.
4	Q. And then your research indicated that the number that
5	was calling was from the State of Georgia; correct?
6	A. The information I was given on the phone number was
7	that the phone call originated with a Georgia area code.
8	THE COURT: That could have been a cell phone;
9	is that right?
10	THE WITNESS: It could have been any phone
11	whatsoever. I mean, it was just a phone number I was given
12	by Bank of America security. They believed this was the
13	phone number that was making the phone calls for the people
14	that were trying to perpetrate this fraud.
15	THE COURT: Next question.
16	BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE:
17	Q. And you live in Scottsdale, Arizona?
18	THE COURT: Asked and answered.
19	Next question.
20	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Court's indulgence.
21	(Pause.)
22	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: No further questions,
23	Judge.
24	THE COURT: Any redirect?
25	ATTORNEY EISINGER: No, your Honor.

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	THE COURT: Call your next witness.
2	ATTORNEY EISINGER: May we release Mr. Frazier?
3	THE COURT: Any objection?
4	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: No, Judge.
5	THE COURT: All right. He may be released.
6	(Witness excused.)
7	THE COURT: Next witness.
8	ATTORNEY EISINGER: The government calls Louis
9	Fraser.
10	THE COURT: Different Fraser; is that right?
11	ATTORNEY EISINGER: That's correct, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: After this witness, ladies and
13	gentlemen, we'll take the afternoon recess.
14	How long do you anticipate this witness will be
15	on direct, Mr. Eisinger?
16	ATTORNEY EISINGER: We have two clips. And one
17	of them, I think, is a little bit longer. So probably about
18	15 minutes, your Honor.
19	THE COURT: All right.
20	Come forward, sir, and take the oath, please.
21	(Witness sworn.)
22	THE COURT: All right. You may proceed.
23	
24	
25	

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	LOUIS A. FRASER, having been duly sworn, was
2	examined and testified as follows:
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION
4	BY ATTORNEY EISINGER:
5	Q. Can you please state your name and spell your last
6	name for the record.
7	A. Louis A. Fraser. I spell it -s-e-r at the end.
8	Q. And how are you employed?
9	A. I'm sorry?
10	Q. How are you employed?
11	THE COURT: Are you employed?
12	THE WITNESS: No. I'm retired.
13	THE COURT: Next question.
14	BY ATTORNEY EISINGER:
15	Q. And in what city and state do you reside?
16	A. Palmetto Bay, Florida.
17	Q. And how long have you lived there?
18	A. Thirty-five years.
19	Q. In September and October of 2007, did you have a
20	personal account and a business account at Bank of America?
21	A. Yes, I did.
22	Q. And on September 26th, 2007, did you call Bank of
23	America and request a wire transfer for \$38,200 from your
24	Bank of America account?
25	A. No, I did not.

```
1
       Q.
             Did you authorize anyone to wire transfer $38,200 from
2
       your personal account?
             No, I did not.
3
       Α.
 4
             Do you know anyone by name of Daniel Aiken?
       Q.
 5
       Α.
             No, I don't.
             I'm going to play a clip for you of what's been marked
6
       Q.
       as Government Exhibit No. 21?
7
8
                    THE COURT: Turn it up a bit, please.
9
                    (Tape played to the jury.)
10
       BY ATTORNEY EISINGER:
11
       Q.
             Was the caller who claimed to be you on the call
12
       actually you?
13
       Α.
             No.
14
             I'm going to play for you what's been marked as
       Q.
15
       Government's Exhibit No. 27.
16
                    THE COURT: Well, the person who was claiming
       to be Fraser gave some numbers.
17
                    Did you hear the numbers?
18
19
                    THE WITNESS: Sir?
20
                    THE COURT: The person who claimed to be you on
21
       the telephone call provided some numbers.
22
                    Did you recognize those numbers?
23
                    THE WITNESS: No, I did not, sir.
24
                    THE COURT: Next question.
25
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

- 1 BY ATTORNEY EISINGER:
- 2 Q. I will play for you what has been marked as
- **3** Government's Exhibit 27.
- 4 (Tape played to the jury.)
- **5** BY ATTORNEY EISINGER:
- 6 Q. Do you recognize the voice of the person who's
- 7 claiming to be Bank of America employee Leonard Copley?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Was the caller who claimed to be you actually you or
- anyone you know?
- 11 A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat?
- 12 Q. Was the caller who claimed to you actually you or
- anyone that you know?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Do you recall if your Bank of America account number
- **16** ended in 2931?
- 17 A. I don't recall that.
- 18 Q. Do you recognize the defendant?
- **19 A.** No, I don't.
- 20 Q. Prior to this year, did you know anyone by the name of
- 21 Henry Obilo or Ubay?
- 22 A. No, I don't.
- 23 Q. And can you briefly describe what happened to your
- 24 account at Bank of America in September of 2007?
- 25 A. I received a call from our account manager -- my

1 account manager. His name is Mark Smith. He asked me if I had called the bank to make a transfer. 2 And I told him no. 3 4 And he told me that he thought somebody was 5 trying to impersonate me. The amount of transfer was, I think, 38,200. 6 7 And I told him absolutely not. 8 And was that money ever actually transferred out of Q. 9 your account? 10 Α. No. 11 Q. Did you incur any actual losses or expenses as a 12 result of this? 13 Well, shortly after this happened, in September I had 14 a bunch of identity thefts on opening up new accounts in 15 different stores. And consequently, I was forced to employ 16 LifeLock to protect my credit. But the identity theft that began shortly after this call, there were 14 of them. And I 17 18 did pay LifeLock for the service one year, and I rehired 19 them again this year. 20 I've spent at least 30 hours, if not more, 21 going to police stations, dealing with individual merchants 22 and credit agencies trying to clear my credit, which I've successfully done. It took me, like one year to do it. My 23 24 credit was finally cleared 100 percent from these series of

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

25

events in September 2008.

1	ATTORNEY EISINGER: I have no further
2	questions, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Any cross-examination?
4	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: No, Judge.
5	THE COURT: Thank you. You may step down.
6	(Witness excused.)
7	THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen,
8	you may pass your books to the right. Mr. Wood will collect
9	them and maintain their security during the recess.
10	ATTORNEY EISINGER: Can we excuse this witness,
11	your Honor?
12	THE COURT: Yes, he may be excused.
13	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: No objection, Judge.
14	THE COURT: Now, it's about ten minutes to
15	4:00. Mr. Wood, if we could let the folks downstairs know
16	not to close up early so they can go down there.
17	Remember during the recess to refrain from
18	discussing the matter among yourselves or with anyone or
19	undertaking any investigation on your own. You may follow
20	Mr. Wood out. We will reconvene at quarter after 4:00, at
21	which time we'll proceed till 5:30 or so.
22	If some of you have childcare responsibilities
23	that require you to be released promptly at 5:00, I will
24	accommodate you. But I would like to get more done today,
25	if we can. You tell Mr. Wood how later you're willing to

```
1
       go.
                    You may follow Mr. Wood out. All rise.
2
3
                    (Jury excused at 3:51 p.m.)
 4
                    THE COURT: All right. You may be seated.
 5
                    Anything at this time?
6
                    Mr. Eisinger, how many more -- is this an
7
       accurate list of your witnesses, or have you dispensed with
       some of them because of stipulations?
8
9
                    ATTORNEY EISINGER: No. That's without
       stipulations, your Honor.
10
11
                    THE COURT: I beg your pardon?
12
                    ATTORNEY EISINGER: That's without the
13
       stipulations, your Honor.
14
                    THE COURT: This is without them.
15
                    So have you eliminated some witnesses as a
16
       result of the stipulations?
17
                    ATTORNEY EISINGER: I'm sorry. That's the list
18
       after the stipulations.
19
                    THE COURT: All right.
                    ATTORNEY EISINGER: So that's our final list.
20
21
                    THE COURT: Court stands in recess until 4:15.
22
       Let's see if we can get much more done today.
23
                    (Court recessed at 3:50 p.m.)
24
                    (Court called to order at 4:24 p.m.)
25
                    (Jury impaneled at 4:24 p.m.)
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
THE COURT: All right. You may be seated.
 1
 2
                    We'll proceed now.
 3
                    Call your next witness, Mr. Newby.
 4
                    ATTORNEY NEWBY: The government calls
 5
       Mr. Ezenwa Onyedebelu.
                    THE COURT: I understand one of you has
 6
 7
       childcare responsibilities, so I'll release you promptly at
       5:00.
 8
 9
                    Come forward and take the oath, please, sir.
10
                    (Witness sworn.)
                    THE COURT: All right. You may proceed.
11
12
                    EZENWA ONYEDEBELU, having been duly sworn, was
13
       examined and testified as follows:
14
                             DIRECT EXAMINATION
15
       BY ATTORNEY NEWBY:
16
       Q. Good afternoon.
17
                    Could you please state your name and spell your
       full name for the record.
18
19
             Ezenwa Onyedebelu; E-z-e-n-w-a, last name
20
       0-n-y-e-d-e-b-e-l-u.
             Mr. Onyedebelu, where do you currently reside?
21
       Q.
22
             Flower Mound, Texas.
       Α.
23
             And how long have you lived in Flower Mound?
       Q.
24
             For about a year.
       A.
25
       Q.
             How old are you?
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

- 1 A. Twenty.
- 2 Q. And with whom do you currently live?
- 3 A. Both of my parents.
- 4 Q. Are you currently employed?
- **5 A.** No, I'm not.
- 6 Q. What do you do to pass your time?
- 7 A. I attend Brookhaven College.
- 8 Q. How long have you been a student at Brookhaven?
- 9 A. For about six months now.
- 10 Q. And approximately how far along are you in your
- 11 collegiate studies?
- 12 A. Second year.
- 13 Q. Before you were living with your parents in Flower
- 14 Mound, where did you live?
- 15 A. I stayed at the Veronas directly behind the Galleria
- in Dallas, Texas on Noel Road.
- 17 Q. The Veronas?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. Is that an apartment complex?
- 20 A. Yes, that is.
- 21 Q. Approximately what were the years that you resided at
- 22 the Veronas apartments?
- 23 A. Late 2007, early 2008.
- 24 Q. Have you recently been convicted of a federal crime?
- 25 A. Yes, I have.

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

- Q. What was that crime?
- 2 A. Conspiracy to commit bank fraud.
- 3 Q. When were you convicted?
- A. November 20th.

- **9.** Was that through a guilty plea?
- 6 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 7 Q. Have you been sentenced yet?
- 8 A. Yes, I have.
- **9** Q. Who was your sentencing judge?
- 10 A. Judge Ellis.
- 11 Q. Can you describe briefly, give an overview, of what
- 12 | conduct you were involved in that led to your conviction for
- 13 conspiracy for commit bank fraud.
- 14 A. It involved obtaining victims' information from
- 15 | fee-based databases online and then running annual credit
- 16 reports of these -- using these victims' information. And
- 17 then once able to gain access and find out what was
- 18 available on the account, attempting to fraudulently move
- 19 the funds.
- 20 Q. And were others involved in this scheme?
- 21 A. Yes, they were.
- 22 Q. Was Toby or Tobechi Onwuhara one of the people
- 23 involved in this scheme?
- 24 A. Yes, he was.
- 25 Q. What was his role?

- A. He supplied most of the information to everybody else
 in the scheme. He obtained most of the Social Security
 numbers and transferred that to everybody else involved in
 the scheme. He did wire transfers from various institutions
- 5 and so on and so forth.
- 6 Q. What was your role in the scheme?
- A. I also did along the same lines of running annual
 8 credit reports, pulling up bank information, calling into
 9 banks and attempting to transfer funds from various
- 11 Q. Did you witness other members of the scheme calling
- financial institutions and impersonating account holders?
- 13 A. Yes, I did.

institutions.

10

- Q. Approximately how many times did you witness these
- 15 fraudulent calls?
- 16 A. More than probably about 500 occasions.
- 17 Q. How many fraudulent calls did you personally make?
- 18 A. Somewhere in the ballpark of a hundred.
- 19 Q. Do you recognize the name Henry Obilo?
- 20 A. Yes, I do.
- 21 Q. Do you see Henry Obilo in the courtroom today?
- 22 A. Yes, I do.
- 23 Q. Can you please identify him by his location and an
- 24 article of clothing he's wearing.
- 25 A. To your left-hand side in a grey suit.

- Q. Would that be to my right?
- 2 A. Your right-hand side. I'm sorry. My left.
- ATTORNEY NEWBY: Let the record reflect that
- 4 the witness has identified the defendant Henry Obilo.
- 5 THE COURT: So ordered.
- 6 BY ATTORNEY NEWBY:

9

10

11

12

- Q. What role, if any, did the defendant Henry Obilo have in this scheme?
 - A. He mainly was involved in calling Bank of America and impersonating account holders there at Bank of America.

 Also, he was involved in using the security information of the bank employees at Bank of America, which is the CIA and
- 13 MBK number, which is basically used by employees at the bank
- 14 to call in to the corporate office and place orders for
- things such as checks, debit cards, and other things on the
- 16 account.
- And basically it worked by him calling in as
- 18 the account holder first so it looked like he was placing an
- 19 order himself inside the bank. And then by using the bank
- 20 employee's information, the CIA and MBK number, he was able
- 21 to speed up the process of ordering the checks or the debit
- 22 cards.
- 23 Q. Did you personally observe the defendant, Henry Obilo,
- 24 making fraudulent calls to financial institutions?
- **25** A. Yes, I did.

- 1 Q. Did you observe him disguising -- strike that -- using
 2 someone else's name?
 - A. Yes, I did.

8

- Q. Did you ever observe Mr. Obilo receiving money fromany other members of the conspiracy as part of this scheme?
- 6 A. Yes, I did.

Α.

- 7 Q. How did you observe that?
- apartment, the Veronas, in Dallas, Texas. Other times by
 Western Union, either money given to me by Henry Obilo

Most times face to face. Sometimes done at my

- himself or Tobechi Onwuhara. And I would transfer the funds
- 12 to whoever I was instructing.
- 13 Q. You personally provided money from Toby to Henry
- 14 Obilo?
- 15 A. Yes, I have.
- **16** Q. Approximately what was the time period that you
- witnessed Henry Obilo participating in this scheme?
- 18 A. Mainly closely between the period of April 2007 --
- 19 2008 -- I'm sorry -- to July 2008.
- 20 Q. And where did that take place?
- 21 A. At various places. A lot of times at the Veronas in
- 22 Dallas, Texas, my apartment; hotels like the Hotel
- 23 Intercontinental in Addison, Texas; the Pavilion directly
- 24 next to the Veronas in Dallas, Texas; and other local
- 25 hotels.

- Q. You referenced reviewing credit reports of potentialvictim account holders.
- 3 A. Yes, I did.

- 4 Q. How would you access these credit reports of other 5 people?
 - A. First you would need the name of the victim, the date of birth, Social Security number, previous addresses. And by having all of this information, you would now enter it on the Annual Credit Report site.

It would now transfer you over to another page, which is either asking you which site you wanted to use to run the credit report, which varies from Experian, Equifax, and TransUnion.

And from there you would be able to select one, and there was various ways and topics that you would be able to use to access the credit report and answer all the questions successfully.

- Q. When you were accessing the credit reports, were you doing that over the Internet?
- 20 A. Yes, I was.
- Q. How would you connect to the Internet to access thesecredit reports?
 - A. By using prepaid wireless cards, mainly Verizon and Sprint wireless cards, obtaining them inside the store. And by either loading X dollar amount, maybe \$500, onto the

account and it would last for a while or either paying for 1 2 these wireless cards by using Green Dot, which is a prepaid 3 debit card, also, which is also obtained using the victim's 4 information. 5 When you say these Green Dot cards were purchased using a victim's information, what do you mean by that? 6 7 The card is first purchased. But to actually gain 8 access and use the funds that were actually put onto the 9 card, you have to enter either a name, date of birth, Social 10 Security, and all of that information. And usually that information was fraudulent. 11 12 I would ask the court security officer to show you 13 what has been marked as Government Exhibit 11-1. 14 ATTORNEY NEWBY: Your Honor, we have a 15 stipulation regarding this particular exhibit. 16 THE COURT: All right. Hand it to Mr. Wood. 17 ATTORNEY NEWBY: (Complied). 18 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, the government and the defendant have stipulated that the 19 20 video recordings produced by Cellco Partnerships on or about 21 January 2nd, 2008 and filed as Government's Exhibit 11 are accurate recordings of the Verizon Wireless store at Polo 22 23 Towne Crossing, 2208 Dallas Parkway, Suite 310, Plano, 24 Texas, on or about January 2nd and that Verizon Wireless

makes and keeps in the ordinary course of business and that

25

```
the recordings marked as Government Exhibit 11 are authentic
 1
       and admissible without any additional authentication.
 2
                    Now, these are voice recordings?
 3
 4
                    ATTORNEY NEWBY: This is a security video
 5
       camera recording.
                    THE COURT: These are -- this is a video?
 6
 7
                    ATTORNEY NEWBY: This is a video. And what we
       will be introducing is a still shot from that video.
 8
 9
                    THE COURT: You'll have all these stipulations
10
       in the jury room with you, and you'll have the exhibits that
11
       I admit.
12
                    Exhibits 11 and 11-1 are admitted, I take it,
13
       without objection, Mr. Iweanoge?
14
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge.
15
                    THE COURT: All right. Proceed.
16
                    ATTORNEY NEWBY: Your Honor, may we publish
       11-1.
17
18
                    THE COURT: Yes, you may. You'll see to your
       left, ladies and gentlemen, Exhibit 11-1.
19
20
                    Ys, enlarge it.
                    All right. Next question.
21
22
       BY ATTORNEY NEWBY:
23
             Do you recognize the individuals in what's been
       Q.
24
       admitted as Exhibit 11-1?
25
       Α.
             Yes, I do.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

- Q. What's taking place in this photograph?
- 2 A. In this photograph, there is a payment being made on a
- 3 Verizon prepaid wireless card.
- 4 Q. And if you could identify by the position in the
- 5 photograph the individuals in this photograph.
- 6 A. The individual directly in front of the kiosk machine
- 7 is Abel Nnabue, who is making a payment on the Verizon card;
- 8 directly behind him in the jacket with the glittery on it is
- 9 Tobechi Onwuhara; and in the white shirt is me myself as
- 10 Ezenwa Onyedebelu.
- 11 Q. You recall being present to make the payment on this
- **12** card?

- 13 A. Yes, I do.
- 14 Q. And this was the type of wireless card that would be
- 15 used to connect to the Internet and access credit reports
- **16** for --
- 17 ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Objection as to leading.
- 18 THE COURT: It is leading.
- 19 Next question.
- I'll sustain it.
- 21 BY ATTORNEY NEWBY:
- 22 Q. What were these data cards used for?
- 23 A. The data cards were used on the laptops to access the
- 24 Internet online, which we also used to access these fee
- 25 databases online and the credit report sites.

- 1 Q. Were any of the attempts to wire funds out of victims' 2 accounts successful? 3 Yes, they were. Α. And what would happen with that money in terms of 4 Q. 5 being distributed? 6 Depending on the type of -- depending on what was done 7 and whether it was either a check clearing that was written 8 on an account or by using the debit card to access the funds 9 in the account or a wire transfer, it would vary from 10 Tobechi collecting one-third usually because he was supplying the information, and then 40 or 30 percent going 11 12 to the one who supplied the account, and then the rest 13 coming back to whoever worked the job throughout. 14 During the course of your involvement in this scheme, 15 did you become familiar with many of the voices of other
 - members of the scheme?
- A. Yes, I did. 17

22

- 18 ATTORNEY NEWBY: At this point, I would like to play what's been marked as Government Exhibit GX3, Clip 1. 19 20 (Tape played to the jury.)
- 21 BY ATTORNEY NEWBY:
 - Do you recognize the male voice on Government
- 23 Exhibit 3?
- 24 Yes, I do. Α.
- 25 Q. Whose voice is the male voice?

Tobechi Onwuhara. 1 Α. 2 That's also known as Toby or T? Q. 3 Yes, that's correct. Α. 4 That was not an individual that you know as Robert Q. 5 Short? 6 A. No, that was not. 7 ATTORNEY NEWBY: The government would play 8 Government's Exhibit 12, Clip 1. 9 (Tape played to the jury.) 10 BY ATTORNEY NEWBY: 11 Q. Do you recognize the male voice on Government's 12 Exhibit 12? 13 Α. Yes, I do. 14 Whose voice is that? Q. 15 Α. Tobechi Onwuhara. 16 Q. That is not an individual that you know as James Witt? 17 A. No, that is not. 18 ATTORNEY NEWBY: At this point, we would play Government's Exhibit 20, Clip 1. 19 20 (Tape played to the jury.) 21 BY ATTORNEY NEWBY: 22 Do you recognize the male voice on that phone call? Q. 23 Yes, I do. Α. 24 And whose voice was that? Q. 25 Α. Henry Obilo.

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

The defendant Henry Obilo? 1 Q. 2 Yes, that is correct. Α. That was not the voice of an individual you know as 3 Q. Brian Kelly? 4 5 Α. No. 6 ATTORNEY NEWBY: The government would now play 7 Government Exhibit 21, Clip 1. 8 (Tape played to the jury.) 9 BY ATTORNEY NEWBY: 10 Q. Do you recognize the male voice on that phone call? Yes, I do. 11 Α. 12 And whose voice do you recognize that as? Q. 13 Α. Henry Obilo. 14 So that's not the voice of an individual you know as Q. 15 Louis Fraser? 16 A. No, it is not. 17 ATTORNEY NEWBY: At this point, the government 18 would play Government's Exhibit 22, Clip 1. 19 (Tape played to the jury.) 20 BY ATTORNEY NEWBY: 21 Do you recognize the voice of the individual on that 22 call who identified himself as Paul C. Rozelle? 23 Yes, I do. Α.

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

Whose voice do you recognize that as?

24

25

Q.

Henry Obilo.

Α.

- 1 Q. Mr. Onyedebelu, have you had any conversations with the defendant Henry Obilo within the last month? 2 Yes, I have. 3 Α. 4 When did that phone call -- when did that conversation Q. take place? 5 6 Α. Roughly about four weeks ago. I was out at a 7 nightclub, and I ran into Chikezie Onwumere. And he 8 informed me that Henry Obilo said that I would be 9 testifying --10 ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Objection; hearsay. 11 THE COURT: Why isn't it hearsay, Mr. Newby? 12 ATTORNEY NEWBY: I can ask a question. 13 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. 14 Proceed. 15 BY ATTORNEY NEWBY: 16 Did you speak with Henry Obilo that night while you Q. 17 were at the nightclub? 18 A. Yes. 19 How did you speak with him? Q.
- 20 A. Through Chikezie Onwumere's cell phone.
- 21 Q. Where were you -- in what city were you located?
- 22 A. In Dallas, Texas.
- Q. And please describe to the jury the substance of that
- 24 conversation you had with the defendant Henry Obilo.
- 25 A. He first proceeded to ask me what did he do to me.

1	And I told him he did nothing to me. And I
2	asked him why he asked me that.
3	He said that I was supposed to be testifying
4	against him in court.
5	And I told him, no, I was not.
6	And he said, well, then, who is supposed to be
7	testifying against him.
8	And I said, "I really don't know, but maybe Q."
9	He said, "Well, if that's the case, they have
10	no case."
11	Q. Why did you tell Mr. Obilo that you were not going to
12	testify against him?
13	A. Because at the time I had not been informed that I was
14	going to be testifying.
15	Q. You had not received a subpoena at that point?
16	A. Yes, that's correct.
17	ATTORNEY EISINGER: No further questions.
18	THE COURT: Cross-examination.
19	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Thank you, Judge.
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION
21	BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE:
22	Q. Good afternoon, sir.
23	A. Good afternoon.
24	Q. In reference to prior to you pleading guilty in
25	November, you had meetings with the FBI and the

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

- 1 United States Attorney's Office; correct?
- 2 A. Yes, I did.
- 3 Q. And during those meetings, Special Agent Nail was
- 4 present; correct?
- 5 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 6 Q. And during those meetings, you did not tell them the
- 7 whole truth about your conversations or about you talking to
- 8 Toby since he's been on the run; correct?
- 9 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 10 Q. But then later you fessed up about it; correct?
- 11 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 12 Q. You pled guilty before Judge T.S. Ellis, III?
- 13 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 14 Q. And you have been sentenced; correct?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. How much time did you get?
- **17 A.** 37 months.
- 18 Q. 37 months.
- You're presently not in jail; correct?
- 20 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 21 | Q. You're allowed to do what they call self-surrender?
- 22 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 23 | Q. You're waiting to get the date that you'll be
- 24 reporting to jail?
- 25 A. Yes, that's correct.

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

Before you pled guilty, you and your lawyer had an 1 Q. 2 opportunity to review discovery in this case; correct? I'm sorry. I really don't understand the question. 3 Α. 4 Q. Okay. 5 Before you pled guilty, there were some 6 documents and videos and audios that you listened to before 7 you pled guilty? 8 Yes, there was audio of myself. Α. 9 Q. Okay. 10 I didn't hear you? Of myself, yes. 11 Α. Okay. 12 Q. 13 And the documents you looked at included 14 statements that --15 THE COURT: He didn't say he looked at 16 documents. You see, your question was compound. All he said was that he listened to audios of himself. 17 18 Is that right, Mr. Onyedebelu? 19 THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct, Judge. 20 THE COURT: Next question. 21 BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: 22 Did you review documents as well? Q. Only -- I didn't review any documents. I listened to 23 Α. 24 audio recordings of myself. 25 Q. As part of your -- and then as part of your plea

- agreement, you agreed to cooperate with the United States;
- **2** correct?
- 3 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 4 Q. And part of the cooperation is for you to come to
- 5 court to testify when called upon to do so; correct?
- 6 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 7 Q. And in addition to doing that -- in return for doing
- 8 that, the government, if you provide assistance
- 9 substantially to them, could recommend to the judge that
- 10 your sentence be reduced; correct?
- 11 A. I have -- I was not informed that I was going to get
- any type of reduction. But I believe that may be correct,
- **13** yes.
- 14 Q. You did review the plea agreement before you signed it
- and appeared before the judge; correct?
- **16** A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Judge Ellis went through a series of questions
- 18 including that part about you cooperating with the
- **19** government; correct?
- 20 A. Yes, he did.
- 21 Q. It is your expectation that by testifying against
- 22 | Mr. Obilo, that you expect the government to ask the judge
- for your 37 months to be reduced; correct?
- 24 A. Actually, it was -- it was in the plea agreement that
- 25 | I would truthfully cooperate with the U.S. Government.

```
There was no -- I didn't know of any agreement of a
1
2
       reduction or anything like that for my testimony, no.
3
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: The Court's indulgence.
 4
                    (Pause.)
 5
       BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE:
6
             Isn't it true that in your plea agreement on Page 8,
       Paragraph 15, it talks about the government reserving the
7
 8
       right to file for a downward departure on your sentencing?
9
             Yes, that's correct.
       Α.
10
       Q.
             And downward departure, as you understand it, is for
11
       them to ask the judge for your sentence to be reduced based
12
       on your cooperation; correct?
13
       Α.
             Yes.
14
             And they, the Unites States Attorney's Office, have
       Q.
15
       the sole discretion to do that; correct?
16
       A. Yes, that's correct.
                    THE COURT: They can ask -- what's your
17
18
       understanding of how that would work, Mr. Onyedebelu?
19
                    THE WITNESS: What my lawyer explained to me,
20
       that if the government saw fit, that they would be able to
21
       ask for a reduction, but it's not a promise that it would
22
       happen or it will not happen.
23
                    THE COURT: What's your understanding of who
24
      has the final authority?
25
                    THE WITNESS: Judge Ellis.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

- 1 THE COURT: Next question.
- 2 ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge.
- **3** BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE:
- 4 Q. Prior to this guilty plea that you did in November
- 5 before Judge Ellis, you had previously been convicted of a
- 6 crime involving cheating and lying in 2002; correct?
- 7 A. No, that is not correct.
- 8 Q. I apologize.
- 9 THE COURT: Next question.
- 10 BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE:
- 11 Q. Initially back on the 18th of August of 2008 when you
- 12 met with Special Agent Nail, you denied knowing anywhere --
- 13 you denied knowing where the money came from, correct, the
- **14** wire transfers?
- 15 A. I don't believe that was in August -- on August 18th.
- 16 But, yes, I did deny knowing where the money came from.
- 17 Q. And you also denied your role as well; correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And at the time back on either the 18th or the 16th of
- 20 August of 2008, you were asked about individuals who were
- 21 involved in this conspiracy; correct?
- 22 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 23 Q. You did mention an individual by the name of Obinna
- 24 Nneji; correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. You mentioned Donald Okoro, O-k-o-r-o; correct?
- 2 A. Yes.
- **Q.** You mentioned Tobechi Onwuhara; correct?
- **4** A. Yes.
- 5 Q. You mentioned Precious Matthews; correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. But you did not mention Mr. Obilo; correct?
- 8 A. Actually, it was photos shown to me asking me
- 9 whether -- who I knew and whether I understood who was --
- 10 whether I knew who it was in the picture. All I was
- 11 supposed to do was identify them and tell them who they were
- 12 and how I knew them.
- 2. So when you were asked -- when you told them about
- 14 Donald Okoro, you were shown a photograph of Donald Okoro?
- **15 A.** Yes, I was.
- **16** Q. Are you very sure of that?
- 17 A. I'm almost most definitely, yes.
- 18 Q. There's no doubt in your mind?
- **19** A. Yes.
- 20 Q. You received about -- how much did you receive in
- 21 terms of wire transfers? How much did you receive from
- 22 Western Union?
- 23 A. From Western Unions alone?
- **24** Q. Yes.
- 25 A. I think -- I can't really give an actual estimate, but

- I'll say somewhere in the range of 5- to 6,000 maybe. 1 2 THE COURT: How much more do you have, 3 Mr. Iweanoge? 4 ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Judge, about five, 5 seven minutes. 6 THE COURT: All right. Let's move it along. 7 ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge. 8 BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: 9 With respect to monies that were gotten from the wire Q. 10 transfers, Precious Matthews deposited monies into Brandy 11 Anderson's account on your behalf; correct? 12 No, that is not correct. Α. 13 Monies were deposited directly into your account? Q. 14 Money was deposited into my account, yes. Α. 15 As a matter of fact, on one occasion you received Q. 16 \$70,000; correct? 17 Α. Yes. 18 For one of the successful wire transfers that you did; 19 correct?
- 20 A. Yes, but not directly all into my account.
- 21 Q. It was actually wired to -- it was wired outside of
- 22 the United States and then made its way back into your
- account; correct?
- **24** A. Yes.
- 25 Q. How much money have you paid into Mr. Obilo's account?

1 A. Most times I didn't pay directly into Obilo's account. I paid probably 3- to 4,000 into Henry Obilo's account. 2 3 Most times money was given to him cash or in money orders. 4 Where was the bank? Q. 5 Α. Washington Mutual. Did you provide that information in terms of his bank 6 7 and bank account number to the United States or the agents 8 in this case during your debriefing? 9 At that time, the information wasn't still with me. 10 So no. So you never told them that Mr. Obilo had an account? 11 Q. 12 Α. No. 13 THE COURT: Did they ever ask you where he had 14 an account? 15 THE WITNESS: No, they didn't. 16 THE COURT: Next question. 17 ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Court's indulgence. 18 (Pause.) BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: 19 20 Isn't it true that when there's a successful wire 21 transfer, that the split was either 60-40 or 50-50? 22 Most times, but it's not definite because it depends Α. 23 on what the negotiation was before the wire transfer was 24 done. 25 Q. Isn't it true that during your meeting with Special

Agent Michael Nail back on the 18th of August of 2008 that 1 2 you specifically said that the split was either 60-40 or 50-50? 3 4 That's pertaining to something that I was involved in, 5 not for everybody else. 6 Q. You know Paula Gipson; correct? 7 Yes, I do. Α. 8 ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: The Court's indulgence. 9 (Pause.) 10 BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: 11 Q. You know Precious Matthews as well; correct? 12 Yes, I do. Α. 13 You know Brandy Anderson; correct? Q. 14 Yes, I do. Α. You know Chikezie Onwumere; correct? 15 Q. 16 Α. Yes, I do. What was Chikezie Onwumere's role in this case? 17 Q. 18 Chikezie Onwumere's role, on various occasions I Α. witnessed him with victims' information and calling in --19 20 making calls to Bank of America, supplying account 21 information and stuff like that. 22 Did you provide that information to the United States? Q. Yes, I did. 23 Α. 24 You said about a month ago you saw Chikezie Onwumere 25 in Dallas; correct?

1 Α. That's correct. And you found out that his case was dismissed; 2 Q. 3 correct? 4 I didn't know that he had a case, but no. Α. 5 When you saw Chikezie in Dallas, you said it was about Q. 6 a month ago at a nightclub? 7 That's correct. Α. 8 Q. Who called whom? In other words, did --THE COURT: The question is about to be 9 10 compound. BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: 11 12 Did Chikezie -- who called Mr. Obilo? Q. 13 Α. Chikezie did. 14 Okay. Q. 15 How long did Chikezie speak to Mr. Obilo? 16 Before giving me the phone, probably about 25 to Α. 30 seconds. 17 18 Q. Okay. 19 And then subsequently he gave you the phone? 20 Α. Yes. 21 How long did you talk to Mr. Obilo? Q. 22 About two to five minutes. Α. Okay. 23 Q. 24 What's the telephone number that this 25 conversation happened on?

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

- 1 Α. I was not given a telephone number. He placed the 2 call by himself. 3 Q. Okay. 4 So it was done on Chikezie's phone? 5 Α. Yes, that's correct. Isn't it true that during your conversations with 6 Q. 7 Special Agent Nail back on August the 18th --8 ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Court's indulgence. 9 (Pause.) 10 BY ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: 11 Q. Back on -- let me get it right. 12 Back on the 15th of September of 2008, that you 13 specifically told him that Henry Obilo had his own partner 14 and a deal with Bank of America? 15 Α. I don't understand what you're trying to say. I'm 16 sorry. 17 Q. All right. Let me rephrase it. 18 Isn't it true -- do you recall talking to 19 Special Agent Nail back on the 15th of September 2008? 20 I mean, it's likely. I don't recall the exact dates. Α. 21 Q. Okay.
- Do you recall telling him that Henry Obilo's
- 23 specialty was Bank of America?
- **24** A. Yes, I do.
- 25 | Q. Do you recall telling him that he had a business

```
partner in New York, Mr. Obassi, Charles Obassi?
1
2
             Yes, that's correct.
       Α.
             You said that?
3
       Q.
 4
             Yes.
       Α.
            And that he lived in Miami?
 5
       Q.
6
       Α.
            Yes, that's correct.
7
            And they had their own separate deal going?
       Q.
8
           I don't know about saying their own separate deal
       Α.
       going. I'm sorry about that part.
9
10
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: The Court's indulgence.
11
                    (Pause.)
12
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: I believe I'm done, Judge.
13
       Let me just look through my notes.
14
                    The Court's indulgence.
15
                    (Pause.)
16
                    THE COURT: Anything further?
17
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: No, Judge.
18
                    THE COURT: All right. Any redirect?
19
                    ATTORNEY NEWBY: No redirect, your Honor.
20
                    THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You may
21
       step down.
22
                    May this witness be excused?
23
                    ATTORNEY NEWBY: Yes, the witness may be
24
       excused.
25
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1 (Witness excused.) 2 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, 3 pass your books to the right. 4 Now, this evening when you arrive home, you'll 5 being accosted by your family, your spouses, your children. 6 They will be intensely curious about what you've been doing 7 today. And they will ask you questions, and you will be 8 tempted to answer those questions. 9 Resist the temptation. Don't answer the 10 questions. Tell them the Court -- the judge has told you that you may not discuss the matter until it's over. When 11 12 it's over -- I'll give you other instructions after it's all 13 over. 14 For now you may not discuss the matter with 15 anyone. You'll be faced with temptations tonight to do 16 precisely that. So resist the temptation. Don't do it. 17 Don't look up -- I used to say many years 18 ago -- I used to tell juries don't look up anything in 19 books. But that's fairly dated now. Don't do anything on 20 the computer. Don't Wikipedia or Google anything or whatever it is people do. I'm not a computer person, so I 21 22 don't really know. 23 But don't do any research of any kind because 24 you're to decide the matter solely on the basis of the 25 evidence you see and hear presented in this case. Put the

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
1
       matter out of your mind. I'll see you tomorrow morning.
 2
                    Now, I'll accommodate you tomorrow.
                    How many witnesses do we have left?
 3
 4
                    ATTORNEY NEWBY: Five or six depending on the
 5
       availability of one of the witnesses, although most of them
 6
       should be relatively short, your Honor.
 7
                    THE COURT: Perhaps we should begin at 9:00 or
       9:30.
 8
 9
                    Which would you prefer?
10
                    (Jurors indicating.)
                    THE COURT: 9:00 o'clock. Let's begin at 9:00.
11
12
       Tomorrow morning at 9:00.
13
                    That you for your careful and close attention
14
       to the evidence today. You may follow Mr. Wood out.
15
                    (Jury excused at 5:00 p.m.)
16
                    THE COURT: All right. You may be seated.
17
                    Anything further this evening on behalf of the
18
       government, Mr. Newby?
19
                    ATTORNEY NEWBY: Your Honor, there is a Touhy
20
       issue that has come up.
21
                    THE COURT: A what?
22
                    ATTORNEY NEWBY: A Touhy issue that has come up
23
       that I would like to put on the record.
24
                    Mr. Iweanoge has informed the government that
25
       he intends to call Special Agent Nail and potentially
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
Special Agent Etienne to testify in the defense's
1
       case-in-chief. And before that takes place, we need to
2
3
       relay it to them so that their agency can make the proper
 4
       determination of whether they're going to object. But
 5
       before --
6
                    THE COURT: Well, he wants to impeach on other
7
       statements, but I may not allow that under 613. So first
 8
       thing to do is to address that issue. The Touhy issue is
9
       something you'll have to do internally --
10
                    ATTORNEY NEWBY: Yes, your Honor.
11
                    THE COURT: -- because he's late.
12
                    ATTORNEY NEWBY: Yes, your Honor.
13
                    THE COURT: And the other point that you might
14
       want to consider, Mr. Iweanoge, is that you asked all these
15
       questions for the purpose of creating -- or maybe not for
16
       the purpose, but at least it created what you think is an
17
       inconsistency between 302 and what a witness said here.
18
       That isn't something the government asked. They didn't
19
       object on scope. But it's something that is vulnerable in
20
       that regard, too.
21
                    So there are really two problems: One is under
22
       613, whether or not the Court should allow it for the
23
       reasons I stated. You can address that tomorrow. And
24
       number two is your Touhy issue. That's for you all to
25
       resolve.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	There's no subpoena pending, is there?
2	ATTORNEY NEWBY: There is not.
3	THE COURT: It would be too late anyway.
4	But I take it they can be they are within
5	hailing?
6	ATTORNEY NEWBY: Yes, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: So the real issue is not the Touhy
8	issue. You can deal with that as you see fit. His agency
9	would have to come in here and complain about it or you all
10	would and tell me why Touhy would need to be satisfied here.
11	But more importantly, the context in which
12	these things what is Mr. Iweanoge, what I want you
13	you want to ask about certain statements; is that right?
14	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge, three
15	specifically, three. And I've told the government what they
16	are.
17	THE COURT: All right. I'll I need to know
18	tomorrow what they are and consider whether or not it's a
19	collateral matter that just goes to your view of
20	
20	credibility. Because you asked these questions. It wasn't
21	credibility. Because you asked these questions. It wasn't something brought up on direct. And so I need to consider
21	something brought up on direct. And so I need to consider
21 22	something brought up on direct. And so I need to consider it.
21 22 23	something brought up on direct. And so I need to consider it. Mr. Newby, you need to look up the law on 613

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1 Yes, you can start with 613. There may be 2 other pertinent rules as well. Typically what this 3 contemplates is a statement made by a witness on the stand which is then subject to a prior inconsistent statement made 4 5 to another person. 6 But these three statements that Mr. Iweanoge 7 wants to cross-examine -- or wants to examine the agent on 8 are statements he elicited, not statements that the government elicited on direct. And that may present you 9 10 with a problem. 11 So you should look at the law on this, 12 Mr. Iweanoge. 13 And you as well, Mr. Newby. 14 ATTORNEY NEWBY: Yes, your Honor. We will be 15 prepared to argue on it in the morning. 16 ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: I will, but the government specifically asked the witness to -- if she's ever seen or 17 known Mr. Obilo. 18 And the witness said, "Yes," which is 19 20 inconsistent with what she told the detec- -- I mean the 21 agents. But I will -- I will review it and then address it. 22 THE COURT: All right. You can -- you may be 23 right. You show me the three statements. You have the law 24 ready on whether -- you see, what I have in mind, 25 Mr. Iweanoge, is that it wasn't a part of the direct. Yes,

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
1
       they did ask whether they could identify Mr. Obilo. They
2
       said, "Yes." But that isn't anything that was
3
       contradictory.
 4
                    You then went on to ask them, well, on August
 5
       so-and-so, did you tell them about this deal or that deal?
6
       That wasn't part of the direct testimony. And I'm not sure
7
       you can ask that question and then when you get a no answer
 8
      have the opportunity to call extrinsic testimony.
9
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Judge, I believe -- I was
10
       going to raise another issue with the Court. I have the
11
       government looking right now.
12
                    But in the grand jury transcripts that I
13
       received as part of Jencks yesterday for Ms. Gipson, Page
14
       22 -- while reviewing it with my client about 11:00 last
15
       night, we realized that on Page 22, there was a specific
16
       question "Do you recognize the individual in Grand Jury
       Exhibit No. 3."
17
18
                    And she says, "Yes, I do."
                    "Do you know his name?"
19
20
                    "I don't know his name."
21
                    "Ma'am, have you seen this individual?"
22
                    "I've seen him with Toby?"
                    "Did he make calls?"
23
24
                    "Well, I've never physically -- I've never
25
       heard him making calls."
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	I've asked the government to try to locate
2	Grand Jury Exhibit No. 3 that Ms. Gipson was shown. They
3	have looked and they have not found it. They're continuing
4	to look.
5	The reason I raise it, Judge, is, as my client
6	believes, that's why I asked specifically about the
7	photograph, if she was shown a photograph. If the Exhibit
8	No. 3 is the one that I suspect it is of Mr. Obilo and she
9	failed to identify before the grand jury, I will be asking
10	to recall Ms. Gipson because
11	THE COURT: Did you ask her that?
12	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Judge, I specifically
13	asked I asked.
14	THE COURT: You asked what?
15	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: I asked her whether she
16	was whether she identified whether she was shown a
17	photograph of Mr. Obilo.
18	And she said, "Yes."
19	That when your Honor called us to the bench.
20	But then I don't have Grand Jury Exhibit No. 3, so I don't
21	know what photograph she was shown where she said that she'd
22	never seen the person making calls. But then today we have
23	her saying, "I have seen him making calls."
24	So that's why I went down that road about
25	photographs and about making calls. So the government is

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
1
       saying they have not found it, but they're going to continue
 2
       to search. I don't know how the issue will develop in the
 3
       morning.
 4
                    THE COURT: Did either of you present the case
 5
       to the grand jury?
 6
                    ATTORNEY EISINGER: Yes, your Honor. First
 7
       off, she did not on the stand testify that she saw Mr. Obilo
 8
       making phone calls.
 9
                    And second, we didn't -- at that time, we had
10
       no pictures of just Mr. Obilo. We had a couple pictures of
       the back of his head with other individuals in it.
11
12
                    Therefore, it couldn't have been a single
13
       picture that says, "Do you recognize this individual,"
14
       because there are two people in all the pictures we had of
15
       Mr. Obilo at that time. We didn't start asking Ms. Gipson
16
       or others about Mr. Obilo until about October, right about
17
       the time we arrested him.
18
                    THE COURT: All right. But you're looking for
       Exhibit 3?
19
20
                    ATTORNEY EISINGER: Yeah, I'm trying to
21
       locate -- we had -- there was, I think, four exhibits. They
       were in one of the pink grand jury folders, and I can't find
22
23
       it.
24
                    THE COURT: What was the date of this grand
25
       jury hearing?
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

1	ATTORNEY EISINGER: I think that was
2	August 14th of 2008.
3	THE COURT: And Mr. Iweanoge, you say that she
4	testified that she saw this person making telephone calls?
5	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, during her testimony.
6	THE COURT: Saw Mr. Obilo making calls?
7	ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes. Yes. Whatever the
8	transcript says, Judge, let me not my recollection the
9	Court
10	THE COURT: If she didn't say that, then
11	there's no point in all of this.
12	ATTORNEY NEWBY: I think we can check the
13	transcript, but I'm quite positive that she did not testify
14	on the stand that she saw Henry Obilo making calls. When I
15	asked her
16	THE COURT: Because you would have elicited
17	that.
18	ATTORNEY NEWBY: Absolutely. What I did ask
19	her was when she had seen Mr. Obilo. And she recounted an
20	instance when he was in the Verona apartment in an argument
21	and he was holding credit reports.
22	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Rodriquez, can
23	you how long is the direct testimony of Ms. Gipson? Can
24	you search it for that particular question and answer?
25	THE COURT REPORTER: Yes, Judge.

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
THE COURT: Do so and let counsel see that.
 1
 2
                    But it seems to me, Mr. Iweanoge, that if she
       didn't say she saw him, Mr. Obilo, making telephone calls,
 3
 4
       then I'm not sure what the fuss is about Exhibit 3.
 5
                    Do you see what I mean?
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge, only that my
 6
 7
       client -- I see what the Court is saying, but my client is
 8
       requesting -- my client and I --
 9
                    THE COURT: You're the lawyer. You don't do
10
       what he says. You do what your judgment tells you to do
11
       after conferring with him.
12
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge. My judgment
13
       tells me that there may be -- it may be pretty --
14
                    THE COURT: I will have Mr. Rodriguez see what
15
       the record is. That Government's going to continue to look
16
       for Exhibit 3. The government says it couldn't have been
       Mr. Obilo because they didn't have a picture of him at that
17
18
       time.
19
                    Is that right? Is that what you said?
20
                    ATTORNEY EISINGER: That is correct. We only
21
       had pictures of him with another individual. In that
22
       picture, you couldn't even really tell that it was him.
23
       was a picture of his back.
24
                    THE COURT: All right. Let's see if we can
25
       find Exhibit 3.
```

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

```
1
                    Remember, however, Mr. Iweanoge, that it's not
2
       your task just to be a mouthpiece. You have to factor
       through your judgment and your legal skill what your client
3
 4
       is telling you.
 5
                    I think if you're right that the witness said,
       "Yes, I saw him making telephone calls," and if this is a
6
7
       picture of Henry Obilo and she denied in the grand jury that
8
      he was making telephone calls, then absolutely I'll permit
9
       you to elicit that.
10
                    ATTORNEY IWEANOGE: Yes, Judge.
11
                    THE COURT: But not if it's not really
12
       relevant.
13
                    All right. Now -- and there should be no
14
       question about whether she testified that she saw him making
15
       telephone calls. That we can easily verify through
16
      Mr. Rodriquez.
                    Court stands in recess until 9:00 tomorrow
17
18
       morning.
19
                    (Court recessed at 5:13 p.m.)
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	
2	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
3	
4	I, MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, an Official Court
5	Reporter for the United States District Court, in the
6	Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria Division, do hereby
7	certify that I reported by machine shorthand, in my official
8	capacity, the proceedings had and evidence adduced upon the
9	jury trial in the case of UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ${ m v.}$ HENRY
10	OBILO.
11	
12	I further certify that I was authorized and did
13	report by stenotype the proceedings and evidence in said
14	jury trial, and that the foregoing pages, numbered 1 to 217,
15	inclusive, constitute the official transcript of said
16	proceedings, Volume 1, as taken from my machine shorthand
17	notes.
18	
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereto subscribed my
20	name this day of, 2010.
21	
22	
23	Michael A. Rodriquez, RPR/CM/RMR
24	Official Court Reporter
25	